arXiv:math/0610923v4 [math.AG] 5 Nov 2007

Brill-Noether Sheaves

Rainer Weissauer

February 2, 2008

Contents

0.1 Introduction 2

1 Preliminaries on perverse sheaves **4**

2 Convolution for abelian varieties **10**
2.1 Abelian varieties 10
2.2 The Grothendieck ring $K_*(X)$ 15
2.3 Translation invariance 17
2.4 Stalks of convolution products 21
2.5 A vanishing theorem 21
2.6 Rigidity 25
2.7 Hard Lefschetz 37
2.8 Computation of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K * L)$ 38
2.9 The Theta divisor 42

3 Convolution with curves **44**
3.1 The highest dimensional case 49
3.2 The curve lemma 51

4 Jacobians **58**
4.1 The multiplication law 59
4.2 Cohomology groups 60
4.3 Theorem of Martens 63
4.4 The adjoint perverse sheaf 65
4.5 Hyperelliptic curves I 67

5 Basic results **70**
5.1 Riemann-Roch 70
5.2 Triviality 73

5.3 Duality 73
5.4 The Littlewood-Richardson rule 75
5.5 Perverse depth 78
5.6 Computation of $P_\alpha(t)$ 81
5.7 Proof of Lemma 26 82
5.8 BN-sheaves 84
5.9 Preliminary multiplicity formulas 86
5.10 Dimensions 87

6 Some special cases **89**
6.1 Hyperelliptic curves II 89
6.2 The perverse sheaf ε_{g-1} 93
6.3 Other examples 96

7 Tensor categories **100**
7.1 Rigid tensor categories 100
7.2 The symmetric powers ε_r 104
7.3 0-Types in the symmetric algebra 107
7.4 Structure theorem 112
7.5 The Tannaka category $\mathcal{BN}$ 113
7.6 Representations 115
7.7 The categories $\mathcal{T}(X)$ 124
7.8 Coverings of curves 126
7.9 Another Application 128

0.1 Introduction

Let X be an abelian variety X of dimension g over an algebraically closed field k. For irreducible subvarieties $Y \subseteq X$ the perverse intersection cohomology sheaves δ_Y define perverse sheaves on X.

Let $D_c^b(X) = D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ be the triangulated category of etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves on X in the sense of [15]. It contains the abelian category $Perv(X)$ of (middle) perverse sheaves on X as a full abelian subcategory. The addition law of the abelian variety $a : X \times X \to X$ defines the convolution product $K * L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ of two complexes K and L in $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Similarly one can define the iterated products $\delta_{Y_1} * \cdots * \delta_{Y_r}$ for subvarieties $Y_1, .., Y_r$ of X. These convolutions tend to become complicated, if the sum of the dimensions $dim(Y_1) + \cdots + dim(Y_r)$ exceeds g.

In the simplest case of r smooth curves Y_i in X, where $r-1$ of them generate the abelian variety X, we show, that the convolution of r perverse sheaves δ_{Y_i} is a perverse sheaf on X for $r \leq g$. We later extend this result.

If X is the Jacobian of a curve C of genus $g \geq 2$, and if all Y_i coincide and are curves, the above assertion remains true for $r > g$ in the following weaker sense: Each r-fold iterated self convolution of δ_C is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf and a complex T, such that T is a direct sum of translates of constant sheaves on X. For this we decompose the r-fold iterated self convolutions of δ_C into direct summands δ_α parameterized by partitions α of degree $deg(\alpha) = r$. These δ_α behave nicely with respect to the convolution product on X. We prove, that δ_α is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ and a complex T_α, such that T_α is a translate of constant sheaves on X, and we compute T_α. The sheaves ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ are related to the Brill-Noether varieties in X in a natural way via the supports of the cohomology sheaves. The semisimple category $\mathcal{BN}'$, defined by direct sums of perverse constituents of the perverse sheaves ${}^p\delta_\alpha$, is a tensor category, which is a Tannakian category $\mathcal{BN}$ equivalent for $g \geq 3$ to the category $Rep(G)$ of representations of an algebraic group G over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. This group either is $Sp(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ or $Sl(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, depending on whether C is hyperelliptic or not, assuming that the Riemann constant has been normalized suitably. In particular the ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ are irreducible perverse sheaves in the latter case. The category $\mathcal{BN}$ is related to more general tensor categories $\mathcal{T}(X)$ defined for arbitrary abelian varieties X in case k has characteristic zero or is the algebraic closure of a finite field.

The Tannakian approach in chapter 7 implies most results of chapter 5 by an independent argument. However, since the arguments of chapter 5 are more elementary and hold over arbitrary algebraically closed fields k, I thought it might be useful also to present the more elementary proofs. See also [33].

Chapter 1

Preliminaries on perverse sheaves

For a variety X over an algebraically closed field k and a prime l different from the characteristic p of k let $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ denote the triangulated category of complexes of etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves on X in the sense of [15]. This category carries a standard t-structure. Truncation with respect to the standard t-structure defines the etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves on X, also called l-adic sheaves for simplicity. For a complex $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ let $\mathcal{H}^\nu(K)$ denote its etale cohomology $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves with respect to the standard t-structure. Let

$$Perv(X)$$

denote the abelian subcategory of middle perverse sheaves of the triangulated category $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Recall $K \in Perv(X)$ if and only if the complex K and its Verdier dual DK are contained in the full subcategory ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ of semi-perverse sheaves in $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, where $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is semi-perverse if and only if $dim(S_\nu) \leq \nu$ holds for all integers $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, where S_ν denotes the support of the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)$ of K. Here, by convention, the support S_ν is defined to be the Zariski closure of the locus of points x for which the stalk cohomology $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)_{\overline{x}}$ of a geometric point $\overline{x}$ over x does not vanish. For a complex $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ let $D(K)$ denote its Verdier dual. We say K is selfdual, if $K \cong D(K)$ holds.

If k is the algebraic closure of a finite field κ, then a complex K of etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-Weil sheaves is called mixed of weight $\leq w$, if all its cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^\nu(K)$ are mixed etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves with upper weights $w(\mathcal{H}^\nu(K)) - \nu \leq w$ for all integers ν. It is called pure of weight w, if K and its Verdier dual DK are mixed of weight $\leq w$. In this case let $Perv_m(X)$ denote the abelian category of mixed perverse sheaves on X. Concerning base fields of characteristic zero, the following should be remarked. Since all sheaves relevant for this paper will be of geometric origin in the sense of the last chapter of [3], we still dispose over the notion of the weight filtration and purity and Gabber's decomposition theorem even in case $char(k) = 0$. Hoping that this will not give too much confusion, we therefore will not make distinctions between the case where the base field is of characteristic zero or not. However it should be remarked, that all results (e.g. section 7.7) which use the curve lemma (lemma 14) are only proven under the additional condition, that either k has characteristic zero or is the algebraic closure of a finite field κ.

If $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ is an irreducible subvariety of X, and if E is a smooth l-adic sheaf defined on an essentially smooth dense open subset $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ of Y, then the sheaf defined by the intermediate extension $j_{!*}$

$$\delta_E = i_* j_{!*}(E[dim(X)])$$

is a perverse sheaf on X. δ_E is an irreducible perverse sheaf on X if and only if E is an irreducible smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on U. Every irreducible perverse sheaf is isomorphic to some δ_E for some triple (E, U, Y) with the notations above. U is not uniquely determined by δ_E, whereas the closure $Y = Y_E = \overline{U}$ only depends on δ_E. If E is the constant sheaf $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}$ on U, we usually write δ_Y instead of δ_E. In this case δ_Y is a selfdual perverse sheaf on X. If Y is irreducible, then δ_Y is pure of weight $dim(Y)$. Finally, we write λ_E respectively λ_Y for the etale sheaf $i_*(j_*(E))$ on X with support in Y. If d is the dimension of Y we write $H^i(Y)$ for the ordinary etale cohomology group $H^i(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,Y})$, and $IH^i(Y)$ for the intersection cohomology group $H^{i-d}(Y, \delta_Y)$.

Lemma 1. *If Y is a normal variety of dimension d, then $\lambda_Y = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,Y}[d]$.*

Proof: Let U be open dense smooth in Y and let $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ be the inclusion map. Since $\lambda_Y = \mathcal{H}^{-d}(\delta_Y)$ is $j_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,Y}$, there exists a natural morphism

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,Y} \longrightarrow j_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}) = \lambda_Y \ .$$

By assumption j is dominant and Y is normal. Hence [28] IX, lemma 2.14.1 implies, that this sheaf homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Lemma 2. *For irreducible perverse sheaves δ_E there exists a distinguished triangle $\psi_E \to \lambda_E \to \delta_E \to \psi_E[1]$, such that $\psi_E, \lambda_E, \delta_E \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and*

1. *$0 \to {}^pH^0(\psi_E) \to {}^pH^0(\lambda_E) \to \delta_E \to 0$ is exact in $Perv(X)$.*
2. *${}^pH^{-\nu}(X, \psi_E) = 0$ for $\nu \notin \{0, 1, .., dim(Y) - 2\}$.*
3. *$dim\ supp({}^pH^{-\nu}(X, \psi_E)) \leq dim(Y) - \nu - 2$ for all $\nu \geq 0$.*
4. *$\mathcal{H}^\mu({}^pH^{-\nu}(X, \psi_E)) = 0$ for all $\mu < -dim(Y) + \nu + 2$.*
5. *${}^pH^\nu(X, \psi_E) = {}^pH^\nu(X, \lambda_E)$ for all $\nu \neq 0$.*
6. *If δ_E is pure of weight w, then $w(\lambda_E) \leq w$ and $w(\psi_E) \leq w - 1$*

   $$dim\ supp(\psi_E) \leq dim(Y) - 2\ ,$$

   and the sequence in 1. defines the first step of the weight filtration of ${}^pH^0(\lambda_E)$.

Proof: This can be easily deduced from [KW], section III.5 by induction. In fact for a complex $K \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ the conditions $K \in {}^{st}D^{\geq -r}(X)$ and ${}^pH(K) \in {}^{st}D^{\geq -r+\nu}(X)$ for all ν are equivalent.

Notice

$$\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_E) \cong \mathcal{H}^{-\nu+1}(\psi_E)$$

for $\nu \neq dim(Y)$. □

Now assume X to be a scheme of finite type over the algebraic closure k of a finite field with the following properties

1. There exists an integer d such that $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf on X.
2. There exists a finite morphism $\pi : X \to Y$ and a finite group G acting on X, such that $\pi \circ g = \pi$ holds for all $g \in G$.
3. There exists an essentially smooth open dense subset $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$, such that $j_V : V = \pi^{-1}(U) \hookrightarrow X$ is open an dense in X, and such that the restriction of π from $V \to U$ is an etale Galois covering with Galois group G.

Remark: Suppose X is an irreducible local complete intersection of dimension d, then $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]$ is a perverse sheaf. Then there exists a distinguished triangle $(\psi_X, \lambda_X, \delta_X)$ with perverse ψ_X, in other words an exact sequence of perverse sheaves

$$0 \to \psi_X \to \lambda_X \to \delta_X \to 0 \ ,$$

defining the highest part of the weight filtration of λ_X, and the following conditions are equivalent: a) $\lambda_X = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ is irreducible b) λ_X is isomorphic to the intersection cohomology sheaf δ_X c) λ_X is irreducible d) λ_X is pure of weight d.

Lemma 1: *Under the assumptions 1-3) above the direct image complex*

$$K = \pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])$$

is a G-equivariant perverse sheaf on Y, which decomposes into a direct sum of perverse sheaves

$$K \ = \ \bigoplus_\rho \rho \boxtimes F_\rho \ ,$$

where the F_ρ are irreducible perverse sheaves on Y, such that

$$F_\rho[-d]$$

are etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves on Y. Furthermore $F_{\rho_1} \cong F_{\rho_2}$ if and only if $\rho_1 \cong \rho_2$.

Corollary: *If X is smooth irreducible of dimension d and $\pi : X \to Y$ is a ramified covering with Galois group G. Then $\pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]) = \bigoplus_\rho \rho \boxtimes F_\rho$ for irreducible perverse sheaves $F_\rho \in Perv(Y)$.*

Proof: $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ is irreducible perverse by assumption, hence also the Verdier dual $D(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X})$. Since $D(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X})$ and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ are isomorphic over V, they are isomorphic over X being irreducible perverse sheaves. Being irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ is pure of weight d.

π is finite and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ is a perverse sheaf. Thus $K = \pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is in ${}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$. By duality therefore $K \in Perv(Y)$. Since π is proper, K is pure of weight d. By the equivariance of π we can decompose $K = \bigoplus_\rho \rho \boxtimes F_\rho$ into isotypic components with respect to the irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representations of G. The F_ρ are pure perverse sheaves on Y. The restrictions $F_\rho|_U$ to U are irreducible smooth etale sheaves ([KW] III.15.3 d)) up to a complex shift by d. Therefore by purity

$$F_\rho = j_{!*}(F_\rho|_U) \oplus R_\rho$$

for some perverse sheaf R_ρ supported in $Y \setminus U$. In particular $F_\rho \neq 0$ for all ρ. Recall the sheaves $F_\rho|_U$ are smooth etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaves of rank $deg(\rho)$ (by [KW] III.15.3 d)). The adjunction formula ([KW], p.107) implies

$$Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(\pi^*\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]\Big) \cong Hom_{D^b_c(Y,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d], \pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]\Big) \ .$$

The dimension on the right is $\sum_{\rho_1,\rho_2} dim(\rho_1)dim(\rho_2)\cdot dim(Hom_{D^b_c(Y,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(F_{\rho_1}, F_{\rho_2}))$. Since $dim(Hom_{D^b_c(Y,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(F_\rho, F_\rho)) \geq 1$ as already seen, the claims would follow from

$$dim(Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(\pi^*\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])\Big) \ \leq \ |G|$$

using the character formula $|G| = \sum_\rho dim(\rho)^2$ and the decomposition theorem (purity of K). We remark, that $K = \pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d] \in Perv(Y)$ implies $E = \pi^*(K) = \pi^*\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d] \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ by [KW] lemma III.7.1. Therefore the distinguished triangle of perverse truncation and the long exact Hom-sequence and the truncation axiom loc. cit. p.75) gives

$$Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(\pi^*\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]\Big) \hookrightarrow Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(B, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]\Big)$$

for $B = {}^pH^0(\pi^*\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])$. Recall the process of truncation (using notation from KW p. 140): It is clear, that for $E = \pi^*(K) = \pi^*\pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X})$ the exact triangle $({}^p\tau_{\leq -1}j_V^*(E), j_V^*(E), {}^pH^0(j_V^*(E)))$ defines an exact triangle $(F, E, Rj_{V,*}{}^p\tau_{\geq 0}(j_V^*(E)))$ by adjunction. Notice ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}(j_V^*(E)) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V}[d]^{|G|}$. By the definition of truncation $B = {}^pH^0(E)$ sits in a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S_1 \arrow[r] & B = {}^pH^0(E) \arrow[r] & Rj_{V,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V}[d])^{|G|} \\
& E \arrow[u] & \\
& A \arrow[u] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

with perverse sheaves S_1 and A supported in $X \setminus V$. This implies

$$B|_V = {}^pH^0(E)|_V \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V}[d]^{|G|} \ .$$

Weight filtration of B: Since $w(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[d]) \leq d$ also $w(K) \leq d$, using that π is proper. But then also $w(E) \leq d$ for $E = \pi^*(K)$. Now [KW] corollary 10.2 implies $w(B) \leq$

d for $B = {}^pH^0(E)$. The weight filtration of the perverse sheaf B defines an exact sequence of perverse sheaves

$$0 \to B_{w<d} \to B \to B_{w=d} \to 0 \ ,$$

where $B_{w=d}$ is pure of weight d and $w(B_{w<d}) < d$. By assumption $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ has weight $\geq d$. Hence [KW] proposition II.12.6 and lemma III.4.3 imply

$$Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(B_{w<d}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])) = 0 \ .$$

Hence the long exact Hom-sequence gives an inclusion

$$Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(B, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])\Big) \hookrightarrow Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}\Big(B_{w=0}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])\Big) \ .$$

To prove the lemma, it therefore is enough by the chain of inclusion obtained to prove the estimate

$$dim\Big(Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(B_{w=0}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])\Big) \leq |G| \ .$$

By purity the perverse sheaf $B_{w=d}$ is a direct sum of $j_{V,!*}(B|_V)$ and a perverse sheaf C supported in $X \setminus V$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]$ is irreducible, there does not exist a nontrivial morphism from C to $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$. We have also seen above $B|_V = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V}^{|G|}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]$ is irreducible, therefore $j_{V,!*}(B|_V) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d]^{|G|}$, since $B_{w<d}|_V = 0$ and $B_{w=0}|_V = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V}^{|G|}$. In other words by Gabber's theorem

$$dim\Big(Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(B_{w=0}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}[d])\Big) = |G| \ .$$

This proves the lemma, i.e. forces all F_ρ to irreducible.

Chapter 2

Convolution for abelian varieties

2.1 Abelian varieties

Suppose X is an abelian variety over k with addition law

$$X \times X \xrightarrow{a} X \ .$$

Let g be its dimension. For complexes K, L in $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ the convolution $K * L$ in $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is defined by

$$K * L = Ra_*(K \boxtimes L) \ .$$

Convolution commutes with complex shifts $K[m] * L[n] = K * L[m+n]$.

Commutativity: The tensor product of two sheaf complexes F and G (in our case $F = pr_1^*(K)$ and $G = pr_2^*(L)$) is defined by $(F \otimes G)^n = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F^p \otimes G^q$ with differentials $d(F \otimes G)\big|(F^p \otimes G^q) = d(F)|F^p \otimes Id + (-1)^p Id \otimes d(G)|G^q$. The commutativity constraints for complexes $\psi_{F,G} = (\psi_{F,G}^n)$

$$\psi_{F,G}^n : (F \otimes G)^n \ = \ \bigoplus_{p+q=n} F^p \otimes G^q \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{q+p=n} G^q \otimes F^p \ = \ (G \otimes F)^n$$

are defined by $\psi_{F,G}^n\big|F^p \otimes G^q = (-1)^{pq}\psi_{F^p,G^q}$, where ψ_{F^p,G^q} are the 'trivial' commutativity constraints for sheaves. In particular for a sheaf F and a sheaf complex G one has $F \otimes G = G \otimes F$. This induces functorial isomorphisms $\tilde{\psi}_{K,L} : \sigma_2^*(K \boxtimes L) \cong L \boxtimes K$ via the canonical isomorphisms via $\tilde{\psi}_{K,L} = \sigma_{12}^*(\psi_{pr_1^*(K), pr_2^*(L)})$

$$K \boxtimes L = pr_1^*(K) \otimes^L pr_2^*(L) \ \cong \ \sigma_{12}^*(pr_1^*(L) \otimes^L pr_2^*(K)) = \sigma_{12}^*(L \boxtimes K) \ .$$

Notice $pr_1^*(K)\otimes^L pr_2^*(L) = \sigma_{12}^*(pr_2^*(K)\otimes^L pr_1^*(L))$ for the permutation $\sigma_{12} : X^2 \to X^2$, which are defined by $\sigma_{12}(x,y) = (y,x)$. The isomorphism $\tilde{\psi}_{K,L}$ above is the pullback via σ_{12}^* of the isomorphism $pr_1^*(K)\otimes^L pr_2^*(L) \cong pr_2^*(L)\otimes^L pr_1^*(K)$ for the ordinary tensor product $\otimes^L$ of the triangulated category $D_c^b(X^2,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ described by the ordinary tenor product of sheaf complexes $F = pr_1^*(K)$ and $G = pr_2^*(L)$. This implies the existence of functorial commutativity constraints $\Psi_{K,L} : K*L \cong L*K$ for the convolution product via

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K*L \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{K,L}"', "\sim"] & Ra_*(K\boxtimes L) \arrow[r, "Ra_*\tilde{\psi}_{K,L}^{-1}"] & Ra_*(\sigma_{12}^*(L\boxtimes K)) \arrow[d, equal] \\
L*K \arrow[r, equal] & Ra_*(L\boxtimes K) \arrow[r, equal] & Ra_*(L\boxtimes K)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The commutativity constraints $\Psi_{K,L} = Ra_*\tilde{\psi}_{K,L}^{-1} : K*L \cong L*K$ are derived as direct images under $a : X\times X \to X$ from the commutativity constraints of the outer tensor products, and from $Ra_*\circ\sigma_{12}^* = Ra_*$

Associativity: The existence of functorial associativity isomorphisms $\varphi_{K,L,M} : (K\boxtimes L)\boxtimes M \cong K\boxtimes(L\boxtimes M)$ for the exterior tensor product of complexes implies, that the convolution is associative with certain functorial associativity constraints $\Phi_{K,L,M} : (K*L)*M \cong K*(L*M)$ via

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(K*L)*M \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[dd, equal, "\Phi_{K,L,M}"'] & Ra_*R(a\times id)_*((K\boxtimes L)\boxtimes M) \arrow[d, equal, "Ra_*R(a\times id)_*id_{K,L,M}"] \\
 & Ra_*R(a\times id)_*(K\boxtimes(L\boxtimes M)) \arrow[d, equal] \\
K*(L*M) \arrow[r, equal] & Ra_*R(id\times a)_*(K\boxtimes(L\boxtimes M))
\end{tikzcd}$$

since $a\circ(a\times id) = a\circ(id\times a)$. Associativity $(F\otimes G)\otimes H = F\otimes(G\otimes H)$ is satisfied for sheaf complexes, hence also in $D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ in the strict sense

$$d((F\otimes G)\otimes H)|(F^p\otimes G^q\otimes H^r)$$

$$= d(F)|F^p\otimes id\otimes id + (-1)^p\cdot id\otimes d(G)|G^q\otimes id + (-1)^{p+q}\cdot id\otimes id\otimes d(H)|H^r$$

$$= d(F\otimes(G\otimes H))|(F^p\otimes G^q\otimes H^r)\ .$$

The commutativity and associativity constraints for the convolution product satisfy the usual hexagon and pentagon axiom.

Supports: By the proper base change theorem the stalk of the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^\nu(K*L)_z$ of $K*L$ at a point z of X is $H^\nu(X, K\otimes^L\varphi_z^*(L))$, where

$$\varphi_z : X \to X \quad , \quad \varphi_z(x) = z - x$$

and $\varphi_z^2 = id_X$. For a complex $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ define $supp(K) = \cup_\nu S^\nu$ be the union of the supports S^ν of the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)$. By definition S^ν is Zariski closed. Then $K\otimes^L\varphi_z^*(L)$ is supported in $supp(K)\cap(z - supp(L))$. Therefore by induction

$$supp(K_1 * \cdots * K_r) \subseteq supp(K_1) + \cdots + supp(K_r) \ .$$

If Y, Y' are irreducible normal closed subvarieties of the abelian variety X, we obtain

$$supp(\delta_Y * \delta_{Y'}) = supp(\delta_Y) + supp(\delta_{Y'}) = Y + Y' \ .$$

In fact $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_Y * \delta_{Y'})_z = 0$ holds for all $\nu > dim(Y) + dim(Y')$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_Y * \delta_{Y'})_z$ is equal to $H^0(Y\cap(z - Y'), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \neq 0$ for $\nu = dim(Y) + dim(Y')$ and $z \in Y + Y'$, which is Zariski closed.

Concerning Verdier duality $D : D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ we have $D(K*L) = DRa_*(K\boxtimes L) = Ra_*(D(K\boxtimes L)) = Ra_*(DK\boxtimes DL) = DK * DL$ by Poincare duality, since a is proper. The convolution $K*L$ of selfdual complexes $K\cong DK$ and $L\cong DL$ is selfdual. Similarly the convolution $K*L$ of mixed complexes K and L of weight $\leq w$ respectively weight $\leq w'$ is mixed of weight $\leq w + w'$. If K and L are pure of weights w respectively w', then $K*L$ is pure of weight $w + w'$.

Relative Künneth theorem: We now review [28], XVII.5.4 (in a slightly modified context). Given morphisms $f : X \to Y$ and $g : X' \to Y'$ complexes $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and $L \in D_c^b(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ consider $p^*K\otimes q^*L \cong K\boxtimes L \in D_c^b(X\times Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and the morphism $h = f\times g : X\times Y \to X'\times Y'$. There exists a natural morphism

$$\nu : \ Rf_*(K)\boxtimes Rg_*(L) \to Rh_*(K\boxtimes L) \ ,$$

which is induced by the basechange morphisms $(p')^*R^rf_*K \to R^rh_*(p^*(K))$ and $(q')^*R^sf_*L \to R^sh_*(q^*(L))$ and cup-product $R^rh_*(p^*(K))\times R^sh_*(q^*(L)) \to R^{r+s}h_*(K\boxtimes L)$ (see [21], p.172). If both morphisms f and g are proper, then ν is an isomorphism. This follows from the proper basechange theorem, which allows to reduce this to the case $X' = Y' = Spec(k)$ (see [28], XVII Theorem 5.4.3, or [21], thm 8.5 and p.262 loc. cit.).

Functoriality: Suppose $f : X \to Y$ is a homomorphism of abelian varieties. For complexes $K, L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ the direct image

$$Rf_* : D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to D_c^b(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

commutes with convolution

$$Rf_*(K * L) \ = Rf_*(K) \ * \ Rf_*(L) \ .$$

This is evident from the commutativity $a \circ (f \times f) = f \circ a$ of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times X \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "f\times f"'] & X \arrow[d, "f"] \\ Y \times Y \arrow[r, "a"] & Y \end{tikzcd}$$

and the relative Künneth formula for $f \times f$: Indeed $Rf_*(K * L) = Rf_* Ra_*(K \boxtimes L) = Ra_* R(f \times f)_*(K \boxtimes L) = Ra_*(Rf_*(K) \boxtimes Rf_*(L)) = Rf_*(K) * Rf_*(L)$.

The dual: For $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ we define the adjoint dual $K^\vee \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ by

$$K^\vee = (-id_X)^* D(K) \ .$$

$(-id_X)^* D = D(-id_X)^*$, $(-id_X)^*(-id_X)^* K = K$ and existence of a natural isomorphism $j_K : K \cong D(D(K))$ implies, that there exists a natural isomorphism $\lambda_K : K \cong (K^\vee)^\vee$. This defines a natural transformation $\lambda : id \to {}^{\vee\vee}$, which allows to identify the identity functor with the bidual functor $\lambda_K : K \cong K^{\vee\vee}$.

Lemma 3. $(\lambda_K)^\vee = (\lambda_{K^\vee})^{-1}$.

Proof: This can be reduced to the corresponding statement $D(j_K) = (j_{D(K)})^{-1}$ for Verdier duality, which follows from [23] (3.2.3.9) and [23] p. 51- 57, since for the $\otimes$-category $(D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), \otimes^L)$ the functor $Z \mapsto Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(Z \otimes^L X, Y)$ is representable by $Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(Z, R\mathcal{H}om(X,Y))$ for $R\mathcal{H}om(X,Y) = D(X \otimes^L D(Y))$. We notice in passing, that $K \mapsto D(K)[-2g]$ is related to the functor $f \mapsto f^t$ in [23] 3.2.3.1 using the unit object $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ of $(D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), \otimes^L)$.

$K \mapsto K^\vee$ is a contravariant functor on $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$

$$f : K \to L \quad , \quad f^\vee : L^\vee \to K^\vee \ .$$

There exist functorial isomorphisms

$$i_K : H^\bullet(X, K^\vee) \cong H^\bullet(X, K)^\vee$$

defined by the composite morphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet(X, K^\vee) \arrow[r, equal] & H^\bullet(X, (-id_X)^*(DK)) & H^\bullet(X, DK) \arrow[l, "(-id_X)^*"', "\sim"] \arrow[r, "PD", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X, K)^\vee \end{tikzcd}$$

with the last isomorphism given by Poincare duality.

Lemma 4. *For complexes* $A, B \in D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ *and complex maps* $\varphi : A \to B$ *and dual* $\varphi^\vee : B^\vee \to A^\vee$ *the following diagram commutes (*i *is a functorial isomorphism)*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet(X, B^\vee) \arrow[r, "i_B", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, "H^\bullet(\varphi^\vee)"'] & H^\bullet(X, B)^\vee \arrow[d, "H^\bullet(\varphi)^\vee"] \\ H^\bullet(X, A^\vee) \arrow[r, "i_A", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X, A)^\vee \end{tikzcd}$$

and the natural identification $\lambda_A : A \to A^{\vee\vee}$ *induces a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet(X, A) \arrow[r, "H^\bullet(\lambda_A)", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, equal, "can"'] & H^\bullet(X, A^{\vee\vee}) \arrow[d, "\sim"', "i_{A^\vee}"] \\ H^\bullet(X, A)^{\vee\vee} \arrow[r, "(i_A)^\vee", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X, A^\vee)^\vee . \end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: The first statement is easily reduced to the functoriality of Poincare duality. Also for the second statement one reduces to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet(X, A) \arrow[r, "H^\bullet(j_A)", "\sim"'] \arrow[d, equal, "can"'] & H^\bullet(X, D(D(A))) \arrow[d, "\sim"', "PD_{A^\vee}"] \\ H^\bullet(X, A)^{\vee\vee} \arrow[r, "(PD_A)^\vee", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X, D(A))^\vee . \end{tikzcd}$$

By [29], 1.2, p.155 and [28], lemma 3.2.3, p.583 Poincare duality

$$PD_A : H^\bullet(X, D(A)) \cong H^\bullet(X, A)^\vee$$

is induced from the pairing $H^\bullet(X, DA) \times H^\bullet(X, A) \to H^\bullet(X, D(A) \otimes^L A)$ followed by $H^\bullet(X, ev_A)$ using the evaluation $ev_A : D(A) \otimes^L A \to K_X = \mathbb{Q}_{l,X}[2g]$. Here we in addition used, that X is smooth and therefore $K_X = \mathbb{Q}_{l,X}[2g]$. Now compare with $H^\bullet(X, D(D(A))) \times H^\bullet(X, D(A)) \to H^\bullet(X, D(A) \otimes^L A)$ (up to switching sides) as required in the last diagram, by the commutative diagram of [23], 3.2.3.8

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \otimes^L D(A)[-2g] \arrow[r, "j_A \otimes^L id"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{A,D(A)}"] & D(D(A)) \otimes^L D(A)[-2g] \arrow[d, "ev_{D(A)}"'] \\
D(A)[-2g] \otimes^L A \arrow[r, "ev_A"] & \mathbb{Q}_l .
\end{tikzcd}$$

The condition of loc. cit are satisfied for the category $(D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), \otimes^L)$. For this see proof of lemma 3. This completes the proof.

For a homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ between abelian varieties the relative Poincare duality theorem implies

$$Rf_*(K)^\vee = Rf_*(K^\vee) \ .$$

Since homomorphisms are proper, Rf_* preserves purity of complexes and maps direct sums of translates of pure perverse sheaves to direct sums of translates of pure perverse sheaves by Gabber's decomposition theorem. Furthermore there exist functorial isomorphisms

$$(K * L)^\vee \cong K^\vee * L^\vee$$

since $DK * DL \cong D(K * L)$ and $(-id_X)^*(K * L) \cong (-id_X)^*(K) * (-id_X)^*(L)$, which follows by basechange from the cartesian diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times X \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "-id_X \times -id_X"'] & X \arrow[d, "-id_X"] & . \\
X \times X \arrow[r, "a"] & X &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Since $^\vee$ is a (contravariant) tensor functor with respect to the convolution, this usually allows to identify K and $K^{\vee\vee}$ and $f^{\vee\vee}$ and f, such that $(K^\vee * K)^\vee = K^{\vee\vee} * K^\vee = K * K^\vee$.

2.2 The Grothendieck ring $K_*(X)$

Let $K^0(Perv(X))$ denote the Grothendieck group of the abelian category of perverse sheaves $Perv(X)$. Let $t^{1/2}$ denote an indeterminate and consider the 'enlarged' Grothendieck group

$$K^0_*(X) = K^0(Perv(X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}] \ .$$

As a variant we may also consider $K^0(Perv(F,X)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[F]$ for the larger group $Z[F]$ containing $\mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]$ (see [KF], p. 189) or the Grothendieck of the abelian category $Perv_m(X)$ of mixed perverse Weil sheaves. Notice that Verdier duality 'acts' on the Grothendieck group and this action is considered to be extended to the ring $\mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]$ by $Dt^{\pm 1/2} = t^{\mp 1/2}$. Pars pro toto we restrict ourselves to the subcategory of mixed perverse Weil sheaves, in the case where k is the algebraic closure of a finite field. The other cases are similar. Under the assumption made each irreducible object in $Perv(X)$ is a pure complex of some weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$, which defines a weight graduation in the enlarged Grothendieck group. It is easy to see, that the convolution product induces a ring structure on the enlarged Grothendieck group. For simple mixed perverse sheaves K and L we have $K * L = \oplus_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} A_\nu[\nu](\nu/2)$ for irreducible perverse sheaves A_ν using Gabber's theorem (for simplicity we choose a square root of q to define the half integral Tate twists). We define the product of the classes of K and L in the extended Grothendieck group to be $\sum_\nu cl(A_\nu)t^{-\nu/2}$. This makes the enlarged Grothendieck group into a graded ring with respect to the weight filtration. The unit element of this ring is the class of the perverse skyscraper sheaf δ_0, which is concentrated in the neutral element $0 \in X$. Assigning to a perverse sheaf K its Betti polynomial $h(K,t)$

$$h(K,t) = \sum_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}} h^\nu(X,K)\cdot t^{\nu/2} \quad , \quad h^\nu(X,K) = dim\ H^\nu(X,K)$$

defines a ring homomorphism

$$h : K_*^0(X) \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]$$

by the Künneth formulas. Since $cl(\delta_X) * cl(K) = cl(\delta_X)\cdot h(K,t)$ we now define

$$K_*(X) = K_*^0(X)\Big/\mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]\cdot cl(\delta_X)\ .$$

$K_*(X)$ with its weight graduation is a graded ring, an enhanced version of the usual homology ring $(H_*(X,\mathbb{Z}),*)$ of X endowed with the $*$-product (dual to the cohomology ring endowed with the cup-product). In the following we often do not distinguish between perverse sheaves K on X and their classes $cl(K)$ in $K_*(X)$, writing K in both cases for simplicity whenever there is little danger of confusion. t is an element of weight 0. h induces a ring homomorphism

$$K_*(X) \xrightarrow{h_*} \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]/h(\delta_X,t) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]/(t^{1/2}+2+t^{-1/2})^g\ ,$$

which under the specialization $t^{1/2} \mapsto -1$ becomes $K \mapsto \sum(-1)^\nu h^\nu(X,K)$.

The degree $deg_{t^{1/2}}(h(K,t))$ of $h(K,t)$ is called the degree of K, which is $\leq g-1$ for a perverse sheaf K on X without a nontrivial constant perverse quotient sheaf. Similar degree $deg_{t^{-1/2}}(h(K,t)) \geq g-1$ for a perverse sheaf K on X without a nontrivial constant perverse subsheaf on X. If for $K \in Perv(X)$ the highest exponent of $h(K,t)$ in $t^{1/2}$ and $t^{-1/2}$ is $\leq i$, we say K is of type i. K is of 0-type, if $h(K,t)$ is a constant polynomial. If K is pure and of type i, subquotients of K are again of type i. Also the Verdier dual $D(K)$ and $K^\vee$. If K and L are of type i, their direct sum is of type i and their convolution product of types i and j is of type $i+j$.

Lemma 5. *For a perverse sheaf K without constant quotient or constant perverse subsheaf, such that $K * K = d \cdot K$ holds in $K_*(X)$ for some integer $d \neq 0$, the polynomial $h(K,t)$ is constant.*

Proof: $R = \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]/(X+2+X^{-1})^g \cong \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]/(X+1)^{2g} \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(X+1)^{2g} \cong \mathbb{Z}[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^{2g}$. Therefore $K * K = d \cdot K$ for some integer $d \neq 0$ implies $P(P-d) = 0$ in R for the residue class P of $h(K,t)$ in R. Either $P \in (\varepsilon)$ and $P = 0$ using $P^2 = dP$, or $P \notin (\varepsilon)$. But then $P \in R^*$ and $P(P-d) = 0$ implies $P = d$.

Functoriality: A homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ between abelian varieties induces a ring homomorphism $f_* : K_*^0(X) \to K_*^0(Y)$ by $K \mapsto Rf_*(K)$.

2.3 Translation invariance

For the skyscraper sheaf δ_0 concentrated at the neutral element $0 \in X$ we have $K * \delta_0 = K$. More generally $K * \delta_{\{x\}} = T_{-x}^*(K)$, where x is a closed k-valued point in X and where $T_x(y) = y + x$ denotes the translation $T_x : X \to X$ by x. In fact

$$T_y^*(K * L) \cong T_y^*(K) * L \cong K * T_y^*(L)$$

holds for all $y \in X(k)$ by the proper basechange theorem using the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times X \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "T_y \times id"'] & X \arrow[d, "T_y"] \\ X \times X \arrow[r, "a"] & X \end{tikzcd} .$$

For $K \in D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ let $Aut(K)$ be the abstract group of all closed k-valued points x of X, for which $T^*_x(K) \cong K$ holds. A complex K is called translation-invariant, provided $Aut(K) = X(k)$. As a consequence of the formulas above, the convolution of an arbitrary $K \in D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ with a translation-invariant complex on X is a translation-invariant complex. In fact $Aut(K)$ is contained in $Aut(K * L)$.

Suppose K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf on X. Then each irreducible constituent of K is translation-invariant (e.g. use Lemma 13). Since $K = \delta_E$ for some triple (E, U, Y), where E is a smooth etale sheaf on U with Zariski closure $Y = \overline{U}$, translation invariance of K implies $Y = X$, since the subvariety Y is uniquely determined by K. Hence E must be a translation-invariant $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf over a Zariski open dense subset U of X. E corresponds to some $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-adic representation

$$\rho_E : \pi_1(X \setminus V_E, x_0) \to Gl(E_{x_0})$$

for the etale fundamental group of some open dense subvariety $U = X \setminus V_E$ of the abelian variety X and some geometric point x_0 in U. Suppose V_E is chosen minimal. Then V_E is the ramification divisor of the l-adic coefficient system E. V_E must either have dimension $g-1$, or otherwise it must be empty by the purity of branched points. If V_E is empty, then the coefficient system E is smooth on X, and E corresponds to a representation of the etale fundamental group $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ of X. The group $\pi_1(X, 0)$ is abelian for an abelian variety X (this also holds for $char(k) \neq 0$ by [22], p.167). The irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-adic representations E_χ of $\pi_1(X, 0) = \pi_1(X)^{ab}$ correspond to continuous characters (for this notion see [15], p.9)

$$\chi \in Hom_{cont}(\pi_1(X, 0), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*) \ ,$$

which correspond to projective limits of characters of finite quotient groups

$$\pi_1(X)^{ab}/n \cdot \pi_1(X)^{ab} \cong Pic^0(X)[n]^*$$

(see [20], p.132). Since the automorphism $x \mapsto -x$ acts on the first etale cohomology $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ via multiplication by -1, we obtain $(-id_X)^* E_\chi[g] \cong E_{\chi^{-1}}[g] \cong D(E_\chi[g])$. If K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf, the ramification divisor V_E of the underlying smooth sheaf E must be translation-invariant. This gives a contradiction unless $V_E = \emptyset$. Therefore an irreducible translation-invariant perverse sheaf on X is of the form $\delta_E = \delta_\chi$ for the rank one smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf $E = E_\chi$ attached to some continuous character $\chi : \pi_1(X, 0) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$. Conversely for any such character the perverse sheaf δ_χ is translation-invariant. It is enough to show this for characters $\pi_1(X, 0) \to \mu_n$. The group X acts trivially on $Pic^0(X)$ via

$\mathcal{L} \mapsto T_x^*(\mathcal{L}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$. Hence it acts trivially on $H^1(X,\mu_n) \cong Pic(X)[n] = \{a \in Pic(X) \mid na\} = 0$, since $Pic(X)[n]$ is contained in $Pic(X)^0$ (the Neron-Severi group is torsionfree).

For the trivial character χ the sheaf complex $E_\chi[g] = \delta_X$ is translation-invariant in the stronger sense, that $a^*(\delta_X) \cong pr_2^*(\delta_X)$ holds for the morphisms

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times X \arrow[r, shift left, "a"] \arrow[r, shift right, "pr_2"'] & X \end{tikzcd}$$

defined by the addition a and the second projection pr_2. Conversely, suppose K is an irreducible perverse sheaf on X such that $a^*(K) \cong pr_2^*(K)$ holds. Then K can be rigidified along the zero section ([KW], p.187), thus becomes X-equivariant ([KW],p.188). Hence $K \cong \delta_X$ by [KW] lemma 15.5. Notice, this implies

$$\delta_X * K \cong \delta_X \otimes H^*(X,K)$$

for all complexes K. In fact $a \circ \rho = pr_1$ holds for the automorphism

$$\rho : X \times X \to X \times X$$

defined by $\rho(x,y) = (x-y,y)$. Now $\rho^*(\delta_X \boxtimes K) = \rho^*(pr_1^*(\delta_X) \otimes pr_2^*(K)) = (pr_1\rho)^*(\delta_X) \otimes (pr_2\rho)^*(K) = (pr_1^*(-id_X))^*(\delta_X) \otimes pr_2^*(K) \cong \delta_X \boxtimes K$ since $(-id_X)^*\delta_X \cong \delta_X$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \times X \arrow[rr, "\rho"] \arrow[dr, "pr_1"'] & & X \times X \arrow[dl, "a"] \; . \\ & X & \end{tikzcd}$$

Hence $\delta_X * K = Ra_*(\delta_X \boxtimes K) = Ra_* R\rho_*(\rho^*(\delta_X \boxtimes K)) \cong Rpr_{1*}(\delta_X \boxtimes K) = \delta_X \otimes R\Gamma(X,K)$, which proves the assertion $\delta_X * K \cong H^*(X,K) \otimes \delta_X$.

Now we return to the case of translation-invariant irreducible perverse sheaves E_χ. Notice $\rho^*(E_\chi \boxtimes E_{\chi'}) = E_\chi \boxtimes E_{\chi'/\chi}$; it is enough to show this for etale torsion sheaves, where it suffices to compute this in $H^1(X \times X, \mu_n) \cong Pic^0(X \times X)[n] \cong Pic^0(X)[n] \times Pic^0(X)[n]$. Using this one shows as above $E_\chi * E_{\chi'} = Ra_*(E_\chi \boxtimes E_{\chi'}) = Ra_* R\rho_*(\rho^*(E_\chi \boxtimes E_{\chi'}) \cong Rpr_{1*}(E_\chi \boxtimes E_{\chi'/\chi}) = E_\chi \otimes R\Gamma(X, E_{\chi'/\chi})$. Now $R\Gamma(X,E_\chi) = 0$ for a nontrivial χ. To see this again it suffices to consider etale torsion Λ_X-module sheaves E for an Artin K-Algebra Λ. Then we can assume

$l \cdot E = 0$ for some integer l. Hence E is a direct summand of the direct image $Rl_*\Lambda_X$ of the multiplication map $l : X \to X$. Then $H^\bullet(X, E) = 0$ for all nonconstant summands, since $H^\bullet(X, \Lambda_X) = H^\bullet(X, Rl_*(\Lambda_X))$. This proves the claim, since the direct image $Rl_*(\Lambda_X)$ also contains the constant sheaf Λ_X as a direct summand. This proves the vanishing property claimed above, and implies $E_\chi * E_{\chi'} = 0$ for all $\chi \neq \chi'$. Therefore

$$\delta_\chi * \delta_\chi \;=\; \delta_\chi \otimes H^*(X, \delta_X)$$

$$\delta_\chi * \delta_{\chi'} = 0 \quad , \quad \chi \neq \chi' \,.$$

Finally, since for pure perverse sheaves K the convolution $\delta_\chi * K$ is translation-invariant, Gabber's decomposition theorem implies the formula $\delta_\chi * K = \sum_{\chi'} m(\chi') \cdot \delta'_\chi$ (in the extended Grothendieck group $K^0_*(X)$) with certain coefficients $m(\chi') \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}]$. If we multiply by δ_χ, we obtain $h(X, \delta_X) \cdot \delta_\chi * K = h(X, \delta_X) m(\chi) \cdot \delta_\chi$. Hence $\delta_\chi * K = m(\chi) \cdot \delta_\chi$ (the additive group of $K^0_*(X)$ is torsion free, since the subcategory of $Perv(X)$ generated by pure perverse Weil sheaves is semisimple). By a comparison of the stalk cohomology at the point 0 we then obtain

$$\delta_\chi * K = m(\chi) \cdot \delta_\chi$$

$$m(\chi) = h(t, \chi^{-1}, K) = \sum h^\nu(X, K \otimes E_{\chi^{-1}}) \cdot t^{\nu/2} \,.$$

The subcategory $Perv(X)_{inv}$ of the abelian category $Perv(X)$ consists of all perverse sheaves, whose irreducible constituents are translation-invariant, is a Serre subcategory. Let denote

$$\overline{Perv}(X)$$

the corresponding quotient abelian category of $Perv(X)$. The subcategory $T(X)$ of all $K \in D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, for which ${}^pH^\nu(K) \in Perv(X)_{inv}$, is a thick subcategory of the triangulated category $D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Let

$$\overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

denote the corresponding triangulated quotient category. Then the convolution

$$* : \; \overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \times \overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to \overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

remains well defined. Furthermore, for a surjective homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ between abelian varieties the direct image $Rf_*(K)$ of a complex $K \in T(X)$ is in $T(Y)$. Hence Rf_* induces a functor $\overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to \overline{D}^b_c(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.

2.4 Stalks of convolution products

For $K, L \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ the stalk cohomology groups at a point $z \in X(k)$ of the convolution product $K * L$ are

$$\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K * L)_z \;=\; H^{-\nu}\Big(a^{-1}(z), K \boxtimes L|_{a^{-1}}(z)\Big)\ .$$

$a^{-1}(z)$ is isomorphic to X and consists of the points $(x, z-x), x \in X(k)$. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K * L)_z \;=\; H^{-\nu}(X, K \otimes^L \varphi_z^*(L))\ .$$

For fixed $z \in X(k)$ we abbreviate $M = \varphi_z^*(L)$. Then there exists a spectral sequence converging to $H^{-\nu}(X, K \otimes^L M)$

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^j(K \otimes^L M)) \Longrightarrow H^{i+j}(X, K \otimes^L M)\ .$$

For $N = K \otimes^L M$ notice $\mathcal{H}^j(N) = \oplus_{a+b=j}\mathcal{H}^a(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^b(M)$. The d_2-terms look like

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j+1}(N)) \arrow[rrd] & H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^{j+1}(N)) & H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j+1}(N)) \\
H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j}(N)) \arrow[rrd] & H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^{j}(N)) & H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j}(N)) \\
H^{i-1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(N)) & H^i(X, \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(N)) & H^{i+1}(X, \mathcal{H}^{j-1}(N))
\end{tikzcd}$$

2.5 A vanishing theorem

For K and L in $D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ notice

$$R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK) \cong D(\varphi_z^*(L) \otimes^L DDK) \cong D(\varphi_z^*(L) \otimes^L K)\ .$$

By Poincare duality this induces an isomorphism

$$H^{\nu}(X, R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK)) \;\cong\; H^{-\nu}(X, \varphi_z^*(L) \otimes^L K)^\vee\ .$$

Here $V^\vee = Hom_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}(V, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ denotes the dual vector space. On the other hand, as already shown,

$$H^{-\nu}(X, \varphi_z^*(L) \otimes^L K)^\vee \;\cong\; \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(L * K)_z^\vee \;\cong\; \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}((L * K)_z)^\vee\ .$$

Hence

$$H^\nu(X, R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK)) \ \cong\ \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}((L * K)_z)^\vee \ .$$

Now assume $K, L \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$. Then $DK \in {}^pD^{\geq 0}(X)$ and $\varphi_z^*(L) \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$. Hence the cohomology groups $H^\nu(X, R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK))$ vanish for all $\nu < 0$ (see e.g. [KW] lemma III.4.3). For $\nu = 0$ we obtain

$$Hom_{Perv(X)}({}^pH^0(\varphi_z^*(L)), {}^pH^0(DK)) = Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(\varphi_z^*(L)), DK)$$

$$= H^0(X, R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK)) = H^0(X, R\mathcal{H}om(\varphi_z^*(L), DK)) \ .$$

This implies

Lemma 6. *For semi-perverse $K, L \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ the following holds*

1. *For $\nu > 0$ the stalk cohomology $\mathcal{H}^\nu(DK * L)_z = 0$ vanishes for all $z \in X$.*
2. *For $\nu = 0$ the dual of the stalk cohomology at $z \in X(k)$ is*

   $$\mathcal{H}^0(L * DK)_z^\vee \ \cong\ Hom_{Perv(X)}({}^pH^0(\varphi_z^*(L)), {}^pH^0(K)) \ .$$

   This isomorphism is functorial in K and L.

Corollary 1. *If L and K are irreducible perverse sheaves on X, then*

$$\{z \mid \mathcal{H}^0(L * K)_z \neq 0\} = \{z \in X \mid \varphi_z^*(L) \cong DK\} \ ,$$

hence

$$supp\left(\mathcal{H}^0(L * K)\right) = \overline{\{z \in X \mid \varphi_z^*(L) \cong DK\}} \ .$$

$\{z \in X \mid \varphi_z^*(L) \cong DK\}$ is a torsor under $Aut(K)$, and is contained in the closed subset

$$S(K, L) = \{z \in X \mid z - supp(L) = supp(K)\} \ .$$

Under the assumption on K and L of the last corollary

$$\boxed{supp\left(\mathcal{H}^0(K * L)\right) \subseteq S(K, L)} \ .$$

Notice $DK \cong \varphi_z^*(L)$ is equivalent to $K^\vee \cong T_z^*(L)$. For irreducible perverse sheaves K and L therefore

- $\mathcal{H}^0(K * L)_z = 0$ if $K^\vee \ncong T_x^*(L)$.
- $\mathcal{H}^0(K * L)_z \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ if $K^\vee \cong T_x^*(L)$.

Corollary 2. *For $K, L \in Perv(X)$ we have functorial isomorphisms*

$$Hom_{Perv(X)}(K,L) \ \cong\ \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)_0^\vee \ .$$

Now suppose the convolution $K * L^\vee$ is still a perverse sheaf. Since the cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^0(M)$ of a perverse sheaf M is supported in a finite set of closed points, $\mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)^\vee$ must be a skyscraper sheaf. Hence $Hom_{Perv(X)}(K,L) \ \cong \Gamma_{\{0\}}(X, \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee))$ (sections with support in 0). For a translation-invariant sheaf G on the other hand $\Gamma_{\{0\}}(X, \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)) = 0$.

This being said, let $Perv(X)'$ be a subcategory of $Perv(X)$ closed under $K \mapsto K^\vee$, such that for all $K, L \in Perv(X)'$ the complex $K * L^\vee \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf and a sum $\oplus_\nu T_\nu[\nu]$ of translation-invariant perverse sheaves $T_\nu \in Perv(X)$. Then the image of $Perv(X)'$ in the quotient category $\overline{Perv}(X)$ defines a category $\overline{Perv}(X)'$ for which convolution

$$* :\ \overline{Perv}(X)' \times \overline{Perv}(X)' \to \overline{Perv}(X)$$

is well defined.

Corollary 3. *Suppose $Perv(X)'$ is a semisimple subcategory of $Perv(X)$ such that for all $K, L \in Perv(X)'$ the complex $K * L^\vee \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf and a sum $\oplus_\nu T_\nu[\nu]$ of translation-invariant perverse sheaves $T_\nu \in Perv(X)$. Then we have functorial isomorphisms*

$$Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(K,L) \ \cong\ \Gamma_{\{0\}}(X, \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)^\vee) \ .$$

Corollary 4. *For $K, L, T \in Perv(X)$ suppose $T * K \in Perv(X)$ and $K^\vee * L \in Perv(X)$. Then 1) there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\nu_{T,K,L} :\ Hom_{Perv(X)}(T * K, L) \ \cong\ Hom_{Perv(X)}(T, K^\vee * L) \ .$$

For $T_i \in Perv(X)$ now suppose $T_i * K \in Perv(X)$. Then 2) for a morphism $f \in Hom_{Perv(X)}(T_2, T_1)$ the induced diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Hom_{Perv(X)}(T_1 * K, L) \arrow[r, "\nu_{T_1,K,L}"] \arrow[d] & Hom_{Perv(X)}(T_1, K^\vee * L) \arrow[d] \\
Hom_{Perv(X)}(T_2 * K, L) \arrow[r, "\nu_{T_2,K,L}"] & Hom_{Perv(X)}(T_2, K^\vee * L)
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. Furthermore, if the assumptions $K_i \in Perv(X)$, $T{*}K_i \in Perv(X)$ *and* $K_i^\vee * L \in Perv(X)$ *hold for* $i = 1, 2$, *then 3) they hold for* $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$ *such that* $\nu_{T,K_1 \oplus K_2,L} = \nu_{T,K_1,L} \oplus \nu_{T,K_2,L}$.

Proof: $Hom_{Perv(X)}(T * K, L) \cong \mathcal{H}^0((T * K) * L^\vee)_0^\vee \cong \mathcal{H}^0(T * (K * L^\vee))_0^\vee \cong \mathcal{H}^0(T * (K^\vee * L)^\vee)_0^\vee \cong Hom_{Perv(X)}(T, K^\vee * L)$ by corollary 2. These isomorphisms are functorial in T provided the underlying convolutions $T * K$ are perverse. The isomorphisms obviously are additive in K. $\square$

Assume $K, L, K^\vee * L \in Perv(X)$. Then for $T = \delta_0$ (also denoted $T = 1$ in the following) the assumptions are fulfilled. Hence

$$\nu_{1,K,L} : \ Hom_{Perv(X)}(K, L) = Hom_{Perv(X)}(1, K^\vee * L) \ .$$

An important case is $T = K^\vee$ and $L = 1$. Assume K and $K^\vee * K \in Perv(X)$. Then the isomorphism $\nu_{K^\vee,K,1}$ gives an evaluation map $ev_K : K^\vee * K \to 1$ via

$$ev_K \in Hom_{Perv(X)}(K^\vee * K, 1) \cong Hom_{Perv(X)}(K^\vee, K^\vee) \ni id_{K^\vee} \ .$$

By the partial functoriality stated in the last lemma one has under the assumptions $T, K, L, T * K, K^\vee * L \in Perv(X)$ and $K^\vee * L * K \in Perv(X)$ a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
g \in Hom_{Perv(X)}(T * K, L) \arrow[r, "\nu_{T,K,L}"] & Hom_{Perv(X)}(T, K^\vee * L) \ni f \\
ev \in Hom_{Perv(X)}(K^\vee * L * K, L) \arrow[u, "f^*"'] \arrow[r, "\nu_{K^\vee * L,K,L}"] & Hom_{Perv(X)}(K^\vee * L, K^\vee * L) \ni id \arrow[u, "f^*"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

In other words, under the assumptions made, there exists for $g : T * K \to L$ a unique $f : T \to K^\vee * L$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T * K \arrow[d, "f * id_K"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \\
(K^\vee * L) * K \arrow[r, "ev"] & L
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. Compare [6] (1.6.1).

In special cases there exists a more direct way to describe $\nu_{T,K,L}$.

Lemma 7. *For* $K, L \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ *one has* $Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K * L^\vee, 1) \cong \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)^\vee_0$ *via adjunction for the right arrow*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K * L^\vee \arrow[rr] \arrow[dr, "{}^{st}\tau_{\geq 0}"'] & & \delta_0 = i_{0*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \\
& \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee) \arrow[ur, dotted, "\exists !"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof: By standard truncation $Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K * L^\vee, \delta_0) = Hom_{Et(X)}(\mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee), \delta_0)$ using $\delta_0 \in {}^{st}D^{\geq 0}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and $K * L^\vee \in {}^{st}D^{\geq 0}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ (lemma 6.1). By definition the right side is $Hom_{Et(X)}(\mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee), Ri_{0,*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,0})$ where $i_0 : \{0\} \to X$ is the inclusion map. By adjunction this is $Hom_{Perv(k)}(i_0^*\mathcal{H}^0(K*L^\vee), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = \mathcal{H}^0(K*L^\vee)^\vee_0$.

For $K \in Perv(X)$ this gives a more explicit description of the morphism

$$ev_K : K^\vee * K \cong K * K^\vee \longrightarrow 1 \ ,$$

which by $Hom_{Perv(X)}(K, L) \cong \mathcal{H}^0(K*L^\vee)^\vee_0 \cong Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K*L^\vee, 1)$ (combining corollary 2 and lemma 7) corresponds to the identity map $id_K \in Hom_{Perv(X)}(K, K)$.

2.6 Rigidity

In a monoidal category P in the sense of [13], p.113), as for example in $(D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l), *)$, an object K is called rigid (or dualizable in the sense of [8] (0.1.4)), if there exists an object L together with morphisms δ and ev

$$\delta : 1 \longrightarrow K * L \quad , \quad ev : L * K \longrightarrow 1 \ ,$$

such that the following two rigidity statements hold: The composite morphisms

$$K = 1 * K \xrightarrow{\delta * id} (K * L) * K = K * (L * K) \xrightarrow{id * ev} K * 1 = K$$

$$L = 1 * L \xleftarrow{ev * id} (L * K) * L = L * (K * L) \xleftarrow{id * \delta} L * 1 = L$$

are the identity id_K respectively the identity id_L. Then L is called the dual of K. If such a triple (L, δ, ev) exists, it is unique up to a unique isomorphism ([13], p.113). If all objects of P are rigid, the category is called rigid.

Let us consider the category P of pure complexes in $D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ of geometric origin. Since the associativity constraints are strict, for some given $ev_K : K^\vee * K \to 1$ we may try to define Y to be $K^\vee$ and δ_K to be $\mu_K \cdot (ev_K)^\vee$ for some $\mu_K \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$ using the contravariant functor $(.)^\vee$ and the evaluation maps ev_K already defined at the end of section 2.1. We obtain a commutative diagram $(*)$ defining δ_K via the identification $\lambda_K : K \cong K^{\vee\vee}$ and $1^\vee = 1$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r, "ev_K^\vee"] & K^{\vee\vee} * K^\vee \\
1 \arrow[u, "\mu_K", "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\delta_K"] & K * K^\vee \arrow[u, "\sim", "\lambda_K * id_K"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

If the first rigidity formula holds for $(K^\vee, ev_K^\vee, ev_K)$, then also the second: The last diagram $(*)$ and its dual $(*)^\vee$, the dual of the first rigidity formula together with $(\lambda_K)^\vee = (\lambda_{K^\vee})^{-1}$ (lemma 3) show, that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & (K^{\vee\vee\vee} * K^{\vee\vee}) * K^\vee \arrow[dl, "\mu_K \cdot (ev_K)^{\vee\vee} * id"'] \arrow[d, "((\lambda_K)^\vee * id) * id"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "(*)^\vee", near end] & & \\
K^\vee = 1 * K^\vee & (K^\vee * K^{\vee\vee}) * K^\vee \arrow[l, "\delta_K^\vee * id"'] \arrow[r, equal] & K^\vee * (K^{\vee\vee} * K^\vee) & K^\vee * 1 = K^\vee \arrow[l, "id * ev_K^\vee"'] \arrow[dl, "id * \delta_K \cdot \mu_K^{-1}"] \arrow[dl, phantom, "(*)"', near start] \\
 & (K^\vee * K) * K^\vee \arrow[ul, "\mu_K \cdot ev_K * 1"] \arrow[u, "(id * \lambda_K) * id"'] \arrow[r, equal] & K^\vee * (K * K^\vee) \arrow[u, "id * (\lambda_K * id)"] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. The middle line composed gives the identity morphism of $K^\vee$. Hence the lower morphisms composed also give the identity morphism. This shows, that it is enough to find a morphism ev_K, which together with $\delta_K = \mu_K \cdot (ev_K)^\vee$ satisfies the first rigidity property.

Now we make the

Assumption: $K \in Perv(X)$ is simple of geometric origin.

Then $End_{Perv(X)}(K) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. By lemma 2 und 7

$$dim\big(Hom_{D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K^\vee * K, 1)\big) = 1 \ .$$

Hence up to a scalar there is a unique possible choice for ev_K.

Step 1. Therefore, by the irreducibility of K the first rigidity property holds for a suitable generator of

$$Hom_{D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K^\vee * K, 1)$$

if and only if the composite map $K \to K$, given in the first rigidity formula, is not the zero map. Whether this holds can be checked from the induced endomorphism $H^\bullet(ev_K) : H^\bullet(X,K) \to H^\bullet(X,K)$ provided $H^\bullet(X,K)$ does not vanish. That this can happen is evident in the case $K = \delta_\chi$ for a nontrivial character χ. However in the case where $K^\vee * K \in Perv(X)$, which will be relevant for us later, the decomposition theorem implies $H^\bullet(X,K) \neq 0$, since $1 = \delta_0$ then is a direct summand of the pure complex $K^\vee * K$ in $Perv(X)$. Hence $H^\bullet(X,K) \neq 0$ by the Künneth formula. So we make the additional

Assumption: $H^\bullet(X,K) \neq 0$.

We then want to determine the map

$$H^\bullet(X, K^\vee * K) \overset{H^\bullet(ev_K)}{\longrightarrow} H^\bullet(X,\delta_0)$$

(up to a nonvanishing scalar in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$) induced by a fixed generator

$$ev_K : K^\vee * K \to \delta_0$$

of the one dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vectorspace $Hom_{D^b_c(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)}(K^\vee * K, \delta_0)$.

Step 2. There exist functorial isomorphisms

$$i_K : H^\bullet(X, K^\vee) \cong H^\bullet(X,K)^\vee$$

defined by the composite morphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} H^\bullet(X,K^\vee) \arrow[r, equal] & H^\bullet(X,(-id_X)^*(DK)) & H^\bullet(X,DK) \arrow[l, "(-id_X)^*"', "\sim"] \arrow[r, "PD", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X,K)^\vee \end{tikzcd}$$

with the last isomorphism given by Poincare duality. For $K, L \in D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ there exist isomorphisms $c_{K,L}$

$$c_{K,L} : H^\bullet(X, K * L) \cong H^\bullet(X,K) \otimes^s H^\bullet(X,L)$$

defined as the composite of the following isomorphisms

$$H^\bullet(X,K*L) = H^\bullet(X, Ra_*(K \boxtimes L)) \cong H^\bullet(X \times X, K \boxtimes L) \xleftarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(X,K) \otimes^s H^\bullet(X,L)\ .$$

The second morphism comes from Leray, the third from the Künneth formula. These functorial isomorphisms make $H^\bullet(X,-)$ into a tensor functor in the sense of [7].2.7.

Lemma 8. *The isomorphisms $c_{K,L}$ define a tensor functor ($\otimes$-functor ACU)*

$$H^\bullet(X,-):\ (D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l),*) \to (Vec^{\pm}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l},\otimes^s)$$

from $(D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l),*)$ to the tensor category $(Vec^{\pm}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l},\otimes^s)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-super-vectorspaces.

Proof: The Künneth theorem implies $(c_{A,B}\otimes^s id)\circ c_{A*B,C} = (id\otimes^s c_{B,C})\circ c_{A,B*C}$, i.e compatibility with associativity. Compatibility of the commutativity constraints can be reduced to the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(X\times X, K\boxtimes L) \arrow[r, "H^\bullet(\psi_{pr_1^*(K),pr_2^*(L)})"] & H^\bullet(X\times X, L\boxtimes K) \\
H^\bullet(X,K)\otimes^s H^\bullet(X,L) \arrow[u, "\sim"', "Kuenneth"] \arrow[r, "superconstraints"] & H^\bullet(X,L)\otimes^s H^\bullet(X,K) \arrow[u, "Kuenneth", "\sim"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

For this, one can assume K and L to be replaced by injective resolutions. Then this follows immediately from the definition of the complex constraints $\psi_{pr_1^*(K),pr_2^*(L)})$ and the Künneth isomorphism. The case of unit object is trivial.

In particular we now have the functorial isomorphisms

$$(i_K\otimes id)\circ c_{K^\vee,K} : H^\bullet(X,K^\vee * K) \cong H^\bullet(X,K)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(X,K)\ .$$

Step 3. For $f(x,y) = y - x$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X\times X \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "(-id_X)\times id"'] & X \arrow[d, equal] \\
X\times X \arrow[r, "a"] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

we have an associated commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H^\bullet(X,K^\vee * L) \arrow[dl, equal] \arrow[dr, equal] & \\
H^\bullet(X,Ra_*(K^\vee\boxtimes L)) \arrow[rr, "(-id_X)^*\times id"] \arrow[d, "\sim"'] & & H^\bullet(X,Rf_*(D(K)\boxtimes L)) \arrow[d, "\sim"', "Leray"] \\
H^\bullet(X\times X,K^\vee\boxtimes L) \arrow[rr, "(-id_X)^*\times id"] & & H^\bullet(X\times X,D(K)\boxtimes L) \\
H^\bullet(X,K^\vee)\otimes^s H^\bullet(X,L) \arrow[u, "\sim"] \arrow[rr, "(-id_X)^*\otimes id"] & & H^\bullet(X,D(K))\otimes^s H^\bullet(X,L) \arrow[u, "\sim"', "Kuenneth"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

If we compare $(i_K \otimes id) \circ c_{K^\vee,K}$ with the map, which is obtained from going down the left side (which is $c_{K^\vee,K}$) and then along the bottom line of the large diagram, we obtain an alternative description of $(i_K \otimes id) \circ c_{K^\vee,K}$ as the composite

$$H^\bullet(X, K^\vee * K) = H^\bullet(X, Rf_*(D(K) \boxtimes K))$$

$$\cong \; H^\bullet(X \times X, D(K) \boxtimes K) \xleftarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(X, D(K)) \otimes^s H^\bullet(X, K)$$

$$\cong \; H^\bullet(X, K)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(X, K)\ .$$

The second isomorphism comes from Leray, the third from the Künneth formula, the last from Poincare duality.

Our aim: We would like to know, whether the tensor functor $H^\bullet(X, -) : D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to Vec^{\pm}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}$ (defined using the above identification isomorphism), makes the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K^\vee * K \arrow[r, dotted] \arrow[d, "ev_K"'] & H^\bullet(X, K)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(X, K) \arrow[d, "H^\bullet(ev_K)\circ c^{-1}_{K^\vee,K}\circ(i_K^{-1}\times id)"] \\
\delta_0 \arrow[r, dotted] & H^\bullet(X, \delta_0) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. maps ev_K for a suitable choice of ev_K to the canonical evaluation map $eval$ of $(Vec^{\pm}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}, \otimes^s)$

$$H^\bullet(X, ev_K) \;\overset{?}{=}\; eval \circ (i_K \times id) \circ c_{K^\vee,K}\ .$$

Step 4. Notice $H^\bullet(X, \delta_0) = H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ for the closed immersion $i_0 : \{0\} \hookrightarrow X$. Hence $H^\bullet(ev_K)$ factorizes over the cohomology in degree zero

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(X, K^\vee * K) \arrow[r, "pr^0"] \arrow[d, "H^\bullet(ev_K)"'] & H^0(X, K^\vee * K) \arrow[d, "H^0(ev_K)"] \\
H^\bullet(X, \delta_0) \arrow[r, equal] & H^0(X, \delta_0) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

Step 5. For any $f : Y \to X$ and complex map $\varphi : L \to L'$ one has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(X, L) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & H^\bullet(Y, f^*(L)) \arrow[d] \\
H^\bullet(X, L') \arrow[r] & H^\bullet(Y, f^*(L'))
\end{tikzcd}$$

for the canonical morphisms $H^\bullet(X,L) \to H^\bullet(Y, f^*(L))$, denoted res_L in the case of closed immersions $f : Y \hookrightarrow X$. Applied for a complex map $\varphi : L \to L' = \delta_0 = i_{0*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ we get – with $L = K^\vee * K$ in mind – the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(X,L) \arrow[r, "res"] \arrow[d, "H^0(\varphi)"'] & H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(L)) \arrow[d, "i_0^*(\varphi)"] \\
H^0(X,\delta_0) \arrow[r, "res"] & H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(\delta_0)) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $i_0^*(\delta_0) = i_0^* i_{0*}\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$.

Step 6. We compute the restriction maps of step 5 for $L = Rf_*(DK \boxtimes K)$ via the proper base change using the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times X \arrow[r, "f"] & X \\
\Delta_X \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\overline{f}"] & \{0\}. \arrow[u, hook, "i_0"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

For the diagram of step 5 (see also step 3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(X, K^\vee * K) = H^0(X, Rf_*(DK \boxtimes K)) \arrow[r, "res"] \arrow[d, "H^0(ev_K)"'] & H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(Rf_*(DK \boxtimes K))) \arrow[d] \\
H^0(X,\delta_0) \arrow[r, "res"] & H^0(\{0\}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)
\end{tikzcd}$$

this expresses $i_0^*(Rf_*(D(K) \boxtimes K))$ by $R\overline{f}_*(D(K) \boxtimes K|_{\Delta_X}) = R\overline{f}_*(D(K) \otimes^L K)$, where the latter is a complex over $\{0\} = Spec(k)$, hence can be identified with $H^\bullet(\Delta_X, D(K) \otimes^L K)$, making the following diagram commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(X \times X, D(K) \boxtimes K) \arrow[r, "res"] & H^0(\Delta_X, D(K) \otimes^L K) \\
H^0(X, Rf_*(DK \boxtimes K)) \arrow[r, "res"] \arrow[u, "Leray", "\sim"'] & H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(Rf_*(D(K) \boxtimes K))), \arrow[u, "\sim", "Leray"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

with the vertical isomorphisms being of Leray type. Since the Künneth isomorphism is defined by cup-product, and since cup-products are functorial with respect to pullbacks (this follows immediately from their definition given e.g. in

[21], p.167ff), we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} H^i(X,D(K)) \otimes^s H^{-i}(X,K) \arrow[r, "\cup"] \arrow[d, "Kuenneth"', "\sim"] & H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K) \arrow[d, equal] \\
H^0(X\times X, D(K)\boxtimes K) \arrow[r, "res"] & H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Notice, for the closed immersion $i_X : \Delta_X \to X\times X$ and the map $res = i_X^*$ this uses $i_X^*(pr_1^*(x)\cup pr_2^*(y)) = i_X^*(pr_1^*(x)) \cup i_X^*(pr_2^*(y)) = x\cup y$, and $Kuenneth(x\otimes^\varepsilon y) = pr_1^*(x)\boxtimes pr_2^*(y)$.

Let K_X denote the dualizing sheaf on X. The Poincare duality map ([29], section 1.2, p.155 together with [28], lemma 3.2.3, p.583 with $L=\Lambda$ the constant sheaf) it is obtained by composing the cup product $H^{-i}(X,D(K))\otimes^s H^i(X,K) \to H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K)$, with the map S_K induced by $DK\otimes^L K = R\mathcal{H}om(K,K_X)\otimes^L K \to K_X$ on the zero-th cohomology group, and the canonical isomorphism $H^0(X,K_X) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. There are several equivalent possibilities to describe the cup product (see [21], V§1, p.167ff and in particular prop.V.1.16). Composing all the recent commutative diagrams, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} H^i(X,K)^\vee \otimes^s H^i(X,K) \arrow[r, "eval"] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \\
\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} H^{-i}(X,D(K)) \otimes^s H^i(X,K) \arrow[u, "PD\otimes^s id", "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "\cup"] \arrow[d, "Kuenneth"', "\sim"] & H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K) \arrow[u, two heads, "S_K"] \arrow[d, equal] \\
H^0(X\times X, D(K)\boxtimes K) \arrow[r, "res"] & H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K) \\
H^0(X, Rf_*(DK\boxtimes K)) \arrow[u, "Leray", "\sim"'] \arrow[r, "res"] \arrow[d, equal] & H^0(\{0\}, i_0^*(Rf_*(D(K)\boxtimes K))), \arrow[u, "\sim", "Leray"'] \arrow[dd, "i_0^*(ev_K)"] \\
H^0(X, K^\vee * K) \arrow[d, "H^0(ev_K)"'] & \\
H^0(X,\delta_0) \arrow[r, "res", "\sim"'] & H^0(\{0\},\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)
\end{tikzcd}$$

By step 3 the left vertical map from top to bottom is $H^\bullet(ev_K)\circ c_{K^\vee,K}^{-1}\circ(i_K^{-1}\times id)$. By lemma 7 the map $i_0^*(ev_K)$ is nonzero, since ev_K was chosen to be nonzero. So complete our aim it is enough to show, that all $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vectorspaces on the right side of the last diagram are one dimensional. Since S_K is surjective (Poincare duality is nondegenerate), and $i_0^*(ev_K)$ is nonzero, this implies that all vertical maps on the right side are isomorphisms, as well as the bottom horizontal map. Hence the composition of this map is given by multiplication with a nonzero constant in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. This constant therefore is 1, if ev_K was chosen suitable.

Step 7. It remains to show $H^0(\Delta_X, D(K)\otimes^L K) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. Let $K = i_*(\delta_E)$ for $i : Y \hookrightarrow X$ be the support of K. Notice $K = i_*(\delta_E)$ and $D(K) = \delta_{\tilde{E}} \in Perv(Y)$, where $\tilde{E}|_U \cong (E|_U)^*$ holds on a smooth open dense irreducible subset U of the support Y of K. $E|_U$ is an irreducible smooth $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on U. Let d denote the dimension of Y. We claim

$$H^0(\Delta_Y, D(K)\otimes^L K) \cong H^0(Y, \lambda_{\tilde{E}}\otimes\lambda_E) \cong H_c^{2d}(U, E^*\otimes E) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^{2d}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}) \xrightarrow{Sp} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \,,$$

where $(E|_U)^* \otimes E|_U \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}$ is the adjoint of $id \in Hom_{Et}(E|_U, E|_U)$, and where Sp denotes the trace isomorphism. The first isomorphism either from section 2.5, or from the spectral sequence exploited in section 2.8, the second from excision and standard vanishing theorems, the third from the vanishing theorems of etale cohomology implied by Poincare duality on U, and the last from the trace isomorphism. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(X, D(K)\otimes^L K) \arrow[r] & H^0(X, K_X) \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \\
H^0(Y, D(\delta_E)\otimes^L \delta_E) \arrow[u, "\sim"] \arrow[r] & H^0(Y, K_Y) \arrow[u] \arrow[r] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \arrow[u, equal] \\
H_c^0\big(U, (E|_U)^*[d]\otimes E|_U[d]\big) \arrow[u, "\sim"] \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\sim"] & H_c^0(U, K_U) \arrow[u] \arrow[r, "\cong"] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \arrow[u, equal] \arrow[d, equal] \\
H_c^{2d}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \arrow[rr, "Sp", "\sim"'] & & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

The morphisms on the right side are the trace isomorphisms. Let $j : U \hookrightarrow Y$ and $i = i_Y : Y \to X$ denote the inclusions, and $s_X : X \to Spec(k)$ and $s_Y : Y \to Spec(k)$ the structure morphisms. Then for a complex L with support in Y, i.e. $L \in i_*(D_c^b(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, we have $i^*(L) = i^!(L)$ and the adjunction morphisms $i_*i^!(L) \to L$ is an isomorphism. Hence $H^\nu(X, L) = H^\nu(Y, i^!(L)) = H^\nu(Y, i^*(L))$. Notice $i^*(A\otimes^L B) = i^*(A)\otimes^L i^*(B)$ and $L = K\otimes^L D(K) \in i_*(D_c^b(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Hence

$i^!(K \otimes^L D(K)) = i^!(K \otimes^L R\mathcal{H}om(K, K_X)) = i^*(K \otimes^L R\mathcal{H}om(K, K_X)) = i^*(K) \otimes^L i^*(R\mathcal{H}om(K, K_X)) = \delta_E \otimes^L i^!(R\mathcal{H}om(K, K_X))$, since $K = i_*(\delta_E)$ and $D(K) = i_*(D(\delta_E))$. Now use $i^!(R\mathcal{H}om(A, B)) = R\mathcal{H}om(i^*(A), i^!(B))$ and $K_X = s_X^!(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and $K_Y = s_Y^!(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = i^! s_X^!(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = i^!(K_X)$ to obtain $i^!(K \otimes^L D(K)) = \delta_E \otimes^L D(\delta_E)$ and $K_Y = i^!(K_X)$. Therefore, if we apply $i^!$ to the evaluation $a : K \otimes^L D(K) \to K_X$, we obtain the evaluation $i^!(a) : \delta_E \otimes^L D(\delta_E) \to K_Y$. This gives the upper left commutative diagram induced by $i^!$ using $K, D(K) \in i_*(D_c^b(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. The second commutative diagram below is obtained similarly by the restriction $j^* = j^!$ and the natural adjunction map $Rj_! j^*(L) \to L$. Notice, as explained above, that this map induces an isomorphism $H_c^0(U, L|_U) \to H^0(Y, L)$ on the first cohomology group for $L = \delta_E \otimes^L D(\delta_E)$. Similarly $H_c^0(U, K_U) \to H^0(Y, K_Y)$ by $K_U = j^!(K_Y) = j^*(K_Y)$. Commutativity of the lowest part of the last diagram is obvious. The composed map $H_c^0(U, K_U) \to H^0(Y, K_Y) \to H^0(X, K_X)$ is an isomorphism. To show this, observe that one can find an open dense subset $V \subseteq X$, such that $V \cap Y \subseteq U$. Hence without restriction of generality $V \cap Y = U$, and $U \subseteq V$ is a smooth pair. Then $H_c^0(U, K_U) = H_c^{2d}(U, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and $H^0(X, K_X) = H^{2g}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) = H_c^{2g}(V, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, and the isomorphism comes from the Gysin map via the purity theorem $(i|_U)^! \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,V} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}[2d - 2g]$. See [28], XVI, theorem 3.7.

Together the last steps imply

Corollary 5. *Suppose $K \in Perv(X)$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf, such that $H^\bullet(X, K) \neq 0$ (e.g. $K^\vee * K \in Perv(X)$). Then for a suitable choice of ev_K in the one dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vectorspace $Hom_{Perv(X)}(K^\vee * K, \delta_0)$*

$$H^\bullet(X, ev_K) = eval \circ (i_K \times id) \circ c_{K^\vee, K}$$

holds.

By step 7 and the last part of step 6 we also obtained

Theorem 1. *For an irreducible perverse sheaf K on a projective variety Y the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(Y \times Y, D(K) \boxtimes K) \arrow[r, "res"] \arrow[d, "\mu"', "\sim"] & H^\bullet(\Delta_Y, D(K) \otimes^L K) \arrow[d, "S_K \circ pr^0"] \\
H^\bullet(Y, K)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(Y, K) \arrow[r, "eval"] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes, where the left vertical isomorphism μ *is composition*

$$H^\bullet(Y \times Y, D(K) \boxtimes K) \cong H^\bullet(Y, D(K)) \otimes^s H^\bullet(Y, K) \cong H^\bullet(Y, K)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(Y, K)$$

of the Künneth and the Poincare duality isomorphism.

Suppose Y projective and smooth and $K = \delta_Y$. Then in fact this is a version of the Grothendieck-Verdier fixed point formula [30], p.101, [29], cycle, section 2.3 and also [21], theorem 12.3 or [14], p.155. In section 7.2 we will use this description of Poincare duality in terms of nondegeneracy of the the cup-product (theorem 1) for $K = \delta_Y$, where Y is a smooth projective curve Y.

Step 8. We now show the dual version of corollary 5 by reducing it to corollary 5. For any complexes $A, B \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ and complex maps $\varphi : A \to B$ there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(X,(A*B)^\vee) \arrow[r, "i_{A*B}", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X, A*B)^\vee & (H^\bullet(X,A) \otimes^s H^\bullet(X,B))^\vee \arrow[l, "(c_{A,B})^\vee"', "\sim"] \arrow[d, equal] \\
H^\bullet(X, A^\vee * B^\vee) \arrow[u, "\sim"] \arrow[r, "c_{A^\vee,B^\vee}", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X,A^\vee) \otimes H^\bullet(X,B^\vee) \arrow[r, "i_A \times i_B", "\sim"'] & H^\bullet(X,A)^\vee \otimes^s H^\bullet(X,B)^\vee
\end{tikzcd}$$

For the other isomorphisms see step 2. In fact this corresponds to diagram 4.3.3.3 of [23], since $H^\bullet(X, -)$ is a tensor functor by lemma 8.

Now assume, that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(K^\vee * K) \arrow[r, "c_{K^\vee,K}"] \arrow[d, "H(ev_K)"'] & H(K^\vee) \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[r, "i_K \otimes^s id"] & H(K)^\vee \otimes H(K) \arrow[dll, "eval"] \\
H(1) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. We abbreviated $H^\bullet(X, -)$ by $H(-)$ for simplicity. Then the dual of this diagram becomes the right lower sub-diagram of

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(K * K^\vee) \arrow[r, "c_{K,K^\vee}"] \arrow[d, "H(\lambda_K * id)"'] & H(K) \otimes^s H(K^\vee) \arrow[rr, "id \otimes^s i_K"] \arrow[d, "H(\lambda_K) \otimes^s id"'] & & H(K) \otimes^s H(K)^\vee \arrow[dd, "can \otimes^s id"] \\
H(K^{\vee\vee} * K^\vee) \arrow[r, "c_{K^{\vee\vee},K^\vee}"] & H(K^{\vee\vee}) \otimes^s H(K^\vee) \arrow[r, "i_{K^\vee} \times i_K"] & H(K^\vee)^\vee \otimes^s H(K)^\vee \arrow[d, equal] & \\
H((K^\vee * K)^\vee) \arrow[u, "\sim"] \arrow[r, "i_{K^\vee * K}"] & H(K^\vee * K)^\vee & H(K^\vee)^\vee \otimes^s H(K)^\vee \arrow[l, "(c_{K^\vee,K})^\vee"'] & H(K)^{\vee\vee} \otimes^s H(K)^\vee \arrow[l, "(i_K)^\vee \otimes^s id"'] \\
H(1^\vee) \arrow[u, "H(ev_K^\vee)"] \arrow[r, equal] & H(1)^\vee \arrow[u, "H(ev_K)^\vee"'] \arrow[urr, "eval^\vee"'] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using the first diagram of lemma 4 (left bottom), the compatibility diagram stated above (middle) and the second compatibility diagram of lemma 4 (right upper sub-diagram) together with functoriality (upper left diagram) we obtain

$$(eval)^\vee = (id \otimes i_K) \circ c_{K,K^\vee} \circ H(\delta_K)\ .$$

Ignoring the identification isomorphisms, this can be simplified to the statement: $H(ev_K) = eval$ implies $H(\delta_K) = eval^\vee$.

Step 9. By functoriality and $(c_{A,B} \otimes^s id) \circ c_{A*B,C} = (id \otimes^s c_{B,C}) \circ c_{A,B*C}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H(1 * K) \arrow[r, "H(\delta_K) * id"] \arrow[d, equal, "c_{1,K}"] & H((K * K^\vee) * K) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "c_{K*K^\vee,K}"'] & H(K * (K^\vee * K)) \arrow[r, "id * H(ev_K)"] \arrow[d, "c_{K,K^\vee * K}"'] & H(K * 1) \arrow[d, equal, "c_{K,1}"'] \\
H(1) \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[r, "H(\delta_K) \otimes^s id"] \arrow[dd, equal] & H(K * K^\vee) \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[d, "c_{K,K^\vee} * id"'] & H(K) \otimes^s H(K^\vee * K) \arrow[r, "id \otimes^s H(ev_K)"] \arrow[d, "id * c_{K^\vee,K}"'] & H(K) \otimes^s H(1) \arrow[dd, equal] \\
& (H(K) \otimes^s H(K^\vee)) \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d, "id * i_K * id"'] & H(K) \otimes^s (H(K^\vee) \otimes^s H(K)) \arrow[d, "id * i_K * id"'] & \\
1 \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[r, "eval^\vee \otimes^s id"] & (H(K) \otimes^s H(K)^\vee) \otimes^s H(K) \arrow[r, equal] & H(K) \otimes^s (H(K)^\vee \otimes^s H(K)) \arrow[r, "id \otimes^s eval"] & H(K) \otimes^s 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

we get:

$$H((id_K * ev_K) \circ (\delta_K * id_K)) = (id \otimes^s eval) \circ (eval^\vee \otimes^s id) = id$$

is the identity of $H^\bullet(X,K)$, since finite dimensional super-vectorspaces are rigid in $Vec^{\pm}_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$.

By step 1 this implies, that $K \in Perv(X)$ is rigid with dual $(K^\vee, ev_K^\vee, ev_K)$, if the conjecture above holds for K and if $H^\bullet(X,K) \neq 0$.

Corollary 6. *If $K \in Perv(X)$ is simple of geometric origin with $H^\bullet(X,K) \neq 0$, then K is rigid.*

Finally for an additive, $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear karoubienne category P with the additional properties listed in [8], 1.2. (examples will be the categories $\mathcal{BN}$ and $\mathcal{T}(X)$ later) the following holds ([8] 1.15)

Lemma 9. *Direct sums, tensor products of rigid objects are rigid. Direct summands of rigid objects are rigid.*

Notice, that for a rigid pure complex $K \in Perv(X)$ and a homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ between abelian varieties over k the direct image complex $Rf_*(K) \in D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ again is rigid, hence all its summands by the decomposition theorem. Taking such direct images of δ_Y as in corollary 6, and convolution products, direct sums and subfactors all give rigid objects. In particular, this implies that the abelian categories $\mathcal{BN}$ and $\mathcal{T}(X)$ defined in section 5.8 and 7.7 are rigid.

Lemma 10. *Suppose $K_1 \to K_2 \to K_3 \to K_1[1]$ is a distinguished triangle in $D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, such that K_1 and K_3 are rigid. Then also K_2 is rigid. Translates of rigid objects are rigid.*

Proof: For the first statement see [12], Lemma IV.1.2.3. The second statement is obvious. □

Notice, that $D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is the derived category of the abelian category $Perv(X)$ by a quite deep result of Beilinson [2]. The complexes $K \in D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ with $H^\bullet(X,K) = 0$ define a tensor ideal N, i.e. $H^\bullet(X,K) = 0$ implies $H^\bullet(X,K*L) = 0$ for any $L \in D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. For a distinguished triangle $(f,g,h) : K_1 \to K_2 \to K_3 \to K_1[1]$, for which $K_3 \in N$ and the morphism $f : K_1 \to K_2$ factorizes over an element $K_3' \in N$, the objects K_1, K_2 are also in N. Obviously f induces an isomorphism $H^\bullet(X,f)$, which must be zero. Hence $H^\bullet(X,K_i) = 0$ for $i = 1,2$. This shows, that N defines a thick subcategory. This allows to pass to the quotient monoidal category $D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)/N$. The canonical functor $D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)/N$ is a tensor functor. Beilinson's result stated above and corollary 6 implies, that the full triangulated monoidal subcategory of complexes $K \in D^b_c(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, for which all simple perverse constituents of all perverse cohomology sheaves ${}^pH^i(K)$ are of geometric origin, defines a rigid monoidal subcategory of $D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)/N$. If k is the algebraic closure of a finite field, then by fundamental results of Lafforgue on the Langlands program every complex in $D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is mixed. Using Beilinson's results stated above and the weight filtration of mixed perverse sheaves, lemma 10 implies

Theorem 2. *If k is the algebraic closure of a finite field, then the monoidal category $D_c^b(X,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)/N$ is a rigid monoidal category.*

2.7 Hard Lefschetz

Assume that K and L are pure perverse sheaves of weights w respectively w'. Then K and L are semisimple by the decomposition theorem. The hard Lefschetz theorem for the projective morphism $a : X \times X \to X$ implies

$$K * L = \bigoplus_A \bigoplus_{\nu=-\nu(A)}^{\nu(A)} A[2\nu](\nu) \ ,$$

where the sum ranges over finitely many irreducible pure perverse sheaves $A \in Perv(X)$ of weight $w + w'$. Hence in the Grothendieck group the class of $K * L$ becomes

$$\sum_A A \cdot (t^{-\nu(A)/2} + \cdots + t^{\nu(A)/2}) \ .$$

For $[n]_t = (t^{n/2} - t^{-n/2})/(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})$ the class of $K * L$ is $\sum_A [1 + \nu(A)]_t \cdot A$. A priori there are the following bounds

$$\nu(A) \leq g - 1 \ ,$$

unless both K and L contain nontrivial constant perverse subsheaves. This follows from [15] III.11.3, since $a : X \times X \to X$ is a smooth morphism with geometrically connected fibers of dimension g. On the other hand

$$\nu(A) \leq dim\ supp(A)$$

because $\mathcal{H}^\nu(K * L) = 0$ by lemma 6 for $\nu \geq 1$.

2.8 Computation of $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K * L)$

Suppose $K = \delta_E$ and $L = \delta_F$ are irreducible perverse sheaves on X. Since

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K * L)_z = H^{-1}(X, K \otimes^L \varphi_z^*(L)) \ ,$$

the spectral sequence of section 2.4 has E_2-terms

$$\bigoplus_m E_2^{m-1,-m} \ = \ \bigoplus_{a,b\leq 0} \ H^{a+b-1}\Big(X, \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-b}(\varphi_z^*(L))\Big) \ .$$

In the E_∞-limit $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ becomes a subquotient of $\bigoplus_m E_2^{m-1,-m}$. We consider now the single terms. For this assume $a \leq b$, otherwise switch the roles of K and L. Then, unless $a = dim\ supp(K)$, we have

$$dim\ supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)) \leq a - 1$$

by the IC-sheaf property [FK] prop.III.9.3(4). Hence $2 \cdot dim\ supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)) \leq 2(a-1) < a+b-1$, hence the corresponding E_2-term of the spectral sequence is zero. Thus, without restriction of generality,

$$a = dim\ supp(K) \leq b \leq dim\ supp(\varphi_z^*(L)) \ .$$

Then $supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-b}(\varphi_z^*(L)))$ is contained in

$$Y = supp(K) \cap supp(\mathcal{H}^{-b}(\varphi_z^*(L))) \subseteq supp(K) \cap supp(\varphi_z^*(L)) \ .$$

Suppose Y is a proper subset of $supp(K)$. Since K is an irreducible perverse sheaf, $supp(K)$ is irreducible. Hence $dim(Y) \leq dim\ supp(K) - 1 = a - 1$. Again this implies $2dim(Y) = 2(a-1) < a+b-1$, and the corresponding term of the spectral sequence vanishes. Also for $b \geq a+2$ once more $2dim(Y) \leq 2a < a+b-1$ and the corresponding term vanishes. Hence the stalk $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ vanishes except if

1. $supp(K) = supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)) \subseteq supp(\mathcal{H}^{-b}(\varphi_z^*(L))) \subseteq supp(\varphi_z^*(L))$, and
2. Either $b = a = dim\ supp(K)$, or $b = a+1 = dim\ supp(K) + 1$.

The subcase $b = a$: Then, unless $b = dim\ supp(L)$, the dimension of $supp\, \mathcal{H}^{-b}(\varphi_z^*(L))$ is $< b$, hence $< a$. Therefore we can assume $a = supp(K) = supp(\varphi_z^*(L))$ by dimension reasons. Then

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K * L)_z \neq 0 \Longrightarrow z \in S(K, L) \ .$$

The relevant E_2-term in the spectral sequence is

$$H^{2a-1}\Big(supp(K), \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-a}(\varphi_z^*(L))\Big) = H^{2a-1}\Big(supp(K), E \otimes \varphi_z^*(F)\Big)$$

$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K * L)_z$ in the E_∞-limit is a quotient group of the cohomology group

$$H^{2a-1}\Big(supp(K), E \otimes \varphi_z^*(F)\Big) \quad , \quad a = dim(supp(K)) \ .$$

To visualize the different E_2-terms: All nonvanishing terms are contained in the lower triangle of the square with coordinates $(0,0), (0,2a), (-2a,0), (-2a,2a)$ visualized below with the following notations

1. $M := \varphi_z^*(L)$
2. $Y = supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K))$ is of dimension a
3. $Y = Supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(M))$
4. $\mathcal{Z} = H^{2a}(Y, \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-a}(M))$
5. $\mathcal{Y} = H^{2a-1}(Y, \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-a}(M))$
6. $\mathcal{X}_r = H^{2r-2}(Y, \mathcal{H}^{-r}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-r}(M))$

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\mathcal{X}_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bullet & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bullet & \bullet & \mathcal{X}_2 & 0 & \cdots\cdot & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & ..\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \mathcal{X}_a & \mathcal{Y} & \mathcal{Z}
\end{array}$$

The diagonal is isomorphic to its E_∞-limit $\mathcal{H}^0(K*L)_z$. The diagonal to the left of the diagonal describes the E_2-term. The E_∞-limit $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ is obtained by taking successive kokernels with respect to the higher differentials

$$d:\mathcal{X}_{a-1}\to\mathcal{Y}\quad,\quad \delta:\mathcal{X}_{a-2}\to\mathcal{Y}\quad\cdots\quad \delta:\mathcal{X}_1\to\mathcal{Y}\ .$$

Since all $\mathcal{X}_r$ have weights ≤ -2, we get an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{Y}_{w\leq -2}\to\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z\to Gr(\mathcal{Y})_{w=-1}\to 0$$

from the weight filtration of $\mathcal{Y}$.

Purity assumption: Suppose $H^{2a-1}(Y,\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)\otimes\mathcal{H}^{-a}(M))$ is pure of weight -1.

Under this purity assumption all higher differentials $d:\mathcal{X}_r\to\mathcal{Y}$ are zero, since all $\mathcal{X}_r$ have weight ≤ -2. Hence the $\mathcal{X}_1$-term survives in the spectral sequence

$$\mathcal{X}_1 = H^0\Big(Y,\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K)\otimes\mathcal{H}^{-1}(L)\Big)\hookrightarrow\mathcal{H}^{-2}(K*L)_z\ .$$

Furthermore under this purity assumption

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z \cong H^{2a-1}\Big(Y, \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)\otimes\mathcal{H}^{-a}(M)\Big)}\ .$$

Theorem 3 below is a special case of this assertion.

The subcase $\underline{dim\ supp(L) > dim\ supp(K) = a}$: Then only the term for $b = a+1$ contributes to the stalk cohomology and

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z \neq 0 \Longrightarrow supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)) \subseteq z - supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a-1}(L))\ .$$

Again there is a single E_2-term in the spectral sequence, which contributes to the E_∞-limit term $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ coming from $a = dim\ supp(K)$ and $b = a+1 \leq dim\ supp(L)$. $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ is a subquotient of the E_2-term

$$H^{2a}\Big(supp(K), \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K)\otimes\mathcal{H}^{-a-1}(\varphi_z^*(L))\Big)\ .$$

Notice $dim\ supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a-1}(\varphi_z^*(L))) \leq a$. Hence K is an irreducible component of $supp(\mathcal{H}^{-a-1}(\varphi_z^*(L)))$ of highest dimension unless

$$dim\ (supp(K)) + 1 = a + 1 = dim\ (supp(L))\ .$$

This discussion implies

Lemma 11. *Suppose K and L are irreducible perverse sheaves on X with $dim\ (supp(K)) \leq dim\ (supp(L))$. Then*

$$\boxed{supp\ \Big(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)\Big)\ \subseteq\ \{z\in X \mid supp(K)\subseteq z - supp(L)\}}\ .$$

If both supports have the same dimension, then

$$supp\ \Big(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)\Big)\ \subseteq\ S(K,L)\ .$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose $K = \delta_Y$ and $L = \delta_Z$ for irreducible subvarieties Y and Z of X of the same dimension d. Then $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z$ vanishes unless $z\in S(K,L)$. Suppose the singularities of Y have codimension ≥ 2 in Y. Then for $z \in S(K,L)$*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(K*L)_z \cong H^{2d-1}(Y) \cong IH^{2d-1}(Y)}\ .$$

Proof: If $z \in S(K,L)$, then $supp(K) = supp(\varphi_z^*(L)) = Y$. We may assume for simplicity $z = 0$ and $Y = Z$ for simplicity of notation. Then $\mathcal{H}^{-a}(M) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ for $a = d = dim(Y)$ outside a subvariety S of codimension two in Y. Hence $H^{2a-1}(Y, \mathcal{H}^{-a}(K) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-a}(M))$ is isomorphic to

$$H_c^{2d-1}(Y \setminus S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \cong H^{2d-1}(Y) \ .$$

This follows from the long exact sequence for cohomology with compact supports attached to $(Y \setminus S, Y, S)$. To proof the theorem following thew discussion above it only remains to prove the purity assertion for $H^{2d-1}(Y)$, which will follow from the statement

$$H^{2d-1}(Y) = IH^{2d-1}(Y) \ .$$

This last assertion follows from the long exact sequence attached to the distinguished triangle $\psi_Y \to \lambda_Y \to \delta_Y \to \psi_Y[1]$

$$H^{d-1}(Y, \psi_Y) \to H^{d-1}(\lambda_Y) \to IH^{2d-1}(Y) \to H^d(Y, \psi_Y) \ .$$

Since $\psi_Y \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$ and $dim\ supp(\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\psi_Y)) \leq max(\nu, d-2)$ the spectral sequence $H^\mu(X, \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\psi_Y)) \implies H^{\mu-\nu}(X, \psi_Y)$ has zero E_2-terms for the degrees $\mu - \nu = d-1$ and $\mu - \nu = d$. Hence $H^{d-1}(Y, \psi_Y) = 0$ and $H^d(Y, \psi_Y) = 0$. Since $\lambda_Y|_{Y \setminus S} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l, Y\setminus S}$ outside the singular locus S, the isomorphism $H^{d-1}(\lambda_Y) \cong H^{2d-1}(Y)$ is again obtained from the long exact sequence for cohomology with compact supports.

2.9 The Theta divisor

In this section we assume $char(k) = 0$. Let Θ be a polarization of X such that (X, Θ) is irreducible as a polarized abelian variety. This is equivalent to the condition, that the divisor $\Theta \subseteq X$ is an irreducible subvariety of X. For basefields k of characteristic zero then the theta divisor is a normal variety. Using that the codimension of the singular locus is ≥ 2 (see [9]) this follows from the Krull-Serre criterion, since Θ is the zero locus of a section of the line bundle defined by the polarization, i.e defined by one equation in the smooth variety X. The perverse sheaf

$$K = \delta_\Theta$$

is a self dual $K = DK$. Since Θ is a polarization, the map $X \to Pic(X)$ defined by $x \mapsto cl(\Theta + x) - cl(\Theta)$ is an isogeny, $Aut(\delta_\Theta)$ is finite. In particular $S(K,L)$

is finite for any perverse sheaf L. Θ is a principal polarization if and only if the cardinality of $Aut(K)$ is one. A principal polarization is a translate of a symmetric principal polarization. For a symmetric principal polarization $\Theta = -\Theta$ one has $Aut(K) = \{0\}$. For a principal polarization Θ there exists a unique $\kappa \in X$ such that $\varphi_\kappa^*(K) \cong K$ for $K = \delta_\Theta$. Hence

$$S(K, K) = \{\kappa\} .$$

Corollary 7. *If $K = \delta_\Theta$ for an irreducible principal polarization Θ of X, then $\mathcal{H}^0(K * L)_z$ is zero unless $L \cong \delta_{z-\kappa+\Theta}$. In particular $\mathcal{H}^0(K * K)_z = 0$ unless $z = \kappa$. Hence*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta) = \delta_{\{\kappa\}}} .$$

If X is the Jacobian X of a curve C, then it will be shown in 4.2 corollary 11

$$IH_1(\Theta) \cong H_1(X) .$$

More generally $IH_1(\Theta) \cong H_1(X)$ holds for arbitrary principal polarized abelian variety, provided the singularities of Θ have codimension ≥ 3 in Θ (see [34]). Hence

Corollary 8. *For principally polarized abelian variety (X, Θ), where (X, Θ) is either a Jacobian or where the singularities of Θ are of codimension ≥ 3 in Θ, then the theta divisor Θ is irreducible and*

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta) = H^1(X) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}} .$$

Chapter 3

Convolution with curves

Let $C \hookrightarrow X$ be an irreducible smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$ in X. Suppose E is a smooth etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on an open dense subset of C. Let $\delta_E \in Perv(X)$ be the corresponding perverse sheaf with support in C. Then E extends to a (not necessarily smooth) $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on C also denoted E, such that $\delta_E = E[1]$. Let K be an irreducible perverse sheaf on X with support Y of dimension d_K. The convolution

$$L = \delta_E * K$$

is the direct image complex of $\delta_E \boxtimes K$ under the the smooth proper morphism

$$f : C \times X \longrightarrow X$$

defined by the restriction of a, i.e by $f(x,y) = x+y$. Since f is smooth with fibers of dimension 1 by [KW] III.7.1

$$L = \delta_E * K \quad \in {}^pD^{[-1,1]}(X) \ .$$

Our aim is to show

Theorem 4. *Suppose C generates X as an abelian variety, and suppose the dimension $d = d_K$ of the support of K is $< g = dim(X)$, then $L = \delta_E * K$ is a perverse sheaf.*

Corollary 9. *For $d \leq dim(X)$ iterated convolutions $\delta_{E_1} * \cdots * \delta_{E_d}$ of perverse sheaves δ_{E_i} with support in smooth projective curves C_i contained in X are perverse sheaves, if $d-1$ of the curves are generating curves for X.*

A refined version of theorem will be stated below in theorem 5.

Spectral sequence: The stalk cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(L)_x$ at a point $x \in X$ can be computed from a spectral sequence, where the following E_2-terms contribute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^0(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu+1}(K)) \arrow[drr, "d_2"] & \bullet & \bullet \\
\bullet \arrow[drr, "d_2"] & H^1(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)) & \bullet \\
\bullet & \bullet & H^2(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu-1}(K))
\end{tikzcd}$$

All middle terms $H^1(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K))$ survive in the E_∞-term. The other contributions in E_∞ are the kernel of the differential

$$d_2 : H^0(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu+1}(K)) \longrightarrow H^2(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K))$$

respectively the kokernel of the differential

$$d_2 : H^0(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)) \longrightarrow H^2(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu-1}(K)) \ .$$

Notation: $S \ominus T = \bigcap_{t \in T}(S-t) \subseteq S$. Notice $(S \ominus T) \oplus T \subseteq S$.

Claim: If T is irreducible and generates the abelian variety X, then

$$dim(S \ominus T) < dim(S) \quad \text{or} \quad S = X \ .$$

Similarly $S \oplus T \supseteq S$ and

$$dim(S \oplus T) > dim(S) \quad \text{or} \quad S = X \ .$$

Proof: Suppose $dim(S \ominus T) = dim(S)$. Then a component S_1 of S of highest dimension is contained in $S \ominus T$. Suppose $S_1, ..S_r$ are the irreducible components of S of highest dimension, which are contained in $S \ominus T$. Let η_i is a generic point of S_i and t a generic point of T. Then $\eta_i - t \in S$ is one of the generic points η_j of S. Hence the η_i are permuted, and there exists an integer n_i such that $\eta_i - n_i \cdot t = \eta_i$. Now, since t generates X if and only if $n_i \cdot t$ generates X (consider the map $n_i : X \to X$), we get $S_i - x = S_i$ for all $x \in X$. Hence $S_i = X$.

Supports: Let $S^\nu(K) = S^\nu$ and $S^\nu(L)$ denote the supports (see the convention 4) of the stalk cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(L)$ respectively. Then

Lemma 12. *For $L = \delta_E * K$ we have*

$$S^\nu(L) \ \subseteq \ \big(S^{\nu-1}(K) \oplus C\big) \cup \big(S^\nu(K) \ominus -C\big) \cup \big(S^{\nu+1}(K) \ominus -C\big) \ .$$

Proof: This is almost obvious. The cohomology groups $H^i(x - C, ...)$ vanish for $i = 1, 2$ unless $x - C \subseteq S^\nu(K)$ respectively $x - C \subseteq S^{\nu+1}(K)$. Moreover, in the second case the coefficient system $\varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-\nu-1}(K)$ must admit a nontrivial constant quotient sheaf in order to contribute.

This being said, we make the

Assumption: C generates X.

For the proof of theorem 4 we can assume K to be irreducible with dimension $d_K > 0$. If $d_K = 0$, then $K = \delta_{x_0}$ for some closed point x_0 of X. Hence $\delta_E * K$ is a translate of δ_E. Hence we will assume

$$1 \leq d = d_K < g$$

for the rest of this section. Then, since by assumption K is irreducible perverse, we know $dim\ S^\nu(K) < \nu$ for $\nu < d$ and $dim\ S^d(K) = d$ for the dimensions of the support. Hence lemma 12 implies

1. $S^\nu(L) = \emptyset$ for $\nu < 0$.
2. $dim\ S^\nu(L) < \nu$ for alle $0 \leq \nu < d - 1$
3. $S^{d-1}(L) \subseteq S^d(K) \ominus -C$ (hence there exist components of dimension $g - 1$ only if $S^d(K) \ominus -C$ has dimension $d - 1$; otherwise $dim\ S^{d-1}(L) < d - 1$)
4. $dim\ S^d(L) < d$
5. $S^{d+1}(L) \subseteq S^d(K) \oplus C$ has dimension $\leq d + 1$.

For 4. we used $d_K < g$ to get the inequality $dim\ (S^d(K) \ominus -C) < d$. The listed properties show

$$\delta_E * K \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X) \ .$$

The same applies for DK and $D\delta_E$, hence $D(\delta_E * K) \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$. This implies theorem 4.

We slightly improve on theorem 4: In fact [KW] III.5.13 implies $S_{d+1}(L) = \emptyset$ unless $dim\ S^d(K) \oplus C = d+1$. We already used above, that $H^0(x-C, E \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K))$ is nonzero only for $x \in C \oplus S^d$. If $x - C \nsubseteq S^d$ or equivalently if $x \notin S^d \ominus -C$, then $x - C$ and S^d intersect in finitely many points x_i. If one of the points x_i is contained in the open subset $U \subseteq S^d(K)$, where $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)$ is smooth, then $H^0(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K))$ is nonzero, if $\varphi_x^*(E)_{x_i} \neq 0$, since then $\varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)$ is a skyscraper sheaf with nonzero stalk at x_i. This is the case for

$$x \in (U_E \oplus U) \quad , \quad x \notin (S^d(K) \ominus -C) \ .$$

since then $\varphi_x(u') = x - u' = x_i \in U$ for $u' \in U_E$, where $U_E \subseteq C$ is some dense open subset, where E is smooth. Such points x exists, if the dimension of $U_E \oplus U$ or $C \oplus S^d(K)$ is $d + 1$, since the dimension of $S^d(K) \ominus -C$ is $< d$. So, to show the converse it is enough to observe, that no further cancelation arises from the differentials of the spectral sequence. However, this is obvious in present case, since the only relevant differential is the d_2-differential

$$d_2 : H^0(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)) \longrightarrow H^2(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d-2}(K)) = 0 \ .$$

Furthermore for a point $(u', y) \in U_E \times U$ in general position and $x = u' + y$, all other solutions $u'' + y' = x$, where $u'' \in C$ and $y' \in S^d(K)$, are points where u'' is in general position in C and y' is in general position in $S^d(K)$. Hence we conclude for such x, that $dim\ H^0(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K))$ is equal to

$$rank(E) \cdot rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)) \cdot deg(a : C \times Y \to Y \oplus C) \ .$$

Notation: For curves M we use the notation $S \circleddash M$, where $S \circleddash M = S \ominus M$, if the dimension $of S \ominus M$ is $dim(S) - 1$, and where $S \circleddash M = \emptyset$ otherwise.

Theorem 5. *Suppose the curve C generates X and δ_E is a perverse sheaf with support C. Then, for an irreducible perverse sheaf of geometric origin*

$$K = K_d \in Perv(Y) \subseteq Perv(X)$$

with support Y of dimension $d < dim(X)$, the convolution $L = \delta_E * K$ with δ_E is a perverse sheaf on X

$$L = L_{d-1} \oplus L_{d+1} \quad \in \ Perv(X)$$

with two summands

1. L_{d+1} *is a nonzero perverse sheaf, whose irreducible perverse components are supported in the* $d+1$*-dimensional irreducible scheme* $Y \oplus C$*. The generic rank* $rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d-1}(L))$ *of the corresponding coefficient system defined by* L *on* $Y \oplus C$ *is*

   $$rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d-1}(L)) \ = \ rank(E) \cdot rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)) \cdot deg(a : C \times Y \to Y \oplus C) \ .$$

2. L_{d-1} *is a perverse sheaf, whose irreducible perverse components are supported in the* $(d-1)$*-dimensional scheme* $Y \ominus -C$.

Notice, that even if $Y \ominus -C$ is nonempty, the ‘exceptional’ perverse sheaf L_{d-1} from the theorem above might be trivial. For L_{d-1} to be nontrivial it is required, that $E \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)\big|_{Y \ominus -C}$ has a nontrivial constant etale quotient sheaf in a neighborhood of a generic point η of $Y \ominus -C$. More precisely, the kokernel

$$H^0(\eta - C, E \otimes \mathcal{H}^{1-d}(K)\big|_{Y \ominus -C}) \longrightarrow H^2(\eta - C, E \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)\big|_{Y \ominus -C})$$

must not vanish.

Example: For two smooth projective curves C and C' with irreducible perverse sheaves δ_E and $\delta_{E'}$ of support C and C' the convolution $L = \delta_E * \delta_{E'}$ is a direct sum $L = L_0 \oplus L_2$. The perverse sheaf L_0 vanishes except in the case, where $T_x^*(\delta_{E'}) \cong (\delta_E)^\vee$ holds for some x in X. Similarly $\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_C * \delta_{C'})$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_C * \delta_{C'})$ vanish except if $C = x - C'$ holds for some point $x \in X$. If X is the Jacobian of C this point x is uniquely determined, if it exists. Now suppose $C' = x - C$. By replacing C' by a translate now let us assume without restriction of generality, that $C' = -C$. Then

$$\delta_C * \delta_{C'} = \delta_C * \delta_{-C} = \delta_0 \oplus L_2$$

where L_2 is a perverse sheaf with support in $C - C$. Furthermore

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(L_2) \cong H^1(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \otimes \delta_0 \ .$$

The rank of the smooth coefficient system defining L_2 is the degree of the map

$$C \times C' \to C \oplus C' \subseteq X \ .$$

See 4.4 for further details.

Remark: If $char(K) = 0$ part of the discussion above is a special case of the fact, that for an irreducible perverse sheaf $K = \delta_E$ with support Y_K of dimension $d = d_K$ one can consider the class

$$c(K) = rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)) \cdot [Y_K] \ \in \ H_d(X, \mathbb{Z}) \ ,$$

where $rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K))$ is the rank of the coefficient system $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(K)$ at the generic point of Y_K. For another $L = \delta_F$ with support Y_L in X, the convolution $K * L$ need not be perverse. Assume $d_K + d_L \leq g$. Then $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(K * L) = 0$ for $\nu < d_K + d_L$. If we define $c(K * L) \in H_{d_K + d_L}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to be $rank(\mathcal{H}^{-d_K - d_L}(K * L)) \cdot [Y_K \oplus Y_L]$, where $[Y_K \oplus Y_L]$ is understood to be zero, if the dimension of $Y_K \oplus Y_L$ is not equal to $d_K + d_L$, then

$$c(K * L) = c(K) * c(L) \ ,$$

in the homology ring $H_*(X, \mathbb{Z})$ endowed with the $*$-product, which is induced on homology by the map $a : X \times X \to X$ via the Künneth theorem.

3.1 The highest dimensional case

We continue the discussion of convolutions and study $L = \delta_E * K$, where we now consider the remaining case where K is an irreducible perverse sheaf with support X. We retain the notations and assumptions of the last section except, that now $d = d_K = dim(X)$. Although the discussion is similar to the case where $d < dim(X)$, we have to consider the cohomology degrees $\nu = g-1, g$ and $\nu = g+1$ more carefully. To simplify the discussion we do not deal with the most general case, but assume

Assumptions: Let X be generated by C. Assume one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

1. $L = \delta_E * K$ is perverse.
2. $L = \delta_E * K \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X)$.
3. $S_{g+1}(L) = \emptyset$
4. $H^0\big(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K)\big) = 0$ for all points $x \in X$.

Notice [KW] III.5.13 for 3. Furthermore notice, that the spectral sequence collapses in degree $\nu = g + 1$, again by [KW] III.5.13.

Remark: Concerning this assumption recall that $\delta_E * K \in {}^pD^{[-1,1]}(X)$. The perverse sheaves $f^*[1]^pH^{\pm 1}(L)$ are the maximal perverse subsheaves respectively the maximal perverse quotient sheaves of $\delta_E \boxtimes K$ on X. Therefore, if ${}^pH^{\pm 1}(L)$ is a constant sheaf on X (a situation which will be typical later, see also the curve lemma 3.2 and lemma 27) and E is irreducible, then $\delta_E \boxtimes K$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf on $C \times X$, and if ${}^pH^{\pm 1}(L)$ were nonzero, then $\delta_E \boxtimes K \cong f^*[1]({}^pH^1(\delta_E * K))$ must be the trivial perverse sheaf on $C \times X$. This forces $K = \delta_X$ and $E = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ under the assumptions made.

This being said, we now discuss the cohomology $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_E * K)$ under the assumption above. We now skip the cases where $\nu < g - 1$, since in these cases the discussion remains the same as in the last section.

The degree $\nu = g - 1$: This case is similar to the previous case $\nu < d_K - 1$. The support $S_{g-1}(L)$ is contained in the union of $S^{g-2} \oplus C$ and $S^{g-1} \ominus -C$ and $S^g \ominus -C$, except that now $S^g \ominus -C = X \ominus -C = X$. Since the dimension of $S^{g-2} \oplus C$ and $S^{g-1} \ominus -C$ is $< g-1$, we get an exceptional perverse constituent L_{d-1} in $L = \delta_E * K$ only if

$$Z = \Big\{ x \in X \ \Big| H^2\big(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-g}(K)\big) \Big\}$$

is nonempty and has dimension $g-1$. The dimension of Z is at most $g-1$ by our assumption L to be perverse. Notice, this does not suffice for L_{g-1} to be nonzero. In addition the differential d_2 from the spectral sequence, which computes $\mathcal{H}^{1-g}(L)_x$

$$d_2 : H^0\big(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{1-g}(K)\big) \longrightarrow H^2\big(x - C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-g}(K)\big) \ ,$$

must have nontrivial cokernel for x in a $g-1$-dimensional open subset of Z. This now is a necessary and sufficient condition for L_{g-1} to be nontrivial. Notice, the left side vanishes for $x \notin C \oplus S^{g-1}(K)$. Cancelations might be possible on irreducible components, which are common irreducible components both of $C \oplus S^{g-1}(K)$ and Z of dimension $g - 1$.

Theorem 6. *Suppose X is generated by the curve C. Suppose δ_E has support C, suppose $L = \delta_E * K$ is a perverse sheaf on X and K is an irreducible perverse sheaf of geometric origin with support of dimension g. Then there exists a decomposition*

$$L = L_g \oplus L_{g-1} \ ,$$

where L_{g-1} and L_g are perverse sheaves, whose irreducible constituents have supports of dimension $g-1$ and g respectively. Furthermore the generic rank of the coefficient system defining L_g is

$$dim\ H^1(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K)) \ ,$$

where x is a generic point of X.

By the assumptions of the theorem $H^0(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K))$ vanishes for all $x \in X$, as already explained. The algebraic set Z of $x \in X$, where $H^2(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K))$ does not vanish, has dimension $\leq g-1$ by the same reason. Hence for a generic point the the generic rank of L_g can be computed by the Euler characteristic. We state the formula for the Euler characteristic in the tame case, which then gives

$$rank(L_g) \ = \ -\chi^{tame}(x-C, \varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K))$$

$$= -rank(K)\cdot(2-2g) + \sum_{c\in\Sigma}\Big(rank((\varphi_x^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{H}^g(K)) \ - \ rank((\varphi_x^*(E)\otimes\mathcal{H}^g(K))_c\Big) \ .$$

Here Σ is a finite set of closed point of C, where the underlying etale sheaf $(\varphi_x^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{H}^g(K)$ is smooth on $x-C$. Therefore

$$rank(L_g) = 2(g-1)rank(K) + \sum_{c\in\Sigma}\Big(rank(K)rank(E) - rank(E \otimes \varphi_x^*\mathcal{H}^g(K))_c\Big) \ .$$

3.2 The curve lemma

For an abelian variety X let $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow X$ be a irreducible projective curve and let $\pi : C \to \tilde{C}$ be its normalization. We want to show, that convolution of a perverse sheaf K (of geometric origin) with $\delta_{\tilde{C}}$ again is a perverse sheaf. Convolution with skyscraper sheaves preserves the category $Perv(X)$ for trivial reason. Therefore, since $\pi_*(\delta_C)$ is the direct sum of $\delta_{\tilde{C}}$ and a skyscraper sheaf, we may replace $\delta_{\tilde{C}}$ by the sheaf $\pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C}[1])$ in the following without loss of generality. The morphism f defined by $f(x,y) = x + \pi(y)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \times C \arrow[r, "pr_1"] \arrow[d, "F"', "\sim"] & X \\
X \times C \arrow[r, "id\times\pi"'] \arrow[ur, "f"'] & X \times \tilde{C} \arrow[u, "a"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

is smooth equidimensional with geometrically connected fibers of dimension 1, since $F(x,y) = (x - \pi(y), y)$ is an isomorphism. Notice $f \circ \nu = id_X$ for the inclusion $\nu : X \to X \times C$ defined by $x \mapsto (x, 0)$, if $0 \in C(k)$. Since $K \boxtimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C}[1]$ is a perverse sheaf on $X \times C$ for $K \in Perv(X)$, therefore

$$L = K * \pi_*(\delta_C) \;=\; Rf_*(K \boxtimes \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C})[1]$$

satisfies ([15], III.7.1)

$$L \in {}^pD^{[-1,1]}(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \; .$$

Assume the base field is the algebraic closure k of a finite field κ with q elements. For an algebraic scheme Y_0 over κ let denote $Y = Y_0 \times_{Spec(\kappa)} Spec(k)$. Assume that the embedding $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow X$ comes from a closed immersion $\tilde{C}_0 \hookrightarrow X_0$ defined over κ. Let K_0 be a perverse sheaf on X_0, with extension K on X. Let κ_m be the unique extension field of κ of degree m in k. Let F respectively F_m denote the geometric Frobenius of $Gal(k/\kappa_m)$. The set of rational points $X_0(\kappa_m)$ can be identified with the set of fixed points $X_0(k)^{F_m}$. For a closed point x choose a geometric point $\overline{x}$ over x. For a complex $K_0 \in D_c^b(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ let

$$f^{K_0} : X_0(\kappa) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$$

be the function defined by

$$f^{K_0}(x) = \sum_\nu (-1)^\nu Tr(F; \mathcal{H}^\nu(K)_{\overline{x}}) \; .$$

Similarly define $f_m^{K_0} : X_0(\kappa_m) \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ for all $m \geq 1$. Recall the following well known facts (e.g. [L], [15] III.12.1)

1. (Cebotarev) For semisimple perverse sheaves K_0 and L_0 on X_0, the equality $f_m^{K_0}(x) = f_m^{L_0}(x)$ for all m and all $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ implies $K_0 \cong L_0$ in $D_c^b(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$.
2. $f_m^{K_0 \oplus L_0}(x) = f_m^{K_0}(x) \; + \; f_m^{L_0}(x)$ for all $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$
3. $f_m^{K_0 \otimes^L L_0}(x) = f_m^{K_0}(x) \cdot f_m^{L_0}(x)$ for all $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$
4. For a morphism $g_0 : Y_0 \to X_0$ defined over κ we have

   $$f_m^{g_0^*(K_0)}(x) = f_m^{K_0}(g_0(x))$$

   for all $x \in Y_0(\kappa_m)$.

For an abelian variety

$$\pi_0 : X_0 \to Spec(\kappa)$$

over κ the κ_m-rational points $X_0(\kappa_m)$ define a finite group with respect to the group law of the abelian variety. Suppose for all m there exist subgroups

$$\Gamma_m \subseteq X_0(\kappa_m)$$

with index $[X_0(\kappa_m) : \Gamma_m]$ bounded by a constant C independently from m. Suppose $K_0 \in Perv(X_0)$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on X_0 such that

$$f_m^{K_0}(x) = f_m^{K_0}(0) \quad , \quad \forall m \geq 1 \ \ \forall x \in \Gamma_m \ .$$

Under these assumption we claim

Lemma 13. *The sheaf K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf on X.*

Proof: For $N = C!$ and $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ we have $N \cdot x \in \Gamma_m$. Multiplication by N is an isogeny defined over κ

$$g_0 : \ X_0 \xrightarrow{N} X_0 \ .$$

Since g_0 is a finite flat morphism $L_0 = g_0^*(K_0) \in Perv(X_0)$,

$$f_m^{L_0}(x) = f_m^{K_0}(N \cdot x) = f_m^{K_0}(0)$$

holds by the assumption above, since $N \cdot x \in \Gamma_m$. Let $i_0 : \{0\} \to X_0$ be the inclusion of the neutral element and $M_0 = i_0^*(K_0)$. By definition $f_m^{M_0}(0) = f_m^{K_0}(0)$ and $M_0 \in D_c^b(Spec(\kappa), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Let A_0 be the semisimplification of $\bigoplus_{\nu \equiv g \mod 2} \mathcal{H}^\nu(M_0)$ and B_0 be the semisimplification of $\bigoplus_{\nu \equiv g+1 \mod 2} \mathcal{H}^\nu(M_0)$. Then $C_0 = \pi_0^*(A_0)[g]$ and $D_0 = \pi_0^*(B_0)[g]$ are geometrically constant perverse sheaves on X_0, such that

$$f_m^{L_0}(x) + f_m^{D_0}(x) = f_m^{C_0}(x)$$

holds for all m and all $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$. Therefore $L_0 \oplus D_0 \cong C_0$ by Cebotarev. Hence L is a summand of C, thus a geometrically constant perverse sheaf on X_0. Factorize the isogeny $g : X \to X$ into a purely inseparable isogeny u (division by the connected component of the group scheme $X[N]$) and an etale isogeny v

$$g : X \xrightarrow{u} X' \xrightarrow{v} X \ .$$

The isogeny u is purely inseparable. The functors u_*u^* and u^*u_* define an equivalence of $Perv(X)$ and $Perv(X')$ and map constant sheaves to constant sheaves. This easily follows e.g. from [14] I.3.12. We deduce, that $L' = v^*(K)$ is a constant perverse sheaf on X'. Then, since $v : X' \to X$ is a finite etale Galois morphism, [15] III.15.3d implies

$$K \hookrightarrow v_*v^*(L') \ .$$

Since $v_*v^*(L')$ is a direct sum of translation-invariant sheaves E_χ for characters $\chi \in Hom_{cont}(\pi_1(X,0), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*)$ of finite order, which become trivial on the etale cover X' of X, hence K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf on X. This proves the claim.

Definition: A perverse sheaf δ_E on $\tilde{C}$, attached to a smooth coefficient system E on some Zariski open dense subset of $\tilde{C}_{reg}$, for which E becomes trivial on some finite branched covering $C' \to C$ of the normalization C of $\tilde{C}$, will be called an admissible perverse sheaf on X.

Lemma 14. *Suppose k is the algebraic closure of a finite field and suppose X is generated by $\tilde{C}$. Then for a semisimple perverse Weil sheaf K_0 on X_0 the convolution $K * \delta_{\tilde{C}}$ is a direct sum*

$$K * \delta_{\tilde{C}} \cong P \oplus T \ ,$$

where P is a semisimple perverse Weil-sheaf P on X and T is a sum of complex translates of translation-invariant perverse sheaves on X. Furthermore T is perverse for an irreducible perverse sheaf K_0 on X_0, which is not translation-invariant. In addition: The same statement holds for $\delta_{\tilde{C}}$ replaced by any admissible perverse sheaf δ_E on X.

Proof: $\tilde{C}_0$, C_0 and X_0 are defined over the finite field κ. By a finite base field extension of κ we may assume, that C_0 contains a κ-rational point P_0. By a translation of K_0 and C_0 we may assume $P_0 = 0$. Then the Jacobian $J(C_0)$ of C_0 is defined over κ and there exists a morphism $C_0 \to J(C_0)$ (depending on P_0) defined over κ. Consider the Albanese morphism $f_0 : J(C_0) \to X_0$ induced by the morphism $\pi_0 : C_0 \to \tilde{C}_0 \to X_0$. Since $\tilde{C}$ generates X, the map f_0 is a surjection of abelian varieties with kernel, say K_0,

$$0 \to K_0 \to J(C_0) \overset{f_0}{\to} X_0 \to 0 \ .$$

Put $X_0' = J(C_0)/(K_0)^0$. Then the map $J(C_0) \to X_0$ factorizes $J(C_0) \to X_0' \to X_0$, where the second map is an isogeny

$$0 \to \pi_0(K_0) \to X_0' \overset{\varphi_0}{\to} X_0 \to 0 \ .$$

Since $(K_0)^0$ is Zariski connected, the isogeny $id - Frob_m : (K_0)^0(k) \to (K_0)^0(k)$ is surjective (Lang's theorem). Therefore the homomorphism of finite groups

$$J(C_0)(\kappa_m) \to X_0'(\kappa_m)$$

is surjective for all m. Finally one has an exact sequence

$$X_0'(\kappa_m) \to X_0(\kappa_m) \to H^1(\kappa_m, \pi_0(K_0)) \ .$$

The order of the finite Galois cohomology group $H^1(\kappa_m, \pi_0(K_0))$ on the right is bounded by a constant C_1 independently from m.

Now assume K_0 is an irreducible perverse sheaf on X_0. We can assume by enlarging the base field, that K_0 is geometrically irreducible. The assertion of the last lemma is trivial, if K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf. So let us assume, that K_0 is not geometrically translation-invariant.

Recall $K * \delta_{\tilde{C}} = Rf_*(K \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C}[1])$, and $f : X \times C \to X$ is smooth with geometrically connected fibers of dimension 1. Hence $Rf_*(K \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C}[1]) \in {}^pD^{[-1,1]}(X)$, as already explained. If the assertion of the lemma were false, then either ${}^pH^1(Rf_*(K \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C}[1])$ or ${}^pH^{-1}(Rf_*(K \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C})[1])$ must be nontrivial. Without restriction of generality assume, that we are in the first case (the other case being analogous). Then e.g. by [15] III.11.3 there exists a surjective morphism of perverse sheaves on $X \times C$

$$K \boxtimes \delta_C \twoheadrightarrow f^*[1] \, {}^pH^1\big(Rf_*(K \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C}[1])\big) \ .$$

Since K is irreducible, $K \boxtimes \delta_C$ is an irreducible perverse sheaf on $X \times C$. Hence the last surjection defines an isomorphism of perverse sheaves. Since it is already defined over κ, it can be viewed as an isomorphism of Weil sheaf complexes. So one obtains an isomorphism in $Perv(X_0)$

$$K_0 \boxtimes \delta_{C_0} \ \cong \ f_0^*[1] \, {}^pH^1\big(Rf_{0*}(K_0 \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C_0}[1])\big) \ .$$

For $z \in X(k)$ the geometric points of the fiber $f^{-1}(z)$ are of the form $(z - \pi(y), y)$, where y runs over the geometric points $C(k)$. The function $f_m^{K_0}(x)$ for $x \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ therefore satisfies by property 3 and 4 of the function $f_m(.)$

$$f^{K_0}(z - \pi_0(y)) \cdot f_m^{\delta_{C_0}}(y) = -f_m^{L_0}(z)$$

for all $z \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ and all $y \in C_0(\kappa_m)$, where L_0 denotes the perverse sheaf ${}^pH^1(Rf_{0*}(K_0 \boxtimes \mathbb{Q}_{l,C_0}[1])$ on X_0. Now $f_m^{\delta_{C_0}}(y) = -1$ does not depend on $y \in C_0(\kappa_m)$. This implies for all $y \in C_0(\kappa_m)$ and all $z \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ the equality

$$f_m^{K_0}(z - \pi_0(y)) = f_m^{K_0}(z) \ .$$

But then also $f_m^{K_0}(z-\pi_0(y_1)-\pi(y_2)) = f_m^{K_0}(z-\pi(y_1)) = f_m^{K_0}(z)$ and so on. Let Γ_m denote the subgroup of $X_0(\kappa_m)$ generated by the image of the points of $C_0(\kappa_m)$. Then this implies $f_m^{K_0}(z+\gamma) = f_m^{K_0}(z)$ for all $z \in X_0(\kappa_m)$ and all $\gamma \in \Gamma_m$. If we can show, that the index $[X_0(\kappa_m) : \Gamma_m]$ is bounded independently from m, then lemma 13 implies that K is a translation-invariant perverse sheaf on X contradicting our assumption on K, which then implies that $K * \delta_{\tilde{C}}$ must have been a perverse sheaf.

To control the index of the group Γ_m generated by $\tilde{C}_0(\kappa_m)$ in $X_0(\kappa_m)$ it is enough to bound the index of the group generated by $C_0(\kappa_m)$ in the Jacobian $J(C_0)(\kappa_m)$. If the index in the Jacobian is estimated by C_2, then by the first reduction step the index can is bounded by $[X_0(\kappa_m) : \Gamma_m] \leq C_1C_2$. Therefore we now may assume $X_0 = J(C_0)$ without loss of generality.

The quotient map $C_0^{2g} \to C_0^{(2g)}$ is a finite morphism of degree $(2g)!$. Hence the image of

$$C_0^{2g}(\kappa_m) \to C_0^{(2g)}(\kappa_m)$$

contains

$$\geq q^{2gm}/(2g)! + o(q^{2mg})$$

different points. Over each point $z \in J(C_0)(\kappa_m)$ the fiber of the morphism

$$C_0^{(2g)} \to J(C_0)$$

is a Severi-Brauer variety, i.e. becomes a projective space of dimension g over k. By a result of Chatelet a Severi-Brauer variety is isomorphic over κ_m to a projective space over κ_m, if and only if it contains a κ_m-rational point. If the fiber contains a κ_m-rational point $x \in C^{(2g)}(\kappa_m)$, then it contains

$$q^{gm} + q^{(g-1)m} + \cdots + 1 = q^{gm} + o(q^{gm})$$

such κ_m-rational points. In other words, there remain at least

$$\frac{1}{(2g)!}q^{2gm}/q^{gm} + o(q^{gm}) \ = \ \frac{1}{(2g)!}q^{gm} + o(q^{gm})$$

different points in the image of $C_0^{2g}(\kappa_m) \to J(C_0)(\kappa_m)$, whereas

$$\#J(C_0)(\kappa_m) = q^{gm} + o(q^{gm}) \ .$$

Hence the subgroup generated by the image of $C_0(\kappa_m)$ in $J(C_0)(\kappa_m)$ has index bounded by $C_2 = (2g)!$, at least if $m \geq m_0$ is chosen large enough. Concerning this we may replace κ by a finite field extension, so that this bound holds for all m. This completes the proof of the first assertion.

To prove the statement for an additional coefficient system E on C we notice, that the above argument did only use, that the map $C \to X$ has an image that generates X. Hence we could replace C by a finite branched covering $\pi' : C' \to C$, which trivializes E, and $\delta_E \hookrightarrow \pi'_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C'}[1])$ by $\delta_{C'} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C'}[1]$ and study the map $f : X \times C' \to X$ in a similar way. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 7. *Suppose k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Suppose X is an abelian variety over k and $\tilde{C}$ is an irreducible projective curve contained in X defined over k. Suppose X is generated as an abelian variety by $\tilde{C}$. Then for a semisimple perverse sheaf K on X of geometric origin the convolution $K * \delta_{\tilde{C}}$ is a direct sum*

$$K * \delta_{\tilde{C}} \cong P \oplus T \ ,$$

where P is a semisimple perverse sheaf P of geometric origin on X and T is a sum of complex translates of translation-invariant perverse sheaves on X of geometric origin. Furthermore T is perverse for an irreducible perverse sheaf K of geometric origin on X, which is not translation-invariant. Moreover the same holds with $\delta_{\tilde{C}}$ replaced by some admissible perverse sheaf δ_E on $\tilde{C}$.

Proof: We may assume $k = \mathbb{C}$. Then we can apply the technique of [3], section 6.2 (in particular lemma 6.2.6 of loc. cit.) to reduce the proof of the theorem to the analogous result proved over the algebraic closure of a finite field. Notice that all the sheaves δ_E are sheaves of geometric origin.

Chapter 4

Jacobians

Let X be the Jacobian of a smooth curve C of genus $g \geq 3$. Then, after choosing a point $P_0 \in C(k)$, one obtains the Abel-Jacobi period map $C \to X$, which induces maps $C^r = C \times \cdots \times C \to X$ by repeated addition in the abelian variety X. These maps factorize over the smooth projective varieties $C^{(r)} = C^r/\Sigma_r$ (quotient by the symmetric group Σ_r)

$$C^r \xrightarrow{f} C^{(r)} \xrightarrow{p} X \ .$$

For increasing d we have natural embeddings $C^{(d)} \hookrightarrow C^{(d+1)}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\cdots \arrow[r, hook] & C^{(d)} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dr, "p_d"'] & C^{(d+1)} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "p_{d+1}"] & C^{(d+2)} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dl, "p_{d+2}"] & \cdots \\
 & & X & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. For $d > 2g$ the map $p = p_d$

$$p_d : C^{(d)} \to X$$

becomes a projective bundle morphism, hence in particular a projective morphism. Hence all p_d for all $d \geq 1$ are projective morphisms by the diagram above. For $d < g$ the image of p_d is the subvariety $W_d \hookrightarrow X$. For details see [1] and [20] §5 in the case of positive characteristics.

The direct image under f respectively p of the constant perverse sheaf $\lambda_{C^r} = \delta_{C^r}$ therefore is an Σ_r-equivariant sheaf complex

$$f_*(\mathbb{Q}_{l,C^r}[r]) \ = \ \bigoplus_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}_\alpha \ ,$$

where σ_α ranges over a representative system of equivalence classes of irreducible $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representations of the symmetric group Σ_r. Then up to a shift by r the $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ are sheaves on $C^{(r)}$, but they are indeed also in $Perv(C^{(r)})$. Therefore

$$R(p\circ f)_*(\delta_{C^r}) = Rp_*(\bigoplus_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}_\alpha) \;=\; \bigoplus_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \otimes \delta_\alpha$$

for

$$\delta_\alpha = Rp_*(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)\ .$$

Here δ_α is a sheaf complex on X, which is pure of weight $w = r$. Notice, that every irreducible representation of the symmetric group is defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. This implies, that the Verdier dual $D(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$. As a consequence we obtain

Lemma 15. *δ_α is a self dual pure complex on X of weight $r = deg(\alpha)$.*

4.1 The multiplication law

From the diagram for $r = r_1 + r_2$ and the relative Künneth formula

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & C^{(r)} \arrow[r, "p"] & X \\
C^r \arrow[ur, "f"] \arrow[dr, "f\times f"'] & & \\
 & C^{(r_1)} \times C^{(r_2)} \arrow[uu, "\tau"] \arrow[r, "p\times p"] & X \times X \arrow[uu, "a"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

it follows, that $\tau_*(\bigoplus \sigma_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{F}_\alpha \boxtimes \bigoplus_\beta \sigma_\beta \otimes \mathcal{F}_\beta) = \bigoplus_\gamma \sigma_\gamma \otimes \mathcal{F}_\gamma$. Hence $\bigoplus\bigoplus_\beta(\sigma_\alpha \boxtimes \sigma_\beta) \otimes \tau_*(\mathcal{F}_\alpha \boxtimes \otimes \mathcal{F}_\beta) = \bigoplus_\gamma \sigma_\gamma\big|_{\Sigma_{r_1}\times\Sigma_{r_2}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\gamma$. Let $m_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ denote the dimension of the space of intertwining operators

$$Hom_{\Sigma_r}(\sigma_\gamma, Ind_{\Sigma_{r_1}\times\Sigma_{r_2}}^{\Sigma_r}(\sigma_\alpha \boxtimes \sigma_\beta)) = Hom_{\Sigma_{r_1}\times\Sigma_{r_2}}(\sigma_\gamma\big|_{\Sigma_{r_1}\times\Sigma_{r_2}}, \sigma_\alpha \boxtimes \sigma_\beta)\ .$$

These integers have a combinatorial description (this is the Littlewood-Richardson rule described in section 5.4). We conclude

Lemma 16. *$\delta_\alpha * \delta_\beta = \bigoplus_\gamma m_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \cdot \delta_\gamma$, where $m_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$ are the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients.*

4.2 Cohomology groups

Weyl's theorem describes, how the the r-th tensor representation of the standard representation of $Gl(V)$ on V (for a finitely dimensional vector space V over an algebraically closed field) decomposes into irreducible constituents V^α. The group $\Sigma_r \times Gl(V)$ acts on the tensor product $V^{\otimes r}$ in a natural way, where the symmetric group Σ_r permutes the factors of the tensor product (we call this the Weyl action). Then Weyl's theorem asserts a decomposition

$$V^{\otimes r} = \bigoplus_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \boxtimes V^\alpha \ ,$$

where summation is over a set of representatives for the irreducible representations σ_α of the symmetric group Σ_r. The V^α are irreducible algebraic representations of the group $Gl(V)$ of highest weight $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r)$ respectively, where the α_i are integers such that $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq ...\alpha_r \geq 0$ such that $deg(\alpha) = \sum \alpha_i = r$. The α's correspond to the partitions of the number r.

Let denote

$$H_+ = H^0(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[1] \oplus H^2(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[-1]$$
$$H_- = H^1(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \ .$$

There is a natural action of the group Σ_r on the product C^r. This induces a natural action of Σ on the cohomology of C^r. By the Künneth theorem

$$\iota : \bigotimes^r H^\bullet(C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[1] \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(C^r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[r] \ .$$

The isomorphism ι is given by the cup-product

$$x_1 \otimes ... \otimes x_r \mapsto pr_1^*(x_1) \cup ... \cup pr_r^*(x_r) \ .$$

Notice, that the natural action of the group Σ_r on the tensor product on the left (the Weyl action) does not coincide with the action, which is induced on cohomology by the permutation of factors of C^r (the cohomological action). This is due to the fact, that the cup-product is alternating on H_- and symmetric on H_+. Since cup-products of elements in H_+ and H_- commute, for both actions we get

$$\bigotimes^r (H_+ \oplus H_-) = \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \ \bigoplus_{\tau \in \Sigma_r / \Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b} (\bigotimes^a H_+ \otimes \bigotimes^b H_+)$$

For both actions we therefore get a decomposition of the representation of the symmetric group Σ_r into the form

$$\bigoplus_{a+b=r} Ind_{\Sigma_a\times\Sigma_b}^{\Sigma_r}(\bigotimes^a H_+ \otimes \bigotimes^b H_-)\ .$$

Written in this way it is now obvious, how the two action differ.

Lemma 17. *The two representations of Σ_b on $\bigotimes^b H_-$, induced by the cohomological action respectively the Weyl action, differ by a twist with the signum character.*

Proof: It is enough to consider involutions σ of C^r, which permute two factors $i \neq j$. Then for 1-forms $u, v \in H_-$ we have $\sigma_{Weyl}(... \otimes u \otimes \cdots \otimes v \otimes ...) = (... \otimes v \otimes \cdots \otimes u \otimes ...)$. To compare $\sigma_{cohom}(... \cup pr_i^*(u) \cup \cdots \cup pr_j^*(v) \cup ...) = (... \cup pr_j^*(u) \cup \cdots \cup pr_i^*(v) \cup ...) = -(... \cup pr_i^*(v) \cup \cdots \cup pr_j^*(u) \cup ...)$. $\square$

This being said, we obtain from Weyl's formula the following expression for the cohomological action of Σ_r

$$H^\bullet(C^r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[r] \ = \ \bigoplus_{a+b=r} Ind_{\Sigma_a\times\Sigma_b}^{\Sigma_r}\Big((\bigoplus_{deg(\alpha)=a} \sigma_\alpha \boxtimes H_+^\alpha) \boxtimes (\bigoplus_{deg(\beta)=b} (\sigma_\beta \otimes sign) \boxtimes H_-^\beta)\Big)\ .$$

The sum ranges over all partitions α of a respectively over all partitions β of b. For an irreducible representation σ of the symmetric group Σ_b let now σ^* denote the representation $\sigma \otimes$ sign. Then

$$H^\bullet(C^r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[r] = \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \ \bigoplus_{deg(\alpha)=a, deg(\beta)=b} Ind_{\Sigma_a\times\Sigma_b}^{\Sigma_r}(\sigma_\alpha \boxtimes \sigma_\beta^*) \boxtimes (H_+^\alpha \otimes H_-^\beta)\ .$$

If we decompose $H^\bullet(C^r, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[r]$ into its irreducible constituents $\bigoplus_\gamma \sigma_\gamma \boxtimes H^\bullet(X, \delta_\gamma)$ under the cohomological action of the group Σ_r, i.e. the sum runs over all partitions of r, we obtain

Lemma 18. *For $deg(\gamma) = r$ we have*

$$H^\bullet(X, \delta_\gamma) = \bigoplus_{a+b=r} \ \bigoplus_{deg(\alpha)=a, deg(\beta)=b} m_{\alpha\beta^*}^\gamma \cdot H_+^\alpha \otimes H_-^\beta\ .$$

Remark: Since H_+ has dimension 2, in this sum an $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, ..)$ contributes only if $\alpha_i = 0$ holds for all $i \geq 3$.

Corollary 10. *$deg_{t^{1/2}}(h(\delta_\gamma, t)) \leq \gamma_1$ and equality*

$$deg_{t^{1/2}}(h(\delta_\gamma, t)) \ = \ \gamma_1$$

holds for $\gamma_1 \leq 2g$.

Proof: This is immediate consequence of the Littlewood-Richardson rules, which forces $\alpha_1 \leq \gamma_1$ for $m^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \neq 0$. For $\gamma_1 \leq 2g$ the leading coefficient in t is obtained from the summand $\alpha = (\gamma_1)$ and $\beta = (\gamma_2, \gamma_3, ...)$. It gives

$$h(\delta_\gamma, t) = m^\gamma_{(\gamma_1)\ (\gamma_2, \gamma_3, ..)} \cdot dim\big(H_-^{(\gamma_2, ...)^*}\big) \cdot t^{\gamma_1/2} \ + O(t^{(\gamma_1 - 1)/2}) \ .$$

Since $\gamma_1 \leq 2g$, the dimension of $H_-^{(\gamma_2, ...)^*}$ is nonzero by the Weyl formulas. In fact the coefficient $m^\gamma_{(\gamma_1)\ (\gamma_2, \gamma_3, ..)}$ can shown to be one.

Notation: For $\gamma = (r, 0, ...0)$ we also write δ_r instead of δ_γ.

Example: Since for $\gamma = (r, 0, ...0)$ the coefficients $m^\gamma_{\alpha\beta^*}$ vanish except for $\alpha = (a, 0, .., 0)$ and $\beta^* = (b, 0, .., 0)$, we obtain

$$H^\bullet(X, \delta_r) = \bigoplus_{a+b=r} S^a(H_+) \otimes \Lambda^b(H_-) \ ,$$

where S^a and Λ^b denotes the a-th respectively b-th symmetric respectively anti-symmetric powers. Hence $h(\delta_r, t) = \sum_{i=0}^r [i+1]_t \cdot \Lambda^{r-i}(H_-)$.

Degree $g-1$: Suppose C is not hyperelliptic. Then as a special case we obtain $IH^\bullet(\Theta) \cong H^\bullet(X, \delta_{g-1})$. In the general formula only $a = 2g-3$ and $b = 1$ contributes to $IH^{2g-3}(\Theta)$. Hence (ignoring Tate twists) we obtain $IH^{2g-3}(\Theta) \cong H^1(C)$. In particular, since $IH^1(\Theta) \cong IH^{2g-3}(\Theta)$

Corollary 11. $IH^1(\Theta) \cong H^1(C) \cong H^1(X)$.

Remark: The statement of the corollary remains true for hyperelliptic curves, although in this case $\delta_\Theta = \delta_{g-1} - \delta_{g-3}$ as will be shown in section 4.5. More generally $\delta_{W_r} = \delta_r - \delta_{r-2}$ for all $r \leq g-1$ in the hyperelliptic case. Using this, one can argue as above to show $IH^{2g-3}(\Theta) \cong H^1(C)$. In fact $IH^1(W_r) \cong IH^{2r-1}(W_r) = H^{r-1}(X,\delta_r) \cong H^1(C)$ for all $r \leq g-1$, since $\delta_{W_r} \oplus \delta_{r-2} = \delta_r$ and $H^{r-1}(X,\delta_{r-2}) = 0$ by the formulas above.

Trivial cases: If $\alpha = 0$, then $\delta_\alpha = \delta_0 = 1$ is the unit element of the convolution product. If $\alpha = (1,0,..)$, then $\delta_1 = \delta_C$.

4.3 Theorem of Martens

The fibers of the map $p_d : C^{(d)} \to X$ are projective spaces. For $r \geq 1$ the subvariety $W_d^r \subseteq X$ is the locus of points x in the image of p_d, where $p_d^{-1}(x)$ is a projective space of dimension $\geq r-1$. For $r=1$ the image $W_d = W_d^1$ of $C^{(d)}$ has dimension d, if $1 \leq d \leq g$.

Suppose $r \geq 2$ and $2 \leq d \leq g-1$. Then, for a smooth curve of genus $g \geq 3$ the following holds

Theorem 8. *(Martens): Suppose* $2(r-1) \leq d$. *Then*

1. *If* C *is not a hyperelliptic curve*

$$dim(W_d^r) + 2(r-1) \leq d-1 \ .$$

2. *If* C *is hyperelliptic, then* $W_d^r = W_{d-2r+2}$ *holds up to translation. Therefore*

$$dim(W_d^r) + 2(r-1) = d \ .$$

Proof: See [M] and [10], p.55 and p.57. For hyperelliptic C there exists a point $e \in X$ such that

$$e - W_1 = W_1 \ ,$$

and more generally $W_d^r = W_{d-2r+2} - (r-1)e$.

Corollary 12. *Suppose $g \geq 3$. Then for $1 \leq d \leq g-1$ the morphism $p_d : C^{(d)} \to W_d$ is semi-small. If C is not hyperelliptic p_d is small.*

Proof: Obviously for $r \geq 2$

$$2 \cdot dim(p_d^{-1}(x)) \ = \ 2(r-1) \ < \ d - (d - 2r + 2) \ \leq \ dim(W_d) - dim(W_d^r)$$

for $x \in W_d^r \setminus W_d^{r+1}$. Notice we can assume $2(r+1) \leq d$, since otherwise W_d^r is empty. Hence $p_d : C^{(d)} \to W_d$ is semi-small. Furthermore p_d is an isomorphism over $W_d \setminus W_d^2$. Similarly, for C not hyperelliptic, we have a strict inequality above. Hence p_d is small. This improves corollary 3. In fact we obtain

Corollary 13. *For degree $0 \leq deg(\alpha) \leq g-1$ the complexes δ_α are pure perverse sheaves on X of weight $-deg(\alpha)$. Suppose C is not hyperelliptic. Then*

(i) These sheaves are irreducible perverse sheaves[1] with support in $W_{deg(\alpha)}$.

(ii) $\delta_\alpha \cong \delta_\beta$ for these sheaves if and only if $\alpha = \beta$.

(iii) $\delta_d = \delta_{W_d}$ is the intersection cohomology sheaf of W_d for $1 \leq d \leq g-1$, hence

$$IH^\bullet(W_d, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[d] = \bigoplus_{a+b=d} S^a(H_+) \otimes \Lambda^b(H_-) \ .$$

Proof: Concerning property (i) see [KW] III.7.4 and 7.5(ii). Notice that III.7.5 does not require $\mathcal{G}$ to be a smooth sheaf. In fact it is enough, that $\mathcal{G}$ in the notations of loc. cit. is an etale sheaf on X in the ordinary sense, such that $\mathcal{G}[n]$ is a pure perverse sheaf on X. Then $Rf_!\mathcal{G}[n] \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y)$ holds for projective morphisms $f : X \to Y$ by [KW], III.7.4 and $Rf_!\mathcal{G}[n] \in Perv(Y)$ by the hard Lefschetz theorem [KW] IV.4.1. Concerning property (ii) see [KW] III.15.3(c).

Obviously for $d < g$

$$supp(\mathcal{H}^{-d+2r}(\delta_d)) \ = \ W_d^r \ .$$

Similar, the supports of the cohomology sheaves of the δ_C^{*d} can be related to the spaces W_d^r in an obvious way. Moreover

---

[1] One could go back from this to view Marten's theorem as a consequence of 3 and of the main result of 7.6, whose proof is basically independent.

Lemma 19. *Suppose C is a general curve of genus g and suppose $char(k) = 0$. Then for $d < g$*

$$\mathcal{H}^{-d+2r}(\delta_d) \ \cong\ \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d^r} \ .$$

Proof: By [1] p.215 (.7) and p.163 (1.6) it follows, that C_d^r is smooth of the correct dimension in the sense of loc. cit. Also by [1] p. 190 (4.4) the variety W_d^r is Cohen-Macaulay, reduced and normal. Since W_d is normal, the sheaf $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(\delta_d)$ is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d}$. Hence the restriction to W_d^r is $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(\delta_d)|_{W_d^r} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d^r}$. The natural map $\pi : C_d^r \to W_d^r$ is projective, and it is smooth of relative dimension d over the dense open subset $W_d^r \setminus W_d^{r+1}$ with projective spaces of dimension r as fibers. Therefore the hard Lefschetz theorem implies $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(R\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C_d^r}) \cong \mathcal{H}^{-d+2}(R\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C_d^r}) \cong \cdots \cong \mathcal{H}^{-d+2r}(R\pi_*\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C_d^r}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d^r}$. Since $R\pi_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C_d^r}) = Rp_{d,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C^{(d)}})|_{C_d^r}$, this proves the claim.

4.4 The adjoint perverse sheaf

The roles of $\delta_C \in Perv(X)$ and $\delta_C^\vee = \delta_{-C} \in Perv(X)$ are dual to each other

$$\boxed{\delta_C * \delta_{-C} \ = \ 1 \ \oplus \ \Omega} \ ,$$

where $1 = \delta_0$. For the difference map $f(x,y) = x - y$

$$f : C \times C \to X$$

by definition

$$Rf_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C\times C})[2] \ \cong\ \delta_C * \delta_{-C} \ .$$

To compute the stalks of the left side we have to consider the intersection $C \cap (z + C)$. For $z = 0$ this intersection is C. So it remains to consider the case $z \neq 0$. For this we distinguish two cases (see [10], p.128):

Intersections: For $z \neq 0$ suppose $x \in C$ and $x \in z + C$. Then $z = x - y$ for $x, y \in C$, hence $z \in C - C$. Suppose we have two solutions $(x_1, y_1) \in C^2(k)$ and $(x_2, y_2) \in C^2(k)$ such that

$$z = x_1 - y_1 = x_2 - y_2 \ .$$

Then $x_1 + y_2 = y_1 + x_2$.

Non-hyperelliptic case: If C is not hyperelliptic, $H^0(C, O_C(x_1 + y_2))$ has dimension 1. Therefore $x_1 = y_1$ or $x_1 = x_2$. In the first case $z = 0$, but we ssumed $z \neq 0$. Hence $x_1 = x_2$ and $y_1 = y_2$. It follows, that in the non-hyperelliptic case $C \cap (z + C)$ is nonempty if and only if $z \in C - C$, such that the intersection $C \cap (z + C)$ consists of one unique point for $z \neq 0$, respectively $C \cap (z + C) = C$ for $z = 0$.

Hence $f : C \times C \to C - C$, defined by $f(x, y) = x - y$, is birational. The diagonal Δ_C is blown down to $0 \in X$. Outside the inverse image of $\{0\}$ the map f is an isomorphism. This easily implies

$$\boxed{\Omega = \delta_{C-C}} \ .$$

Hyperelliptic curves: In this case the intersection $C \cap (C + z)$ for $z \neq 0$ consists of two points. In fact, there is a unique isomorphism class $\mathcal{L}$ of line bundles of degree 2 so that $H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = 2$. The divisors in this class have the form $D = x + \theta(x)$, where $\theta : C \to C$ denotes the hyperelliptic involution. The quotient C/θ is the projective space $\mathbb{P}^1$. $f(x_1, y_1) = f(x_2, y_2)$ either implies $y_2 = \theta x_1$ and $y_1 = \theta x_2$, or $(x_1, y_1) = (x_2, y_2)$. Hence $(x_2, y_2) = (\theta y_1, \theta x_1)$ or $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1)$. This implies:

The diagonal $\Delta_C \hookrightarrow C \times C$ is contracted by f to the point zero. Outside $f^{-1}(0)$ the morphism f defines a two fold ramified covering with the covering automorphism

$$\sigma(x, y) = (\theta(y), \theta(x)) \ .$$

The covering automorphism acts on the points of the diagonal Δ_C by $(x, x) \mapsto (\theta x, \theta x)$. Its fixed points of the covering automorphism are of the form $(x, \theta(x))$, and the locus of these points is the branch locus. Furthermore f is a semi-small morphism. This together with Gabber's theorem implies

$$\boxed{\delta_C * \delta_{-C} \cong Rf_*(\delta_{C\times C}) \cong \delta_{C-C} \oplus \delta_E \oplus S}$$

for a coefficient system $E = E(\rho)$ on $C - C$ of generic rank one, which corresponds to the nontrivial quadratic character ρ of the fundamental group $\pi_1((C - C) \setminus V)$, which is defined to the branched covering f. The ramification locus of E is contained in the image V of the branch locus. Finally S is a a perverse sheaf with support in $\{0\}$, which is easily determined by considering the fiber cohomology $H^\bullet(f^{-1}(0)) = H^\bullet(C)$. In fact

$$\boxed{S = \delta_{\{0\}}}$$

coming from $H^2(C) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. $R^{-1}f_*(\delta_{C\times C})$ is a skyscraper sheaf with support in $\{0\}$. We claim

$$R^{-1}f_*(\delta_{C\times C}) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$$

and $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{C-C}) = 0$. Proof: The group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the involution σ acts on $C \times C$, and f is equivariant with respect to the trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ on X. The automorphism σ induces the automorphism θ on the fiber $f^{-1}(0) \cong C$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "id\times id"] \arrow[d, "\theta"'] & C\times C \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\sigma"'] & C-C\subseteq X \arrow[d, "id_X"'] \\
C \arrow[r, "id\times id"] & C\times C \arrow[r, "f"] & C-C\subseteq X
\end{tikzcd}$$

Therefore the complex $Rf_*(\delta_{C\times C})$ is an $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-equivariant perverse sheaf on X. Since $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts trivially on X, it decomposes into a $+$-eigenspace and a $-$-eigenspace: The $+$-eigenspace is

$$\delta_0 \oplus \delta_{C-C} \ ,$$

the (-1)-eigenspace is A. To prove our claim it is therefore enough to show, that σ acts nontrivially on the stalk

$$(R^1f_*(\delta_{C\times C}))_0 \ \cong \ H^1(C) \ .$$

Since $f^{-1}(0) = \Delta_C \cong C$ is the diagonal $\Delta_C \hookrightarrow C \times C$, and since σ restricts to the automorphism θ on the fiber $f^{-1}(0)$, this follows since the hyperelliptic involution θ acts on $H^1(C)$ by $-id$. Hence

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A) \ \cong \ H^1(C)\otimes\delta_0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{C-C}) \ \cong \ 0 \ .$$

Resume: As a final result in the hyperelliptic case $\Omega = \delta_{C-C} \oplus A$, where $A = \delta_E$ for the nontrivial coefficient system E on $C - C$ described above.

4.5 Hyperelliptic curves I

Suppose C is hyperelliptic and X is its Jacobian. Then as in the last section

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "id\times\theta"] \arrow[d, "\theta"'] & C\times C \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d, "\tau"'] & C+C\subseteq X \arrow[d, "id_X"'] \\
C \arrow[r, "id\times\theta"] & C\times C \arrow[r, "a"] & C+C\subseteq X
\end{tikzcd}$$

The addition morphism a induces a branched covering outside $a^{-1}(\{e\}) \cong C = image(id \times \theta : C \to C \times C)$ with the covering automorphism defined by

$$\tau(x,y) = (y,x) \ .$$

Recall, $\theta(x) + x = e$ for all $x \in C$ implies

$$C = e - C$$

in the hyperelliptic case. Therefore

$$Ra_*(\delta_{C\times C}) = \delta_C * \delta_C = \delta_2 \oplus \delta_{1,1} = \delta_C * \delta_{e-C} = \delta_{\{e\}} \oplus \delta_{e+C-C} \oplus T^*_{-e}(A) \ ,$$

which is the translate of $\delta_C * \delta_{-C} = \delta_0 \oplus \Omega = \delta_0 \oplus \delta_{C-C} \oplus A$ by e. Considered as an equivariant sheaf with respect to the action of the group $\{id, \tau\}$ with trivial action on X, the eigenspace decomposition gives

1. $\oplus$-eigenspace: $\quad \delta_2 = \delta_{\{e\}} \oplus \delta_{e+C-C} \ = \ \delta_{\{e\}} \oplus \delta_{C+C}$
2. $\ominus$-eigenspace: $\quad \delta_{1,1} = T^*_{-e}(A)$

Hence $H^\bullet(X,A) \cong H^\bullet(X,\delta_{1,1})$, thus $dim(H^{\pm 1}(X,A)) = 2g$ and $dim(H^0(X,A)) = dim(S^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{2g})) + 1$. If we consider the symmetric quotient $C^{(2)} = (C \times C)/\Sigma_2$ for $\Sigma = \{id, \tau\}$, then

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "id\times\theta"] \arrow[d] & C \times C \arrow[r, "a"] \arrow[d] & C + C \subseteq X \arrow[d, "id_X"'] \\
\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r] & C^{(2)} \arrow[r, "p"] & C + C \subseteq X
\end{tikzcd}$$

and p is a birational map, which blows down the fiber $p^{-1}(e) = \mathbb{P}^1$. Hence

$$Rp_*(\delta_{C^{(2)}}) = \delta_{e+C-C} \oplus \delta_{\{e\}} = \delta_{C+C} \oplus \delta_{\{e\}} = \delta_{W_2} \oplus \delta_{\{e\}} \ .$$

More generally, by the theorem of Martens $W_d^r = W_{d-2r+2} - (r-1)e$. Since the map $p_d : C^{(d)} \to X$ is semi-small for $1 \leq d \leq g-1$, we get for $1 \leq d \leq g-1$

$$\delta_d = \delta_{W_d} \oplus \delta_{W_{d-2}-e} \oplus \delta_{W_{d-4}-2e} \oplus \cdots$$

hence

Lemma 20. *For hyperelliptic curves the sheaves* δ_d *are perverse sheaves for* $1 \leq d \leq g-1$ *such that (in the Grothendieck group)*

$$\boxed{\delta_{W_d} = \delta_d - \delta_{d-2}}\ .$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_{W_d}) = 0$ *for* $\nu \neq -d$*, hence*

$$\boxed{\delta_{W_d} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d}[d]}\ .$$

Singular supports: Since $C = e - C$ now

$$W_d = d \cdot e - W_d$$

for all $d \leq g-1$. For simplicity of notation we now assume $e = 0$, which can be achieved by replacing C with an appropriate translate of C in X. This convention will be used from now on in this section. Then $\delta_C = \delta_{-C}$ and

$$W_d = -W_d \quad , \quad 0 \leq d \leq g-1\ .$$

Lemma 21. *For* $0 \leq d \leq g-1$

$$\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_{W_d} * \delta_{W_d}) = \delta_0$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{W_d} * \delta_{W_d}) = IH^1(W_d) \otimes \delta_0 = H^1(C) \otimes \delta_0\ .$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_s}) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_s}) = 0$ *for all* $|r-s| \neq 1, 0$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_s}) = 0$ *and* $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_s}) = \delta_C[-1]$ *for* $|r-s| = 1$*.*

Proof: The first two statements are special cases of the computations made in section 2.8 implicitly using, that the singularities of W_d have codimension ≥ 2 [1], and that $W_d + x = W_d$ implies $x = 0$ (one easily reduces this to the case $d = 1$ by the use of the operation $\ominus$). The second assertion uses theorem 3 together with $IH^1(W_d) = H^1(C)$ (see the remark at the end of section 4.2). The remaining assertions follow from the spectral sequence exploited in section 2.8 and from $\mathcal{H}^{-\nu}(\delta_{W_d}) = 0$ for $\nu \neq -d$ and $\mathcal{H}^{-d}(\delta_{W_d}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_d}$. We skip the details.

Chapter 5

Basic results

5.1 Riemann-Roch

There exists a canonical point $\kappa \in X$, which depends on the choice of the point P_0 defining the Albanese morphism $C \to X$, such that $\kappa - W_r^\nu = W_s^\mu$ holds for all $r + s = 2g - 2$ and $\mu = g - 1 - r + \nu$. This is a reformulation of the Riemann-Roch theorem (see [10], p. 49). In other words

$$\kappa - W_{g-1-\tau}^{\nu} = W_{g-1+\tau}^{\tau+\nu}$$

holds for $0 \leq \tau \leq g-1$. Since $W_{g-1+\tau}^{1} = \cdots = W_{g-1+\tau}^{\tau} = X$ for all $1 \leq \tau \leq g-1$, we may assume $\mu = \tau + \nu$ and $1 \leq \nu$ for the study of $W_{g-1+\tau}^{\mu}$ in the range $1 \leq \tau \leq g-1$.

Notation: For $\varphi_\kappa(x) = \kappa - x$ and a complex $K \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ let K^- denote the complex $\varphi_\kappa^*(K)$.

Lemma 22. *For* $d = g - 1 + \tau$ *and* $1 \leq \tau \leq g - 1$ *the following holds*

$$\delta_{g-1+\tau} \;=\; \delta_{g-1-\tau}^{-}(-\tau) \;\oplus\; \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} \delta_X[\tau - 1 - 2\nu](-\nu)$$

and

$$\delta_{g-1+\tau}^{-} \;=\; \delta_{g-1-\tau}(-\tau) \;\oplus\; \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} \delta_X[\tau - 1 - 2\nu](-\nu)\ .$$

Proof: Consider the closed subscheme $Y = W^{\tau+1}_{g-1+\tau} \hookrightarrow X$ and its open complement $j : U = X\setminus Y \hookrightarrow X$. Let E be a sheaf of $C^{(g-1+\tau)}$ (not a sheaf complex). The map $p : C^{(g-1+\tau)} \to X$ is an smooth morphism over U. Its fibers over U are projective spaces of dimension $\tau - 1$. Therefore the standard truncation of the direct image complex $L = Rp_*(E[g-1+\tau])$ on U vanishes in degree $\geq \tau - g + 1$.Hence the standard truncation $\tau^{st}_{\geq \tau-g+1}L$ is zero on U. The distinguished triangle

$$\tau^{st}_{\leq \tau-g}L \to Rp_*(L) \to \tau^{st}_{\geq \tau-g+1}L \to$$

implies $\tau^{st}_{\leq \tau-g+1}L\big|_U \;\cong\; L\big|_U$ and $K = \tau^{st}_{\geq \tau-g+1}L$ is a complex with cohomology supported in Y.

The cohomology stalks of K at points $y \in Y^\alpha \setminus Y^{\alpha+1}$ for $Y^\alpha = W^{\tau+\alpha}_{g-1+\tau} \subseteq Y$ are

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(K)_y = \bigoplus_{\nu\geq 2\tau} H^\nu\Big(\mathbb{P}^{\tau-1+\alpha}, E[g-1+\tau]\big|\mathbb{P}^{\tau-1+\alpha}\Big) \; .$$

These cohomology stalks vanish on Y^α in degrees

$$\nu > 2(\tau - 1 + \alpha) - (g - 1 + \tau) = \tau - g + 1 + 2(\alpha - 1) \; .$$

By Riemann-Roch $Y = \kappa - W_{g-1-\tau}$ and $Y^\alpha = \kappa - W^\alpha_{g-1-\tau}$. Hence $codim(Y^\alpha, Y) = codim(W^\alpha_{g-1-\tau}, W_{g-1-\tau})$. By Martens theorem this is $\geq 2(\alpha - 1)$ for $g \geq 3$. Therefore $-dim(Y^\alpha) \geq -dim(Y) + 2(\alpha-1) = \tau - g + 1 + 2(\alpha - 1)$. We have shown $\mathcal{H}^\nu(K)\big|_{Y^\alpha\setminus Y^{\alpha+1}} = 0$ for $\nu > -dim(Y^\alpha)$. Hence the complex K is semi-perverse

$$K \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y) \subseteq {}^pD^{\leq 0}(X) \; .$$

Furthermore, if C is not hyperelliptic, the restriction of K to Y^α for $\alpha \geq 2$ is contained in ${}^pD^{\leq -1}(Y^\alpha)$ by Martens theorem.

Now assume E to be a selfdual pure perverse sheaf of weight w on $C^{(g-1+\tau)}$. Since p is a proper morphism, $L = Rp_*(E)$ again is a selfdual pure complex on X. Hence $L = \bigoplus {}^pH^\nu L\langle\nu\rangle$ by Gabber's theorem. Then $M^\nu = j_{!*}({}^pH^\nu L)$ and we get

$$L = \tilde{L} \,\oplus\, \bigoplus M^\nu\langle\nu\rangle \; ,$$

where $\tilde{L}$ again is a selfdual pure complex, whose cohomology support is contained in Y. Notice $\tau^{st}_{\tau-g+1}L|_Y \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y)$. The same applies for any direct summand, hence $\tau^{st}_{\geq\tau-g+1}\tilde{L} \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y)$. Since $\tilde{L}$ is selfdual and pure, $\tilde{L}$ is a direct sum of translates of perverse sheaves. If $\tilde{L}$ is not a perverse sheaf on Y, being selfdual, ${}^pH^\mu(\tilde{L}) \neq 0$ holds for some $\mu > 0$. However the corresponding translated summand of $\tilde{L}$ remains unaffected by the truncation $\tau^{st}_{\geq \tau - g+1} = \tau^{st}_{\geq -dim(Y)}$ by [KW] III.5.13. This contradicts $\tau^{st}_{\geq \tau-g+1}\tilde{L} \in {}^pD^{\leq 0}(Y)$ unless $\tilde{L}$ is in $Perv(Y)$. Hence

$$\tilde{L} \in Perv(Y) \ .$$

Concerning the sheaves M^ν: Let us now assume that E was the constant sheaf on $C^{(g-1+\tau)}$. We apply [FK] III.11.3 to the projective smooth morphism $p^{-1}(U) \to U$. Therefore ${}^pH^{\tau-1}(Rp_*\delta_{C^{(r)}}|U)(\tau-1)$ is the constant perverse sheaf on $\delta_U \in Perv(U)$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem applied for the projective bundle [1] morphism $p^{-1}(U) \to U$, then all perverse cohomology sheaves ${}^pH^\nu(Rp_*\delta_{C^{(r)}})$ are constant perverse sheaves up to shifts and Tate twists. Therefore

$$\bigoplus M^\nu = \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{\tau-1} \delta_X[\tau-1-2\nu](-\nu) \ ,$$

where the term for $\nu = \tau - 1$ (restricted to U) corresponds to $H^{\tau-1}(Rp_*\delta_{C^{(r)}}|U)$. Since $\tau^{st}_{\geq\tau-g+1}(\bigoplus M^\nu) = 0$ in this case, we see that $\tilde{L} = \tau^{st}_{\geq \tau-g+1}L = K$.

Finally we claim, that for constant E the perverse sheaf $\tilde{L}$ on Y is the intermediate extension of the constant perverse sheaf on Y, if C is not hyperelliptic. For this remains to show, that $\tilde{L}\big|_{Y\setminus Y^2}$ is constant up to a Tate twist. However, over $Y \setminus Y^2$ we can argue as above to show that ${}^pH^\tau(\tilde{L}) = {}^pH^\tau(K)$ is constant up to a τ-fold Tate twist. Therefore

$$\tilde{L} \ = \ \delta^-_{g-1-\tau}(-\tau) \ .$$

This remains true for hyperelliptic C with a similar argument, although the perverse sheaves are not irreducible any longer.

By a similar argument we get

Lemma 23. *For* $d \geq 2g-1$ *we get* $\delta_d = \bigoplus_{\nu=0}^{d-g} \delta_X[d-g-2\nu](-\nu)$.

In particular the class of δ_d in $K_*(X)$ is zero for $d > \chi = 2g-2$.

---

[1] See [10] theorem 16(b)

5.2 Triviality

Lemma 24. *For a partition* $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ...)$ *with* $\gamma_1 > \chi$ *the class of* δ_γ *in* $K_*(X)$ *is zero.*

Proof: Notice $m^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ for $\alpha = (\gamma_1, 0, ..)$ and $\beta = (\gamma_2, \gamma_3, ...)$. Hence δ_γ is a direct summand of the complex $\delta_\alpha * \delta_\beta$. Since δ_α is a direct sum of translates of the perverse sheaf δ_X (up to Tate twists) for $\gamma_1 > \chi$, the same holds true for the convolution $\delta_\alpha * \delta_\beta$, hence also for each direct summand.

Lemma 25. *For* $\alpha = (2g-2, .., 2g-2, 0, ..)$ *of degree* $deg(\alpha) = r(2g-2)$ *the class of* δ_α *in* $K_*(X)$ *is equal to the class of the perverse skyscraper sheaf* $\delta_{\{r\cdot\kappa\}}(r\chi)$ *concentrated in the point* $r \cdot \kappa \in X$.

Proof: $(\delta_{2g-2})^{*r} = \delta_{2g-2} * ... * \delta_{2g-2} = \delta_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_\beta \delta_\beta$ for $\beta = (\beta_1, ..)$ with $\beta_1 > 2g-2$. $(\delta_{2g-2})^r \equiv \delta_\alpha$ in $K_*(X)$ by lemma 24. But $\delta_{2g-2} \equiv \delta_{\{\kappa\}}(\chi)$ by lemma 22. This proves the claim.

5.3 Duality

In the following iterated convolutions with skyscraper sheaves at $r \cdot \kappa \in X$ occur. To avoid them, one could consider X-equivariant perverse sheaves on $X \times X$ instead of perverse sheaves on X . Notice, there is a natural equivalence between perverse sheaves on X up to translation in X, and X-equivariant perverse sheaves on $X \times X$. Convolution products of X-equivariant perverse sheaves on $X \times X$ can be described by the formalism of [KW], bottom of p.192. In the following, instead of using equivariant perverse sheaves, we often prefer to normalize κ to become 0 using an appropriate translate of C in X instead of the curve C itself.

Notation: For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_r, 0, .., 0)$ suppose $\alpha_1 \leq \chi = 2g-2$. Then put

$$\beta = (\chi - \alpha_r, \chi - \alpha_{r-1}, ..., \chi - \alpha_1, 0, .., 0) .$$

δ_α and δ_β are pure perverse sheaves of weight $deg(\alpha)$ respectively $deg(\beta) = r\chi - deg(\alpha)$. Set $\tau = r(g-1) - deg(\alpha)$. Then

Theorem 9. *$(\delta_\alpha)^- \equiv T^*_{r\cdot\kappa}(\delta_\beta)(-\tau)$ holds as an equality in $K_*(X)$.*

Remark: If κ is normalized to be zero, then ignoring Tate twists this can also be written

$$\boxed{\delta_\alpha^\vee \equiv \delta_\beta}\ ,$$

since $D(\delta_\alpha) \cong \delta_\alpha$.

Proof: For the proof we ignore the Tate twists and also assume $\kappa = 0$. We use induction and the two formulas:

$$\textit{Formula 1)} \qquad \delta_{(\alpha_1,..,\alpha_{r-1})} * \delta_{\alpha_r} \ = \ \delta_\alpha \ \oplus \ \bigoplus_\nu m_+(\nu)\delta_\nu$$

with summation over all $\nu = (\nu_1, .., \nu_r, 0, .., 0)$ with $\nu_r < \alpha_r$ where we write

$$m_+(\nu) := m^{(\nu_1,...,\nu_r)}_{(\alpha_1,..,\alpha_{r-1})(\alpha_r)} \in \mathbb{N}$$

for the multiplicity. Similarly

$$\delta_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},..,\chi-\alpha_1)} * \delta_{\chi-\alpha_r} \ = \ \delta_\beta \ \oplus \ \bigoplus_\mu m(\mu)\delta_\mu\ ,$$

where the sum now only runs over the $\mu = (\mu_1, .., \mu_r, 0, .., 0)$ with $\mu_1 > \chi - \alpha_r$. Again $m(\mu) = m^\mu_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},..,\chi-\alpha_1)(\chi-\alpha_r)} \in \mathbb{N}$ denotes the multiplicity. Now we read this formula in $K_*(X)$. This allows to ignore all μ with $\mu_1 > \chi = 2(g-1)$. In particular $(\mu_1, ..., \mu_r) = (\chi - \nu_r, ..., \chi - \nu_1)$ is then well defined. Thus, as a formula that holds in $K_*(X)$, this gives:

$$\textit{Formula 2)} \qquad \delta_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},..,\chi-\alpha_1)} * \delta_{\chi-\alpha_r} \ \equiv \ \delta_\beta \ \oplus \ \bigoplus_\nu m_-(\nu)\delta_{(\chi-\nu_r,...,\chi-\nu_1)}\ ,$$

where summation runs over all $\nu = (\nu_1, .., \nu_r, 0, .., 0)$ for which $\chi \geq \chi - \nu_r > \chi - \alpha_r$ holds, and where

$$m_-(\nu) := m^{(\chi-\nu_r,...,\chi-\nu_1)}_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},..,\chi-\alpha_1)(\chi-\alpha_r)}\ .$$

For the proof of the duality statement between δ_α and δ_β we now use an iterated induction on r and on α_r. By the induction assumption on r we show that the left side of formula 1) and formula 2) correspond under duality. Then, by induction on r and α_r we show, that all terms except δ_α respectively δ_β on the rightside of formula 1) respectively formula 2) again correspond under duality. This implies the desired duality between δ_α and δ_β by comparison.

Equality of the left hand sides: For $-\tau_1 = \alpha_r - (g-1)$ we have by induction on r

$$\delta^-_{\alpha_r} = T^*_\kappa(\delta_{\chi-\alpha_r})(-\tau_1) \ ,$$

and similarly for $-\tau_{r-1} = \alpha_1 + .. + \alpha_{r-1} - (r-1)(g-1)$

$$\delta^-_{(\alpha_1,..,\alpha_{r-1})} = T^*_{(r-1)\kappa}(\delta_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},..,\chi-\alpha_1)})(-\tau_{r-1}) \ .$$

Here we also used $T^*_{x_0}(K) * T^*_{x_1}(L) = T^*_{x_0+x_1}(K*L)$.

Equality of the sums on the right side: For a comparison of terms in the direct sums on the right sides of formula 1) and 2) by induction on r and on α_r we have $\delta^-_{(\nu_1,..,\nu_r)} \equiv T^*_{r\kappa}(\delta_{\chi-\nu_r,..,\chi-\nu_1)})$ (as an equality in $K_*(X)$).

This being said, we remark that the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients

$$m_+(\nu) = m_-(\nu)$$

coincide. This will be shown in the next section. Taking this for granted now this implies, that the sums on the right sides of formula 1) and 2) agree with multiplicities. Hence $\delta^-_\alpha \equiv T^*_{r\kappa}(\delta_\beta) - (\tau)$ in $K_*(X)$, as desired.

Induction start: Concerning the induction start $\alpha_r = 0$ we remark, that for $\alpha_r = 0$ we are in principle in the case of the induction used for the left sides. The only subtlety is, that we now have to use, that $\delta_{(\chi-\alpha_r,...,\chi-\alpha_1)} = \delta_{(\chi,\chi-\alpha_{r-1}...,\chi-\alpha_1)} \equiv \delta_\chi * \delta_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},...,\chi-\alpha_1)} \equiv \delta_{(\chi-\alpha_{r-1},...,\chi-\alpha_1)}$ holds in $K_*(X)$. In fact, this turns out to be a special case of the formulas stated above.

5.4 The Littlewood-Richardson rule

A partition $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots)$ has an associated Young diagram. Consider it as lying in the first plane-quadrant touching the x-axis. The first column - touching the y-axis - has height γ_1, the second column to the right has height γ_2, and so on.

To describe the rule Littlewood-Richardson notice, that $m^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}$ vanishes unless $\alpha_i \leq \gamma_i$ holds for all i. In other words: the Young diagram of α has to lie inside the

Young diagram of γ. This defines the complementary skew tableau γ/α, which is the complement of the Young diagram of α in the Young diagram of γ (it is a subdiagram of the Young diagram of γ). Fill the entries of the skew tableau with numbers $1, 2, 3, ..$, having the multiplicities $\beta_1, \beta_2, ..$ respectively, according to the filling rules 1-3) described below.

Then the Littlewood-Richardson rule says, that the multiplicity $m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$ is the number of different possible fillings of the skew diagram γ/α satisfying the

Filling rules:

1) Entries in each column must be weakly increasing in the direction away from the x-axis.

2) Entries on the same horizontal line of the skew diagram must be strictly increasing in the direction away from the y-axis.

3) Finally, starting from the highest entry of the first column of the skew diagram, then descending in direction of the x-axis, then starting from the highest entry in the second column of the skew diagram descending in direction of the x-axis, and so on, each filling defines a sequence of integers. Say n_ν counts, how often the integer n occurs among the first ν terms of the sequence. Then the filling rule 3) requires, that $n \leq m$ always has to imply $n_\mu \geq m_\mu$ (for all $\mu \geq 1$). In other words: The integer n occurs not less often then m among the first μ terms (no matter how μ is chosen).

1. example: $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{r-1}, 0, ...)$ and $\beta = (\alpha_r, 0, ...)$

In this case γ/α is filled with the single number 1. Hence rules 1) and 3) become meaningless and only rule 2) has a consequence: in each horizontal line of the skew diagram at most one entry is possible. Hence in our example the total number of filling positions is α_r. Hence the possible diagrams γ, which allow a filling, have the form (*)

$$\gamma_1 \geq \alpha_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq ... \geq \gamma_{r-1} \geq \alpha_{r-1} \geq \gamma_r \geq 0 \ .$$

In particular, rule 2) excludes the nonvanishing of γ_{r+1}. Every $\gamma = (\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_r)$ satisfying condition (*) and the following trivial condition (**)

$$deg(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i$$

(this is the condition, that the total number of fillings is α_r) can occur, and it occurs with multiplicity

$$m_+(\gamma) = 1 \ .$$

Additional remark: For $\alpha_{r-1} \geq \alpha_r$, the lexicographic minimal possible choice for such γ gives $\gamma = (\alpha_1, .., \alpha_r)$. All the other γ's, which allow fillings as above, necessarily satisfy

$$\alpha_r > \gamma_r \geq 0$$

where $\gamma_r = \alpha_r - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1}(\gamma_i - \alpha_i)$ is uniquely determined by $\gamma_1, .., \gamma_{r-1}$.

2. example: $\alpha = (\chi - \alpha_{r-1}, ..., \chi - \alpha_1, 0, ...)$ and $\beta = (\chi - \alpha_r, 0, ...)$.

Again filling is done with the single number 1 with only rule 2) being relevant. Possible γ, which allow a filling, are now of the form

$$\gamma_1 \geq \chi - \alpha_{r-1} \geq \gamma_2 \geq \chi - \alpha_{r-2} \geq ... \geq \gamma_{r-1} \geq \chi - \alpha_1 \geq \gamma_r \geq 0 \ .$$

Again rule 2) excludes $\gamma_{r+1} > 0$. Again there exists a unique filling, if this condition together with the degree condition $deg(\gamma) = r\chi - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i$ holds. Hence the multiplicity is

$$m_-(\gamma) = 1$$

in this case, and is zero otherwise.

For the proof of duality: Comparing the first and the second example we complete the proof of theorem 9. For this parameterize the possible $\gamma = (\gamma_1, .., \gamma_r)$ by their dual parameters $\chi - \check{\gamma} = (\chi - \check{\gamma}_r, ..., \chi - \check{\gamma}_1)$. This is possible without problem for the parameters γ_i if $i = 2, .., r$. This gives a true partition $\check{\gamma}$ only for $\gamma_1 \leq \chi$. However we will see immediately, that we can ignore the other cases by lemma 24. Ignoring these cases, the conditions above for the dual parameter become

$$\chi - \check{\gamma}_r \geq \chi - \alpha_{r-1} \geq \chi - \check{\gamma}_{r-1} \geq \chi - \alpha_{r-2} \geq ... \geq \chi - \check{\gamma}_2 \geq \chi - \alpha_1 \geq \chi - \check{\gamma}_1 \geq 0 \ .$$

Equivalently this means (*)'

$$\check{\gamma}_r \leq \alpha_{r-1} \leq \check{\gamma}_{r-1} \leq \alpha_{r-2} \leq ... \leq \check{\gamma}_2 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \check{\gamma}_1 \leq \chi \ .$$

The additional trivial degree condition (counting the total number of fillings) is $[(\chi - \check{\gamma}_r) - (\chi - \alpha_{r-1})] + ... + [(\chi - \check{\gamma}_2) - (\chi - \alpha_1)] + (\chi - \check{\gamma}_1) = \chi - \alpha_r$ or (**)'

$$deg(\check{\gamma}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i \ .$$

Additional remark: Suppose $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2$. Then $\gamma_i = \chi - \alpha_{r-i}$ for $i = 1,..,r$ defines the lexicographical minimal choice for γ, subject to the conditions (*)' and (**)' above. In the other cases $\gamma_1 = \chi - \check{\gamma}_r > \chi - \alpha_1$, i.e.

$$\alpha_1 > \check{\gamma}_r \ .$$

Comparison: The discussion above shows, that the solutions γ of the conditions (*) and (**) of the first example precisely match with the solutions $\check{\gamma}$ of the conditions (*)' and (**)' of the second example, except for the 'missing' boundary condition

$$0 \leq \check{\gamma}_r$$

in the second example (which is equivalent to $\gamma \leq \chi$), respectively the 'missing' boundary condition in the first example

$$\gamma_1 \leq \chi \ .$$

If we discard such values of γ_1 (which is possible in the setting of the proof of the duality theorem in the last section) this establishes a bijection between solutions defined by

$$\gamma \longleftrightarrow \chi - \gamma$$

This duality was already used for the proof of the duality theorem together with the fact, that in these special cases all multiplicities are one.

5.5 Perverse depth

For all α the complex δ_α is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf and a direct sum $\bigoplus T_\nu[\nu]$ of translation-invariant perverse sheaves T_ν by theorem 7. In this section we prove a slightly stronger result. The proof uses (an elementary special case) of the Littlewood-Richardson rule and affine vanishing theorems (see the following lemma 26).

We abbreviate $\varepsilon_r = \delta_\alpha$ for $\alpha = ([1]^r) = (1,...,1,0,..,)$. For the moment we assume the following lemma later proved in section 5.7

Lemma 26. *For all r there exists a constant C_0 such that*

$$ {}^pH^\nu(\varepsilon_r) = 0 \quad \textit{holds for all} \quad \nu \notin [-C_0, C_0] \ . $$

Using this lemma we claim a similar vanishing statement holds for all δ_α which satisfy $\alpha_1 \leq \chi$ for some new constant C_1 instead of C_0:

To show this notice, that for $\alpha_1 \leq \chi$ the complex δ_α is a direct summand of the complex

$$ \varepsilon_{\beta_1} * \cdots * \varepsilon_{\beta_s} \ , $$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_s)$ is defined by

$$ \beta = \alpha^* \ . $$

This statement is an elementary special case of the Littlewood-Richardson rule corresponding to the fact that every irreducible representation of the linear group is contained in a tensor product of the fundamental representations. Notice $s \leq \alpha_1 \leq \chi$. Since the perverse depth of the complex $\varepsilon_{\beta_1} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \varepsilon_{\beta_s} \in D_c^b(X^s)$ is at most $s \cdot C_0 \leq \chi \cdot C_0$, and since the direct image under the iterated smooth addition map $a : X^s \longrightarrow X$ shifts the perverse depth at most by the relative dimension $g \cdot (s-1)$, we obtain the estimate $s \cdot C_0 + g \cdot (s-1) \leq \chi \cdot (C_0 + g)$ for the perverse depth of $\varepsilon_{\beta_1} * \cdots * \varepsilon_s$, hence the same estimate for the perverse depth of any δ_α. This proves the claim.

By triviality $\delta_\alpha \equiv 0$, which holds for all α with $\alpha_1 > \chi$, we therefore get the following weaker result (now for all α)

Conclusion: *There exists a constant d such that for all partitions α the perverse cohomology sheaves ${}^pH^\nu(\delta_\alpha)$ are constant perverse sheaves for $\nu \notin [-d, d]$.*

Choose d minimal with this property.We claim $d = 0$. Suppose $d > 0$. Then there exists δ_α such that ${}^pH^d(\delta_\alpha)$ is not constant. For certain irreducible perverse sheaves $A = A_i, i \in I$ we write

$$ \delta_\alpha = \bigoplus_A \bigoplus_{\nu=-\nu_A}^{\nu_A} A[\nu] \quad , \quad (\delta_\alpha)^\vee = \bigoplus_A \bigoplus_{\nu=-\nu_A}^{\nu_A} A^\vee[\nu] $$

using the Hard Lefschetz theorem and Gabber's theorem. For some nonconstant A then $\nu_A = \nu_{A^-} = \nu_{DA} = d$. Let $J \subseteq I$ parameterizing all A_j with the same property. Then

$$\delta_\alpha * \delta_\alpha^\vee \ = \ \bigoplus_{i\in I} \bigoplus_{j\in I} \bigoplus_{\nu=-\nu_{A_i}}^{\nu_{A_i}} \bigoplus_{\mu=-\nu_{A_j}}^{\nu_{A_j}} A[\nu]*A^\vee[\mu] = \bigoplus_{i,j\in J} (A_i * A_j^\vee)[-2d] + \text{lower shifts} \,.$$

By lemma 6

$$\mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_\alpha * \delta_\alpha^\vee) = \bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathcal{H}^0(A_i * A_i^\vee) \neq 0 \ .$$

Duality $\delta_\alpha^\vee \equiv T_y^*(\delta_\beta)$ for some $y = r\cdot\kappa \in X(k)$ implies

$$\mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_\alpha * \delta_\alpha^\vee) = \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_X - \text{translates}) \ \oplus \ T_y^* \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_\alpha * \delta_\beta)$$

$$= \ \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_X - \text{translates}) \ \oplus \ \bigoplus_\gamma m_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \cdot T_y^* \mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_\gamma) \ .$$

Since all ${}^pH^\nu(\delta_\gamma)$ are constant perverse sheaves for $\nu \notin [-d,d]$, all $\mathcal{H}^\nu(\delta_\gamma)$ are constant sheaves for $\nu > d$ and therefore $\mathcal{H}^{2d}(\delta_\alpha * \delta_\alpha^\vee) \cong \oplus^l \, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X}$ for some integer $l > 0$. Hence for $l > 0$

$$\bigoplus_{i\in J} \mathcal{H}^0(A_i * A_i^\vee) \ \cong \ \bigoplus^l \ \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,X} \ .$$

But each support of $\mathcal{H}^0(A_i * A_i^\vee)$ is contained in $S(A_i) = \{x \in X \mid T_x^*(A_i) \cong A_i\}$. From corollary 1 we conclude: $T_x^*(A_i) \cong A_i$ holds for all $x \in X$, if $j \in J$. This forces $A_i \cong \delta_{\chi_i}$ for some unramified character $\chi_i \in Hom(\pi_1(X,0), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*)$ by section 2.3. The next lemma implies that all χ_i are trivial. This gives a contradiction proving $d = 0$.

Lemma 27. *Suppose $\delta_\chi \hookrightarrow \delta_\alpha$ for some partition α and some character χ, then χ is the trivial character.*

Proof: If follows $\delta_\chi^{*r} \hookrightarrow \delta_\alpha^{*r}$. Since $\delta_\chi^{*r} = t^{(r-1)g/2}\delta_\chi$ plus terms of lower order in the Grothendieck group, the conclusion above implies that δ_χ is constant by choosing r large enough so that $(r-1)g/2 > d$ holds. Hence χ must be constant.

We conclude

Theorem 10. *For $\nu \neq 0$ and arbitrary α there exists an integer $m(\alpha,\nu)$ such that*

$$^pH^\nu(\delta_\alpha) \cong m(\alpha,\nu)\cdot\delta_X \quad \textit{for} \quad \nu \neq 0\ .$$

Furthermore $^pH^0(\delta_\alpha)$ does not contain irreducible constituents δ_χ except for the trivial character χ.

Corollary 14. *There exist pure perverse sheaves $^p\delta_\alpha$, which do not contain any translation-invariant constituent, such that*

$$\delta_\alpha = {}^p\delta_\alpha \ + \ P_\alpha(t)\cdot\delta_X \quad , \quad P_\alpha(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]$$

holds in the Grothendieck ring $K^0(Perv_m(X)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[t^{1/2},t^{-1/2}]$.

Example: In case $\alpha_1 = g$ for instance

$$\delta_\alpha = {}^p\delta_\alpha \ \oplus \ dim(H_-^{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_r,..)^*})\cdot\delta_X\ .$$

The multiplicity $dim(H_-^{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_r,..)^*})$ is a nonzero constant!

5.6 Computation of $P_\alpha(t)$

For the perverse sheaves $^p\delta_\alpha$ the hypercohomology groups $IH^\nu(X,{}^p\delta_\alpha)$ vanish in degrees $\nu \geq g$, since they do not contain constant summands. Since the degree of $h(\delta_X,t)$ in $t^{1/2}$ is g, $P_\alpha(t)$ can be computed from $h(\delta_\alpha,t)$ via

$$\frac{h(\delta_\alpha,t)}{h(\delta_X,t)} = P_\alpha(t) + \frac{r(\alpha,t)}{h(\delta_X,t)} \quad , \quad deg_{t^{1/2}}(r(\alpha,t)) < g\ .$$

Corollary 15. $\delta_\alpha = {}^p\delta_\alpha$ *if* $\alpha_1 \leq g-1$. *More generally*

$$deg_{t^{1/2}}\ (P_\alpha(t)) \ \leq \ \alpha_1 - g\ .$$

Proof: Since $h(\delta_X,t) = t^{-g/2}(1+t^{1/2})^{2g} = (t^{1/4}+t^{-1/4})^{2g}$ has degree $deg_{t^{1/2}}\ h(\delta_X,t) = g$, this follows from corollary 4.2 by comparing the dimension of the hypercohomology groups. Notice

$$deg_{t^{1/2}}\ (h(\delta_\alpha,t)) = \alpha_1\ .$$

This also implies

Corollary 16. *For $\alpha_1 \leq g-1$ the dimension d_α of the support Y_α of δ_α is*

$$d_\alpha \geq \alpha_1\ .$$

5.7 Proof of Lemma 26

Recall $\varepsilon_r = Rp_*(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)$, where $\alpha = (1^r)$ is of degree $deg(\alpha) = r$ and $p : C^{(r)} \to X$ was the Abel-Jacobi map. $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ is a perverse sheaf on $C^{(r)}$ of the form $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = F_\varepsilon[r]$ for an etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ sheaf F_ε on $C^{(r)}$ obtained as a direct factor in $f_*\lambda_{C^r}$ for the finite morphism $f : C^r \to C^{(r)}$. Its sheaf cohomology is concentrated in degree $-r$. The morphism $f : C^r \to C^{(r)}$ defining F_ε is etale on the complement of the diagonal divisor

$$\bigcup_{i\neq j} \Delta_{ij} \subseteq C^r \quad , \quad \Delta_{ij} = \{(x_1,..,x_r) \in C \mid x_i = x_j\} \ .$$

The image of $\bigcup_{i\neq j} \Delta_{ij}$ in $C^{(r)}$ defines an irreducible divisor Y_r of $C^{(r)}$ equal to the image of the irreducible variety $C^{(r-2)} \times \Delta(C)$ under the natural map

$$C^{(r-2)} \times C^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\tau} C^{(r)} \ .$$

Let $U = U_r \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} C^{(r)}$ denote the open complement of $Y = Y_r$

$$U \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} C^{(r)} \hookleftarrow Y \ .$$

The multiplication law 4.1 implies, that $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon[r]$ is a direct summand of

$$\tau_*\Big(\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon[r-2] \boxtimes \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon[2]\Big)$$

defined by the corresponding sheaves $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ on $C^{(r-2)}$ respectively $C^{(2)}$. The stalk of $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ at a point of Y vanishes. For this it is enough to consider the case $r = 2$. For $r = 2$ the direct image of the constant sheaf under the morphism $C \times C \to C^{(2)}$ decomposes into the direct sum of the constant sheaf and the sheaf $(C^{(2)}, \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon[2])$. The stalks have dimension 1 over the diagonal $\Delta \subseteq C^{(2)}$ and dimension 2 outside the diagonal. Hence the stalks of $(C^{(2)}, \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon[2])$ have dimension zero over the diagonal and dimension 1 outside the diagonal.

Now return to the general case $r \geq 2$. Since F_ε corresponds to the sign-character, it becomes a smooth etale sheaf $E = F_\varepsilon|_{U_r}$ on U_r of rank one. Its stalks vanish on Y. Therefore

$$F_\varepsilon = j_!(E) \quad , \quad j : U = U_r \hookrightarrow C^{(r)} \ .$$

There are special interesting cases: First let us consider the case $r \leq g - 1$. In these cases the morphism $p : C^{(r)} \to W_r$ is a birational map. Hence

Lemma 28. *For $2 \leq r \leq g-1$ the perverse sheaf ε_r in $Perv(W_r) \subseteq Perv(X)$ is the intermediate extension*

$$\varepsilon_r = \tilde{j}_{!*}(E[r]) \quad , \quad \tilde{j} : U_r \setminus p^{-1}(W_r^2) \hookrightarrow W_r$$

from $U_r \setminus p^{-1}(W_r^2)$ to W_r for the smooth rank 1 etale sheaf $E = F_\varepsilon \big| (U_r \setminus p^{-1}(W_r^2))$.

Now consider the case $r > 2g-2$. Then $p : C^{(r)} \to X$ is a smooth projective bundle morphism, whose fibers are projective spaces of dimension $\mu = r - g$. Hence, by the proper basechange theorem, the cohomology stalk of ε_r is

$$\mathcal{H}^\nu(\varepsilon_r)_x = H^\nu(\mathbb{P}^\mu, \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon) = H_c^{\nu+r}(\mathbb{P}^\mu \cap U_r, F_\varepsilon)$$

since $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = j_!(F_\varepsilon)[r]$. There are two possible cases. Either $\mathbb{P}^\mu \cap U_r = \mathbb{P}^\mu$ if $x \in X$ is not in the image of the divisor $Y \subseteq C^{(r)}$. Or otherwise $\mathbb{P}^\mu \cap U_r$, which is the complement in $\mathbb{P}^\mu$ of the effective divisor $Y \cap \mathbb{P}^\mu \subseteq \mathbb{P}^\mu$. Hence, since any effective divisor of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^\mu$ is ample, the complement $\mathbb{P}^\mu \cap U_r$ is an affine variety. By the vanishing theorem for affine varieties by Artin-Grothendieck therefore

$$H_c^{\nu+r}(\mathbb{P}^\mu \cap U_r, F_\varepsilon) = 0 \quad , \quad \nu + r < \mu = r - g \ .$$

This implies the vanishing of $\mathcal{H}^\nu(\varepsilon_r)_x$ for $\nu < -g$.

Lemma 29. *For $r > 2g-2$ and $\nu < -g$ the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^\nu(\varepsilon_r)$ vanish.*

Proof: By the discussion above it is enough to show, that the composite morphism $Y \hookrightarrow C^{(r)} \to X$ is surjective. Since Y contains $C^{(r-2)}$, this is clear for $r-2 \geq g$, hence for $g \geq 3$ and for $g = 2$ and $r > 3$. For $g = 2$ and $r = 3$ this is also true by a direct inspection.

Corollary 17. *$\varepsilon_r \in {}^{st}D^{\geq -g}(X)$ for $r > 2g-2$. Hence ${}^pH^\nu(\varepsilon_r) = 0$ for $\nu \geq g$.*

Since ε_r is a self dual complex on X this implies, that the perverse cohomology ${}^pH^\nu(\varepsilon_r)$ vanishes for $\nu \notin [-g, g]$ provided $r > 2g-2$. Since any complex $K \in D_c^b(X)$ has finite perverse depth, we conclude that there exists a constant C_0, so that ${}^pH^\nu(\varepsilon_r)$ vanishes for $\nu \notin [-C_0, C_0]$. This proves lemma 26.

5.8 BN-sheaves

Notation: A nonconstant irreducible perverse constituent K of some δ_α, or equivalently an irreducible perverse constituent of some ${}^p\delta_\alpha$, is called a Brill-Noether sheaf (**BN-sheaf**).

Definition. The category $\mathcal{BN}'$ of BN-sheaves is the full subcategory of $Perv(X)$ generated by direct sums of BN-sheaves on X. It is a semisimple abelian category, closed under $K \mapsto K^\vee = D(K^-)$. The category is not closed under convolution.

Lemma 30. *The cohomology functor $H^\bullet(X, L)$ is faithful on the abelian category $\mathcal{BN}'$.*

Proof: It is enough to show that $H^\bullet(X, L) = 0$ implies $L = 0$ for $L \in \mathcal{BN}$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ denote the full abelian subcategory generated by all perverse BN-sheaves L, whose cohomology groups $H^\bullet(X, L) = 0$. This category $\mathcal{N}$ is closed under direct sums, Verdier duality, duality and the convolution product. By semisimplicity (Gabber's theorem) it is also closed under taking subquotients! But any subcategory $\mathcal{N}$ of the category $Perv(X)$ of perverse sheaves with these properties, which contains a nonzero object, must contain the unit $1 = \delta_0$, since $1 \hookrightarrow L * DL^-$ for $L \neq 0$. Since the cohomology $H^\bullet(X, \delta_0) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ of $1 = \delta_0$ does not vanish, this implies $\mathcal{N} = 0$ and proves the claim.

Let $\mathcal{BN}'_0$ be the full subcategory of perverse sheaves in $\mathcal{BN}'$ of 0-type. $\mathcal{BN}'_0$ is closed under subquotients, direct sums, convolution and $K \mapsto K^\vee$.

As aready remarked $\mathcal{BN}'$ is not closed under convolution. From lemma 16 and theorem 10 we only have the weaker property, that the convolution of two BN-sheaves is a direct sum of BN-sheaves and translates of constant perverse sheaves. So, by corollary 3, we may pass from $\mathcal{BN}'$ to its image $\mathcal{BN}$ in the quotient category $\overline{Perv}(X)$ (see section 2.3) to obtain

Lemma 31. *For BN-sheaves K, L we have*

$$Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(\overline{K}, \overline{L}) \;\cong\; \Gamma_{\{0\}}(X, \mathcal{H}^0(K * L^\vee)^\vee) \ .$$

In fact the quotient functor $K \to \overline{K}$ from $Perv(X)$ to $\overline{Perv}(X)$ induces an equivalence of $\mathcal{BN}'$ with its image category $\mathcal{BN}$. On the quotient category convolution defines a tensor functor

$$* : \mathcal{BN} \times \mathcal{BN} \to \mathcal{BN} \ .$$

Lemma 32. *Let K be a BN-sheaf. Then*

1. $K * \delta_C$ *and* $K * \delta_{-C}$ *are perverse sheaves.*
2. *If K is irreducible and δ_X is a summand of $K * \delta_C$ or $K * \delta_{-C}$, then the dimension of the support of K is $d = g - 1$ or $d = g$.*
3. *The group of automorphisms of K is finite.*
4. $K \neq 0 \Longrightarrow K * \delta_C \neq 0$.
5. *The Verdier dual $D(K)$ is a BN-sheaf. Similarly K^- and $K^\vee = D(K^-)$ are BN-sheaves.*

Remark: These statements carry over for translates $T_x^*(K)$, $x \in X(k)$ and K a BN-sheaf.

Proof: Since $(K * \delta_C)^- = K^- * \delta_{-C}$ the first claim is a special case of theorem 7. The second is a special case of theorem 6. By 1 the support of $\mathcal{H}^0(DK * K^-)$ is a torsor under the automorphism group of $Aut(K)$. Since K is irreducible perverse nonconstant, by lemma 27 this support is a proper subset of X. Since $DK * K^- \hookrightarrow \delta_\gamma \oplus$ some translates of constant perverse sheaves, this implies $\mathcal{H}^0(DK * K^-) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^0({}^p\delta_\gamma)$. Since ${}^p\delta_\gamma$ is a perverse sheaf, its cohomology sheaf $\mathcal{H}^0({}^p\delta_\gamma)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of all perverse summands with zero-dimensional support. Hence this is a skyscraper sheaf on X. Therefore $Aut(K)$ is finite. Concerning property 4). $\mathcal{H}^0(DK * K^-)$ is nonzero for any perverse sheaf $K \neq 0$. $K * \delta_C = 0$ implies $DK * \delta_C = 0$. Since $K \hookrightarrow \delta_\alpha$ for some α, we get $K^- \hookrightarrow \delta_\alpha^- \equiv T_{x_0}^* \delta_\beta \hookrightarrow \delta_C * \cdots \delta_{C+x_0}$ for some β (using duality). Hence $DK * K^- \hookrightarrow (DK * \delta_C) * \cdots \delta_{C+x_0}$, where the convolution on the right side now is a sum of translates of constant perverse sheaves. Hence $\mathcal{H}^0(DK * K^-)$ is a constant sheaf contradicting 3). The last properties follow from Verdier duality $D\delta_\alpha \cong \delta_\alpha$ respectively from Riemann-Roch duality ${}^p\delta_\alpha^\vee \cong {}^p\delta_\beta$.

By abuse of notation we will identify the two categories $\mathcal{BN}'$ and $\mathcal{BN}$ in the following.

5.9 Preliminary multiplicity formulas

Let $Perv(X)'$ be a subcategory of $Perv(X)$ such that for all $K, L \in Perv(X)'$ the complex $K * L^\vee \in D_c^b(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf in $Perv(X)'$ and a sum $\oplus_\nu T_\nu[\nu]$ of translation-invariant perverse sheaves $T_\nu \in Perv(X)$. Then the quotient category $\overline{Perv}(X)'$ in $\overline{Perv}(X)$ is closed under convolution

$$* : \ \overline{Perv}(X)' \times \overline{Perv}(X)' \to \overline{Perv}(X)' \ ,$$

a typical example being the category $Perv(X)' = \mathcal{BN}$ of BN-sheaves.

For $\delta_C \in Perv(X)'$ and $K \in Perv(X)'$ put $L = K * \delta_C$. $L * L^\vee \cong K * K^\vee * (1 \oplus \Omega)$ for $\delta_C * \delta_C^\vee = 1 \oplus \Omega$ and $\Omega \cong \Omega^\vee$ by section 4.4. Now $Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(A, B) \cong \Gamma_{\{0\}}(X, \mathcal{H}^0(A * B^\vee)^\vee)$ using corollary 3 implies

$$Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(L, L) \ \cong \ Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(K, K) \oplus Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(\Omega, K * K^\vee) \ .$$

For semisimple $K \in Perv(X)'$ of geometric origin let $K = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r n_i \cdot K_i$ be the decomposition into irreducible components K_i. Assume none of the irreducible perverse sheaves K_i to be translation-invariant. Then

$$^p m(K) := \sum_{i=1}^r n_i^2 = dim(Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(K, K)) \ .$$

Furthermore

$$L = \delta_C * K = P \oplus T_K$$

is a semisimple perverse sheaf on X by theorem 7. Without restriction of generality P does not contain a translation-invariant irreducible constituent, and

$$^p m(L) := {}^p m(P) = dim(Hom_{\overline{Perv}(X)'}(L, L)) \ .$$

Lemma 33. *Suppose $K \in Perv(X)'$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on X of geometric origin. Then for $L = K * \delta_C$*

$$^p m(L) \ = \ {}^p m(K) \ + \ dim \ Hom_{Perv(X)}\big(\Omega, {}^p H^0(K * K^\vee)\big) \ .$$

If C is not hyperelliptic, then

$$dim \ Hom_{Perv(X)}\big(\Omega, {}^p H^0(K * K^\vee)\big) \ \leqq \ \frac{1}{2g} \cdot dim \ \mathcal{H}^{-1}\big(K * K^\vee\big)_0 \ .$$

Corollary 18. *If K in the last lemma is of 0-type, then ${}^pm(K) = {}^pm(L)$.*

Proof: ${}^pH^0(K * K^\vee)$ is again of 0-type. Either Ω is irreducible with $H^{-2}(X,\Omega) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, or it is a direct sum of δ_{C-C} (with the same property $H^{-2}(X,\delta_{C-C}) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$) and A with $H^{-1}(X,A) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{2g}$. Hence any map from Ω to ${}^pH^0(K * K^\vee)$ must be zero by Gabber's theorem. Now apply lemma 33.

Corollary 19. ${}^pm(K * \delta_C) = {}^pm(K * \delta_{-C})$ *under the assumptions of lemma 33.*

Using the argument of section 5.6 one also obtains from the Künneth formula

Lemma 34. *Suppose $K \in Perv(X)'$ is a semisimple perverse sheaf on X. Then*

$$dim(Hom_{Perv(X)}(\delta_X, K * \delta_C)) \;=\; dim(H^{g-1}(X,K)) \;.$$

Applied for $L^\vee = K^\vee * \delta_{-C}$ instead of $L = K * \delta_C$ gives

$$dim(H^{g-1}(X,K)) = dim(H^{g-1}(X,K^\vee)) \;.$$

5.10 Dimensions

A collection of numbers $d(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for the partitions α, such that

$$d(\alpha)\cdot d(\beta) = \sum m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}\cdot d(\gamma)$$

holds for all partitions α and β will be called a system of dimensions. Notice $d(0) = 1$. For partitions α of degree $deg(\alpha) = r$ parameterizing the classes of irreducible representations σ_α of the symmetric group Σ_r, recall the involution $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^*$. If α parameterizes the class of σ_α, then α^* parameterizes the class of $\sigma_\alpha \otimes sign$. In particular

$$(r,0,..,0)^* = ([r])^* = ([1]^r) \;.$$

For a system of dimensions $d(\alpha)$ also $\tilde{d}(\alpha) = d(\alpha^*)$ is a system of dimensions, which is an immediate consequence of

$$m_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma} = m_{\alpha^*\beta^*}^{\gamma^*} \ .$$

This holds, since the sign character on $\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b \hookrightarrow \Sigma_{a+b}$ restricted to $\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b$ is the tensor product of the sign characters of S_a and S_b. By induction on the lexicographic ordering, a system of dimensions is uniquely determined by the numbers $d(\alpha)$ for α running over the partitions $(r, 0, .., 0)^*$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

Lemma 35. *Two systems of dimensions $d_1(\alpha)$ and $d_2(\alpha)$ coincide, if $d_1([r]) = d_2([r])$ holds for all integers r.*

In our case the Euler characteristics

$$d_1(\alpha) = \sum_\nu (-1)^\nu dim(H^\nu(X, \delta_\alpha))$$

are a system of dimensions (depending only on the genus g). This follows from the Künneth formula. $\chi(S^a(H_+)) = (-1)^a \cdot (a+1)$ implies $d_1([r]) = \sum_{a+b=r} (-1)^a \sigma^a \cdot \lambda^b(H_-)$ for $\lambda^b = dim(\Lambda^b(H_-))$ and $\sigma^a = dim(S^a(H_+)) = a+1$ by lemma 18. For a vectorspace H of dimension $2g-2$ choose some isomorphism $H_+ \oplus H \cong H_-$ (notice $g \geq 2$). Then $\lambda_t(H_-) = \lambda_t(H_+)\lambda_t(H)$ and $\lambda_t(H_+)^{-1} = \sigma_{-t}(H_+)$ for the power series $\lambda_t(.) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \lambda^i(.)t^i$ and $\sigma_t(.) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty \sigma^i(.)t^i$. Hence

$$d_1\big((r, 0, .., 0)\big) \ = \ \lambda^r(H) = \binom{2g-2}{r} \ ,$$

the binomial coefficient being zero for $r > 2g-2$.

Corollary 20. *The systems of dimensions defined by*

1. $d_1(\alpha) = \sum_\nu (-1)^\nu dim(H^\nu(X, \delta_\alpha))$ *, and*
2. $d_2(\alpha) = dim(H^{\alpha^*})$

coincide. Hence for all partitions α, for which $\alpha_1 < 2g-2$ holds, the dimensions

$$d_1(\alpha) = \sum_\nu (-1)^\nu dim(H^\nu(X, \delta_\alpha)) > 0 \quad , \quad \alpha_1 < \chi$$

are positive.

Proof: The last assertion follows from $dim(H^{\alpha^*}) > 0$, which in turn follows from the well known classification of irreducible representations of the group $Sl(2g-2)$.

Chapter 6

Some special cases

6.1 Hyperelliptic curves II

By the multiplication law (section 4.1) we always have

$$\delta_{g-1} * \delta_{g-1} = \delta_{2g-2} \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \delta_{g-1,g-1} \ .$$

Also by the multiplication law $\delta_{2g-3} * \delta_1 = \delta_{2g-2} \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1}$. Therefore $\delta_{2g-3} * \delta_1$ is a direct summand of $\delta_{g-1} * \delta_{g-1}$. Combined with $\delta_{2g-3} \equiv \delta_{\kappa-C}$ (lemma 22) this implies $\delta_{2g-3} * \delta_1 \equiv \delta_{\kappa-C} * \delta_C = \delta_{\kappa+C-C} \oplus ..$, where the latter follows from 4.4. Hence $\delta_{\kappa+C-C} \hookrightarrow \delta_{g-1} * \delta_{g-1}$. By corollary 13 (iii) we have $\delta_{g-1} = \delta_\Theta$ in the non-hyperelliptic case. So for non-hyperelliptic curves the above identities imply

$$\delta_{\kappa+C-C} \hookrightarrow \delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta \ .$$

As a consequence the perverse sheaf $\delta_{\kappa+C-C}$ satisfies the properties of theorem 11 below in the non-hyperelliptic case. This follows from section 4.4 and corollary 8. See also [33].

The hyperelliptic case. For hyperelliptic curves C this argument brakes down. Instead for $1 \leq r \leq g-1$ consider the proper morphisms

$$f_r : W_r \times W_r \to X$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto x - y \ .$$

We have $C = e - C$, where for simplicity we assume the point e to be 0. This can be achieved by a suitable translation of the curve C. Then $W_r = -W_r$. The inverse image $f_r^{-1}(C-C)$ in $W_r \times W_r$ of the surface $C - C \subseteq X$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(x,y) + W_{r-1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & f_r^{-1}(C-C) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d] & W_r \times W_r \arrow[d, "f_r"] \\
\{x-y\} \arrow[r, hook] & C - C \arrow[r, hook] & X
\end{tikzcd}$$

admits a morphism

$$g: \ C \times C \times W_{r-1} \longrightarrow f_r^{-1}(C-C)$$

defined by $(x,y,D) \mapsto (x+D, y+D) \in W_r \times W_r$, such that $f_r \circ g$ surjects onto $C - C$. Notice $C + W_{r-1} \subseteq W_r$.

For later reference observe, that if θ denotes the hyperelliptic involution, then $\nu_r(a,b) = (\theta(a), \theta(b))$ acts on $W_r \times W_r$, such that $f_r \circ \nu_r = f_r$ and the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(C \times C) \times W_{r-1} \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\nu_1 \times \theta"'] & W_r \times W_r \arrow[d, "\nu_r"] \\
(C \times C) \times W_{r-1} \arrow[r, "g"] & W_r \times W_r
\end{tikzcd}$$

Claim: *$g(C \times C \times W_{r-1})$ is an irreducible component of $f_r^{-1}(C-C)$ of the highest possible dimension $r+1$, and for some open dense subset $U \subseteq C - C$ the fibers of f_r are of dimension $\leq r-1$ over U.*

Taking this for granted (see lemma 36 below) let us consider

$$\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r} = \delta_{W_r} * \delta_{-W_r} = Rf_{r,*}(\delta_{W_r} \boxtimes \delta_{W_r}) = Rf_{r,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_r \times W_r}[2r]) \ .$$

For the last equality recall, that $\delta_{W_r} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_r}[r]$ holds in the hyperelliptic case by lemma 20. Choose $U \subseteq C - C$ as above. Consider the cohomology sheaf of $\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}$ in degree -2 over the open dense subset U of $C - C$

$$\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r})\big|_U = R^{2r-2} f_{r,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_r \times W_r})\big|_U \ .$$

The proof of lemma 36 shows, that $\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}$ is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf K on X and a sum of translates of constant perverse sheaves, such that

$$\mathcal{H}^{-2}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}) = \mathcal{H}^{-2}(K) \ .$$

Perversity implies, that the support of $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(K)$ has dimension ≤ 2. Furthermore any contribution of $\mathcal{H}^{-2}(K)|_U$ over the generic point of U necessarily comes from a perverse direct summand $L \in Perx(X)$ of K with support in $C-C$. This now allows to detect perverse direct summands of $\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}$ by considering the higher direct image sheaf $R^{2r-2}f_{r,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,W_r\times W_r})\big|_U$. In fact by the usual excision arguments this higher direct image contains the subsheaf

$$R^{2r-2}(f_r \circ g)_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\big|_U \neq 0 \ ,$$

since as stated above the image of g is an irreducible component of highest dimension $r+1$ in $f_r^{-1}(C-C)$ with relative dimension $r-1$ over U.

The morphism $(f_r \circ g)(x,y,D) = x-y$ can be identified with the cartesian product $f_r \circ g = f_1 \times s:\ (C\times C)\times W_{r-1} \to (C-C)\times Spec(k) = C-C$, where $f_1 = f_r$ for $r=1$, and where $s: W_{r-1} \to Spec(k)$ is the structure morphism. Since f_1 is finite over a suitable chosen U, $R^i f_{1,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\big|_U = 0$ holds for $i \geq 1$ over a suitably small open dense $U \subseteq C-C$. For such a choice of U from the Künneth formulas, applied for the proper morphisms $f_1 \times s$, we derive

$$R^{2r-2}(f_r\circ g)_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)|_U = R^0 f_{1,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\big|_U \otimes R^{2r-2}s_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\big|_U \ .$$

Since W_{r-1} is irreducible of dimension $r-1$, the trace map gives an isomorphism $R^{2r-2}s_*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)\big|_U \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}$ ignoring Tate twists. Hence we obtain an injective sheaf morphism

$$f_{1,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)|_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-2}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r})\big|_U \ .$$

They same holds for $r=1$, where this map is an isomorphism with $f_{1,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)|U = \mathbb{Q}_{l,U} \oplus E|_U$. See section 4.4. Hence

$$\mathbb{Q}_{l,U} \oplus E|_U \cong \mathcal{H}^{-2}(\delta_1 * \delta_1)\big|_U \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{-2}(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r})\big|_U \ .$$

By the support dimension $dim(U)=2$ and the definition of middle perversity one concludes, using perverse continuation from U to $C-C$ as already mentioned above, that

$${}^pH^0(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}) = \delta_{C-C} \oplus A \oplus \cdots$$

holds, where $A = \delta_E = \delta_{1,1}$ as defined in section 4.4.

Lemma 36. *Suppe* $1 \leq r \leq g-1$. *The fibers of* f_r *have dimension* $\leq r$. *There exists an open dense subset* $U \subseteq C-C$, *such that the fibers of* f_r *over* U *have dimension* $r-1$. *In particular* $dim(f_r^{-1}(C-C)) \leq r+1$. *Hence* $g(C\times C\times W_{r-1})$ *is an irreducible component of* $f_r^{-1}(C-C)$ *of highest dimension.*

Proof: $f_r : W_r \times W_r \to X$ is proper. Hence for a closed point x of X we have $dim(f_r^{-1}(x)) > r-1 \iff \exists n > 2r-2$ such that $R^n f_{r,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)_x \neq 0$ by the proper base change theorem. Since $Rf_{r,*}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)[2r] = \delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r}$ as shown above, this is equivalent to $\exists m > -2$ such that $\mathcal{H}^m(\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r})_x \neq 0$. Since $\delta_{W_r} * \delta_{W_r} \hookrightarrow \delta_r * \delta_r$ (lemma 20) and since $\delta_r * \delta_r$ is a direct sum of a perverse sheaf

$$P \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2),deg(\alpha)=2r} \delta^p_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}$$

and a sum T of translates of the constant perverse sheaves δ_X (corollary 14), we obtain the following estimates: By perversity $\mathcal{H}^m(P) = 0$ for $m > 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^m(P)|_U = 0$ for $m \geq -1$ for some suitable chosen dense open subset $U \subseteq C - C$. Concerning the complex T. By corollary 15 the maximal depth of translation of δ_X within T can be estimated by the maximum of the degrees $deg_t(P_\alpha(t))$ with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, such that $\delta_\alpha \hookrightarrow \delta_r * \delta_r$. Since $deg(\alpha) = 2r$, we get $\alpha_1 \leq 2r$. Hence $\alpha_1 - g \leq 2r - g \leq r - 1$ with equality only for $r = g - 1$. This proves $\alpha_1 - g \leq g - 2$, with equality only for $r = g - 1$. By corollary 15 therefore $deg_t(P_\alpha(t)) \leq \alpha_1 - g \leq g - 2$. Hence $\mathcal{H}^m(T)$ vanishes for $m \geq -1$. We conclude, that for f_r the maximal fiber dimension is r, and the fiber dimension over U is $\leq r - 1$. $\square$

We remark, that in fact $\delta_{g-1} * \delta_{g-1}$ contains a translate $\delta_X[-g+2]$, which restricts to the sheaf δ_{C-C} on $C - C$.

Recall from section 4.4, that the support of the irreducible perverse sheaf $\delta_{1,1} = A$ is a translate of $C - C$, such that $\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A) = H^1(C) \otimes \delta_0$. Since by our conventions $\Theta = -\Theta$, we obtain from the preceding discussion now also in the hyperelliptic case

Theorem 11. *There exists an unique irreducible perverse sheaf $A \hookrightarrow \delta_\Theta * \delta_\Theta$ for $\delta_\Theta = \delta_{W_{g-1}}$ characterized by one of the following equivalent properties*

1. *$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A)$ is non-zero, but not a constant sheaf.*
2. *$\mathcal{H}^{-1}(A) \cong H^1(C) \otimes \delta_{\{\kappa\}}$ is a skyscraper sheaf concentrated in the point $\kappa \in X$ defined by $\Theta = \kappa - \Theta$.*

Furthermore the support of A is a translate of the subvariety $C - C \subseteq X$.

Corollary 21. *Suppose C is hyperelliptic. Then $\delta_C * \delta_C * \delta_C$ has seven irreducible perverse constituents.*

Proof: $\delta_C * \delta_C * \delta_C = \delta_3 \oplus 2\delta_{2,1} \oplus \varepsilon_3$, and $\delta_3 = \delta_{W_3} \oplus \delta_C$. We will see, that ε_3 is irreducible. From section 4.5 we know $\delta_2 = \delta_{W_2} \oplus \delta_0$. Hence $\delta_3 \oplus \delta_{2,1} = \delta_2 * \delta_1 = (\delta_{W_2} \oplus \delta_0) * \delta_C = (\delta_{W_2} * \delta_{W_1}) \oplus \delta_C$. Lemma 21 implies, that δ_C is a summand of $\delta_{W_2} * \delta_{W_1}$. Since $\delta_3 = \delta_{W_3} \oplus \delta_C$, therefore

$$\delta_{2,1} = \delta_C \oplus \delta'_{2,1} \ .$$

Recall $\varepsilon_2 * \delta_{-C} \equiv \varepsilon_1 \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1}$ up to translates of constant sheaves. Further notice ${}^p\delta_{2g-3,1} \oplus \delta_{\{e\}} \cong \delta_C * \delta_{e-C} = \delta_{W_2} \oplus A \oplus \delta_{\{e\}}$. Hence ${}^pm(\varepsilon_2 * \delta_{-C}) = 3$. For $\varepsilon_2 * \delta_C = \delta_{2,1} \oplus \varepsilon_3$ the multiplicity formula ${}^pm(\varepsilon_2 * \delta_C) = {}^pm(\varepsilon_2 * \delta_{-C})$ of lemma 19 therefore implies ${}^pm(\varepsilon_2 * \delta_C) = 3$. Hence ${}^pm(\varepsilon_3) = 1$ and ${}^pm(\delta_{2,1}) = 2$, and $\delta'_{2,1}$ is irreducible. This implies the assertion.

6.2 The perverse sheaf ε_{g-1}

In this section we suppose, that C is not hyperelliptic. Then ε_{g-1} is an irreducible perverse sheaf on X by corollary 13. We compare the properties of δ_{g-1} with those of δ_{g-1}. The proofs are geometric. The results of this section are obtained independently and more generally from theorem 14.

Lemma 37. *If C is not a hyperelliptic curve, ε_{2g-2} does not contain the skyscraper sheaf $\delta_{\{\kappa\}}$.*

Proof: The fiber $p_{2g-2}^{-1}(\kappa) = \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$, is canonically isomorphic to the linear system of the canonical class of C

$$p_{2g-2}^{-1}(\kappa) = |K| \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \ .$$

We have to show $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{P}^{g-1}, \varepsilon_{2g-2}) = 0$, or equivalently that the sheaf F_ε (genic of rank one on $C^{(2g-2)}$) has nonconstant restriction to this fiber.

We fix a canonical divisor K on C. By the theorem of Riemann-Roch one can choose an arbitrary positive divisor $D \in C^{(g-1)}$ of degree $g-1$ on C, such that

$h(C, K-D) = h^0(D) \geq 1$. For a divisor D of general position in $C^{(g-1)}$ evidently $h^0(D) = 1$. In other words, there exist $U \subseteq C^{(g-1)}$ dense open, such that for $D \in U$ there is a unique positive divisor $\theta(D) \in C^{(g-1)}$, such that $D + \theta(D) = K$. This defines a regular morphism $U \to C^{(g-1)}$. Since $C^{(g-1)}$ is normal, it extends to a morphism $\theta : C^{(g-1)} \to C^{(g-1)}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{(g-1)} \arrow[r, "\theta"] \arrow[d, "p_{g-1}"'] & C^{(g-1)} \arrow[d, "p_{g-1}"] \\
W_{g-1} \arrow[r, "x \mapsto \kappa - x"] & W_{g-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. Obviously then $\theta^2 = id$. Hence θ is an automorphism of $C^{(g-1)}$ of order two.

Hence there exists the morphism

$$C^{(g-1)} \xrightarrow{f} |K| \cong \mathbb{P}^{g-1} \subseteq C^{(2g-2)}$$

defined by $f(D) = D + \theta(D) \in C^{(2g-2)}$. By dimension reasons this is a surjective morphism.

Now suppose F_ε restricted to $|K|$ is trivial. Then, the restriction via f is trivial. Since f factorizes over $C^{(g-1)} \to C^{(g-1)} \to C^{(g-1)} \times C^{(g-1)} \to C^{(2g-2)}$, where the first map is $id \times \theta$, and since F_ε on $C^{(2g-2)}$ restricts to $F_\varepsilon \boxtimes F_\varepsilon$ on $C^{(g-1)} \times C^{(g-1)}$, this would imply $F_\varepsilon \cong \theta^*(F_\varepsilon)$ on $C^{(g-1)}$.

Suppose this were the case. Then, the ramification locus V of F_ε and the ramification locus V' of $\theta^*(F_\varepsilon)$ in $C^{(g-1)}$ must coincide. The divisor F is the image of the finite surjective map

$$C \times C^{(g-3)} \to C^{(g-1)}$$

defined by $(P, D) \mapsto 2P + D$. But then $\theta(2P + D) = 2P' + D'$ must hold for some $P' \in C$ and some $D' \in C^{(g-3)}$. For P and D in general position (contained in $U \cap \theta(U)$) however the points $P' \in C$ and $D' \in C^{(g-3)}$ defined by this are uniquely determined. This defines a birational map $C \times C^{(g-3)} \to C \times C^{(g-3)}$, which is regular on $U \cap \theta(U)$. Again by normality this birational map extends to a regular morphism, making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \times C^{(g-3)} \arrow[r, "F"] \arrow[d] & C \times C^{(g-3)} \arrow[d] \\
V \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \theta(V) = V \arrow[d] \\
C^{(g-1)} \arrow[r, "\theta"] & C^{(g-1)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative, such that the compositions $C \ni P \mapsto \varphi(P,D) = pr_C(F(P,D))$ defined by the composition $C \to C \times C^{(g-3)} \to C \times C^{(g-3)} \to C$ extend to automorphisms of C. Notice, then $F^2 = id$. Since $g \geq 2$, also $\varphi(P,D) = \varphi(P)$ does not depend on $D \in C^{(g-3)}$, and defines an involution of C. Hence $F(P,D) = (\theta(P), \psi_P(D))$, such that $\psi_{\theta(P)} \circ \psi_P = id_{C^{(g-3)}}$. In particular $\psi_P : C^{(g-3)} \to C^{(g-3)}$ is an automorphism of $C^{(g-3)}$. But then, if we put $P = 0 \in C$ and $Q = \theta(P)$, we get from the commutative diagram above

$$\kappa - W_{g-3} = 2Q + W_{g-3} \; .$$

Now

$$(W_r + u) \cap (W_r + v) = W^2_{r+1} \cup (W_{r-1} + u + v)$$

or

$$W_r \cap (W_r + v - u) = (W^2_{r+1} - u) \cup (W_{r-1} + v)$$

holds for all $r \leq g-1$ and all $u \neq v \in C$. See [10], page 141. Furthermore $dim(W^2_{r+1}) \leq r-1$ holds for all $r \leq g-2$ with equality reached only for hyperelliptic curves ([10], p.142). Hence for non-hyperelliptic curves C we conclude from some equality $-W_r = x_r + W_r$ where $x_r \in X$ and $1 \leq r \leq g-3$, that

$$-W_{r-1} - u - v \subseteq (-W_r - u) \cap (-W_r - v)$$

$$= (W_r + x_r - u) \cap (W_r + x_r - v) = x_r - u + W_r \cap (W_r + u - v)$$

and

$$x_r - u + (W_{r-1} + u) = x_r + W_{r-1}$$

are both the same irreducible component of maximal dimension of $x_r - u + W_r \cap (W_r + u - v)$. Hence

$$-W_{r-1} - u - v = x_r - u + (W_{r-1} + u) = x_r + W_{r-1} \; .$$

This gives immediately a contradiction. This proves the lemma.

Since $C^{(g-1)} \to W_{g-1}$ is a birational map, the proof of $F_\varepsilon \ncong \theta(F_\varepsilon)$ on $C^{(g-1)}$ implies

Corollary 22. *If C is not hyperelliptic ε_{g-1} and ε_{g-1}^- are not isomorphic.*

As a consequence

Corollary 23. *If C is not hyperelliptic, then $\mathcal{H}^0(\delta_\alpha) = 0$ holds for all α of degree $deg(\alpha) = 2g - 2$ with $\alpha_1 \leq 2$.*

Proof: The convolution $\varepsilon_{g-1} * \varepsilon_{g-1}$ is a direct sum by the general multiplication formula $\varepsilon_{g-1} * \varepsilon_{g-1} = \sum_\alpha m(\alpha)\delta_\alpha$ for certain α of degree $2g-2$, for which $\alpha_1 \leq 2 \leq g-1$. Every δ_α with $deg(\alpha) = 2g-2$ and $\alpha_1 \leq 2$ appears with $m(\alpha) \neq 0$ in this sum according to the Littlewood-Richardson rules. The claim follows, since $\mathcal{H}^0(\varepsilon_{g-1} * \varepsilon_{g-1}) = 0$ holds by corollary 22 and 1.

6.3 Other examples

Lemma 38. *For a BN-sheaf K (K is irreducible say with irreducible support Y of dimension d) suppose $n_X(K * \delta_C) \neq 0$. Then either $d = g-1$ and $K = \delta_Y$ or $Y = X$. In both cases $n_X(K * \delta_C) = dim(H^{g-1}(X, E))$.*

Proof: Lemma 34 forces $d \geq g-1$, since $H^{g-1}(X, K)$ vanishes unless $d \geq g-1$. $H^{g-1}(X,K) = H^{2g-2}(Y,E) = 0$ also for $d = g-1$ unless the irreducible coefficient system E is trivial. Notice $E = j_*(E|_U)$, where $j : U = Y \setminus V \hookrightarrow Y$ is the complement of a divisor V in Y, such that $E|_U$ is a smooth etale $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-sheaf on U. Then $H^{2g-2}(Y,E) = H^{2g-2}(Y, j_*(E|_U)) = H^{2g-2}(Y, Rj_*(E|_U)) = H^{2g-2}(U, E|_U) = H_c^0(U, (E|_U)^\vee)$. Since $E|U$ is irreducible also on U, the dual etale sheaf $D(E|_U)$ is also irreducible. Hence $H_c^0(U, D(E|_U))$ vanishes unless $E = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ is the constant coefficient system.

Lemma 39. *Suppose C is not hyperelliptic and suppose $K = \delta_E$ is a BN-sheaf. Suppose Y is the irreducible support of K of dimension d. Then*

$$^pm(K * \delta_{\pm C}) \leqq {}^pm(K) + \frac{1}{2g} \cdot dim\, H^{2d-1}(Y, E \otimes \tilde{E})$$

for $E = j_*(E|_U)$ and $\tilde{E} = j_*(D(E)|_U)$.

Proof: Let $l = dim(Hom_{Perv(X)}(\Omega, {}^pH^0(K * K^\vee)))$. Then $l \cdot \Omega \hookrightarrow {}^pH^0(K * K^\vee)$ by Gabber's theorem. Comparing stalk dimensions using $dim(\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\Omega)_0) = 2g$ this implies $2g \cdot l \leq dim(\mathcal{H}^{-1}({}^pH^0(K * K^\vee)_0)$. On the other hand in 2.8 we have shown,that the stalk $\mathcal{H}^{-1}\big(K * K^\vee\big)_0$ is a quotient of the cohomology group $H^{2d-1}(Y, E \otimes \tilde{E})$. The assertion now follows from lemma 33.

If E has generic rank one: For the rest of this section, we assume that C is not hyperelliptic. By assumption E corresponds to a one dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-adic representation ρ_E of the etale fundamental group $\pi_1(Y \setminus V, y_0)$, where V is the ramification divisor of the corresponding covering of Y. Let $U = Y \setminus V$ be the open complement, so that $E|_U$ is a smooth etale sheaf on U. Suppose Y is a normal variety. We can assume that $E \otimes \tilde{E}\big|_V$ is an etale sheaf in the ordinary sense with support of dimension $< dim(V)$ and $dim(V) = dim(Y) - 1$ or V is empty (by the purity of branch points). Hence $H^\nu(V, E \otimes \tilde{E}|_V) = 0$ vanishes for $\nu \geq 2d - 2$. From the long exact sequence of cohomology with compact support attached to (U, X, Y) we therefore obtain

$$H^{2d-1}(Y, E \otimes \tilde{E}) \cong H_c^{2d-1}(U, E \otimes \tilde{E}|_U)\ .$$

But $E \otimes \tilde{E}|_U \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,U}$ holds, hence we have an exact sequence

$$\to H^{2d-2}(Y) \xrightarrow{res} H^{2d-2}(V) \to H_c^{2d-1}(U, E \otimes \tilde{E}|_U) \to H^{2d-1}(Y) \to 0.$$

If in addition the ramification divisor V is irreducible, and its proper transform $\tilde{V} \hookrightarrow \tilde{Y}$ in a desingularization $\tilde{Y}$ of Y has nontrivial Chern class $c_1(\tilde{V}) \in H^2(\tilde{Y})$ (or if V is empty), then the restriction map res in this exact sequence is surjective. Together this implies $H^{2d-1}(Y, E \otimes \tilde{E}) \cong H^{2d-1}(Y)$.

Example: $K = \delta_r = \delta_{W_r}$ for C not hyperelliptic. Then V is empty and $d = r \leq g - 1$ and $H^{2d-1}(Y) \cong H^1(X)$. Hence by the corollary above ${}^pm(\delta_r * \delta_{\pm C}) \leq 2$. Therefore the multiplication formula implies, that for $1 \leq r \leq g - 1$ the sheaves perverse sheaves ${}^p\delta_{r,1}$ and ${}^p\delta_{2g-3,r}$ are nontrivial irreducible perverse sheaves on X.

Example: For $K = \varepsilon_{g-1}$ the situation above also applies. Since $\tilde{V}$ is the image of $2C \times C^{(g-3)} \to \tilde{Y} = C^{(g-1)}$, the conditions above are satisfied. This shows by the corollary above, that the perverse sheaves ε_g and ${}^p\delta_{2,1,..,1}$ (of degree g) are irreducible perverse sheaves on X, obtained as the two BN-constituents of $\varepsilon_{g-1} * \delta_C$. Similar, convolution with δ_{-C} proves, that the perverse sheaves ε_{g-1} and ${}^p\delta_{2g-3,1,...,1}$ (of degree $3g-4$) are irreducible perverse sheaves. Repeating the argument above, inductively with ε_r and $1 \leq r \leq g-1$ instead of ε_{g-1}, one obtains

Lemma 40. *If C is not hyperelliptic, then for $r = 0, .., g$ the perverse sheaves ε_r and $\delta_{2,1,..,1}$ (both of degree r) and $\delta_{2g-3,1,..,1}$ (of degree $2g-3+r$), and ${}^p\delta_{2g-3,r}$ and ${}^p\delta_{r,1}$ for $r \leq g-1$ are irreducible perverse sheaves on X.*

Remark: Another point of view is the following. Recall that $p_g : C^{(g)} \to X$ is an isomorphism outside $\kappa - W_{g-2}$. The automorphism

$$\theta : C^{(g-1)} \to C^{(g-1)}$$

maps $C^{(g-2)}$ to $\theta(C^{(g-2)}) \subseteq C^{(g-1)}$, hence

$$p_g^{-1}(\kappa - W_{g-2}) = \theta(C^{(g-2)}) \subseteq C^{(g-1)} \subseteq C^{(g)} .$$

The Abel-Jacobi map is defined by the choice of an auxiliary point $P_0 \in C$. This point also defines $C^{(r-1)} \hookrightarrow C^{(r)}$ via $D \mapsto D + P_0$. For $r = g-1$ notice, that $\theta(D+P_0)+P_0+D = K$. Hence $P_0+\theta(C^{(g-2)}+P_0) \in C^{(g)}$ maps to $\kappa - W_{g-2}$. By dimension reasons, this is the fiber $p_g^{-1}(\kappa - W_{g-2})$, at least over the generic point of $\kappa - W_{g-2}$. Now, it is enough to show, that over the algebraic closure $\overline{\eta}$ of the generic point η of $\kappa - W_{g-2}$ the fiber cohomology (*)

$$H^2(p_g^{-1}(\eta), F_\varepsilon|_{p_g^{-1}(\eta)}) = 0$$

vanishes. ε_g is an irreducible perverse sheaf and

$$\boxed{\varepsilon_g = j_{!*}(F_\varepsilon)}$$

for the open inclusion $j : X \setminus (\kappa - W_{g-2}) \hookrightarrow X$, if and and if this holds. Argue as in section 22 to conclude this: Since the fiber $p_g^{-1}(\overline{\eta})$ is a one-dimensional projective space over $\overline{\eta}$, the vanishing statement (*) is equivalent to the fact, that the restriction of the rank one coefficient system F_ε on $C^{(g)}$ to $\theta(C^{(g-2)}) \subseteq C^{(g-1)} \subseteq C^{(g)}$ is nontrivial. Since F_ε on $C^{(g)}$ restricts to the corresponding coefficient system on $C^{(g-1)}$, this means that $\theta^*(F_\varepsilon)$ (for F_ε on $C^{(g-1)}$) remains nontrivial after restriction to $C^{(g-2)}$.

Chapter 7

Tensor categories

7.1 Rigid tensor categories

The category $\mathcal{BN}'$ of BN-sheaves of a Jacobian variety X is a semisimple abelian full subcategory $\mathcal{BN}'$ of the category of pure perverse sheaves on X, and it is equivalent to the quotient category $\mathcal{BN}$ contained in $\overline{Perv}(X)$ (see section 5.8).

Tensor functor: As explained in section 5.8 the convolution product of two BN-sheaves is isomorphic to a direct sum of BN-sheaves and a constant perverse sheaf, which become zero in the quotient category $\mathcal{BN}$. Hence the convolution

$$K * L \ = \ Ra_*(K \boxtimes L)$$

of objects K and L in $\mathcal{BN}$ defines an object in $\mathcal{BN}$. The category $\mathcal{BN}$ thus becomes a tensor category $(\mathcal{BN}, *)$ with commutativity and associativity constraints inherited from the category $\mathcal{BN}'$, defined by direct images of constraints for the outer tensor product $\boxtimes$. See section 2.1. The induced tensor functor will be written

$$* : \mathcal{BN} \times \mathcal{BN} \to \mathcal{BN} \ .$$

According to section 2.1 the associativity and commutativity constraints make $\mathcal{BN}$ into a strict $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor category in the sense of [17], [18]. The tensor functor $*$ has canonical extensions

$$*_{i\in I} \ \ \mathcal{BN}^I \to \mathcal{BN} \ .$$

The symmetric group Σ_r acts on the r-fold tensor product of objects A of a tensor category. This action

$$\sigma : \ A * \cdots * A \longrightarrow A * \cdots * A \quad , \quad \sigma \in \Sigma_r$$

is induced from the action of the transpositions $(i, i+1) \in \Sigma_r$ defined by

$$\sigma_{i,i+1} = id_{A^{*i-1}} * \Psi_{A,A} * id_{A^{*r-i-1}}$$

for the commutativity constraint $\Psi_{A,A} : A * A \cong A * A$. Since $\sigma_{i,i+1} \circ \sigma_{i,i+1} = id$ and since the $\sigma_{i,i+1}$ satisfy the Coxeter relations ([5], p. 153), this extends to a well defined action of the symmetric group Σ_r ([7] section 7).

Schur Projectors: The Schur projector attached to an irreducible representation σ_α for some partition α of degree r projects onto some α-idempotent A^α contained in $A^{*r} = A * \cdots * A$ (r copies). This allows to define objects A^α for arbitrary A in a K-linear tensor category, provided $char(K) = 0$ ([7] 2.1). As a special case one obtains $\Lambda^r(A) = A^\alpha$, in case of the partition $\alpha = ([1]^r)$.

Lemma 41. *For an arbitrary partition α let α^* be the dual partition. Then $\big(\delta_C\big)^\alpha$ and ${}^p\delta_{\alpha^*}$ are isomorphic in $\mathcal{BN}$.*

Proof: There are two different actions of the symmetric group Σ_r on the complex

$$\delta_C * \delta_C * \cdots * \delta_C * \delta_C = Ra_{r,*}\Big(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C\Big)$$

(r copies), where $a_r : X^r \to X$ is the addition map $a_r(x_1, .., x_r) = x_1 + \cdots + x_r$. This is a special case of $F_1 * \cdots * F_r = Ra_{r,*}(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r pr_i^*(F_i))$.

The first action is induced from the geometric action of the symmetric group Σ_r on C^r. One has a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^r \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "p\times\cdots\times p"'] & C^{(r)} \arrow[d, "p_r"] \\ X^r \arrow[r, "a_r"] & X\ . \end{tikzcd}$$

This diagram is equivariant with respect to the geometric permutation action $\sigma : C^r \to C^r$, and the perverse sheaf δ_{C^r} is a Σ_r-equivariant sheaf on C^r with respect to this action. Hence on $R(p_r \circ f)_* \delta_{C^r} = Ra_{r,*}(R(p \times \cdots \times p)_* \delta_{C^r})$ there is an action, induced from the action of Σ_r on the equivariant complex $\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C$ on X^r

$$\sigma^*(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C) \overset{\sim}{\longrightarrow} \boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C$$

in the sense of [15], definition 15.1 and remark 15.3.a) and d). This induced action of Σ_r on the complex $Ra_{r,*}\Big(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C\Big)$ defined by equivariance does not involve commutativity constraints! The objects δ_α and ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ were defined in section 4.1 via this geometric action of Σ_r on $Ra_{r,*}\Big(\boxtimes_{i=1}^r \delta_C\Big) = \delta_C^{*r}$.

Remark: For different complexes F_i we could not imitate this, since we would only get maps induced by $\sigma \in \Sigma_r$ of the form $\boxtimes_{i=1}^r F_i \longrightarrow \boxtimes_{i=1}^r F_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$. $F_1 = \cdots ... \cdots F_r = \delta_C$ was used to obtain equivariance in this first construction.

Admitting the use of 'additional' commutativity constraints the symmetric group acts on A^{*r} for an arbitrary object A of a K-linear tensor category. In our case $A = \delta_C$ this gives almost the same action of Σ_r on δ_C^{*r}. Since $\delta_C = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{l,C}[1]$ is a complex concentrated in the odd degree -1, this implies that the commutativity constraints $\Psi_{K,L}$ for complexes give an additional sign factor $sign(\sigma)$ compared to the first action. For this it is enough to look at the special case of the involutions $\sigma_{i,i+1}$. The commutativity constraints $\Psi_{A,A} = \Psi_{\delta_C,\delta_C} : Ra_*(\delta_C \boxtimes \delta_C) \to Ra_*(\delta_C \boxtimes \delta_C)$ involved in the definition of $\sigma_{i,i+1}$ for $A = \delta_C$ gives the additional factor $sign(\sigma_{i,i+1}) = (-1)^{pq} = -1$ for $p = q = -1$. This proves the claim.

Corollary 24. *The objects $\Lambda^i(\delta_C)$ of the category $\mathcal{BN}$ are isomorphic to ${}^p\delta_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. Hence $\Lambda^i(\delta_C) = 0$ in $\mathcal{BN}$ if and only if $i \geq 2g-1$.*

Corollary 25. *Suppose C is not hyperelliptic. Then the dimension of $\delta_C \in \mathcal{BN}$ in the sense of [7], section 7 is*

$$dim(\delta_C) = 2g - 2 \ .$$

Proof: See [7] page 165 and 167, preuve de 7.1 (iii)$\Longrightarrow$ (ii). In fact this argument shows, that $\Lambda^i(A) = 0$ implies $dim(A) \in \{0, .., i-1\}$. Conversely, we use [32], lemma 5.1

Lemma 42. *In rigid semisimple ribbon tensor categories simple objects have nonzero dimension.*

Since the symmetric braiding of a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor category is a special case of a rigid ribbon category, and since our category is semisimple we can use [7] (7.1.2)

$$dim(\Lambda^i(A)) = dim(A) \cdot (dim(A) - 1) \cdots (dim(A) - i + 1)/i!$$

to show $dim(\delta_C)$ is the smallest positive integer i, such that $\Lambda^i(\delta_C) = 0$. Here we use, that all $\Lambda^i(\delta_C)$ are simple, if C is not a hyperelliptic curve. Also recall $\Lambda^{2g-2}(\delta_C) = 0$ and $\Lambda^{2g-3}(\delta_C) \neq 0$.

We now summarize the properties of the category $\mathcal{BN}$, established so far, which imply that $\mathcal{BN}$ is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor category. Recall

Unit element: The skyscraper sheaf $\delta_0 = 1$ with support in zero admits an isomorphism $u_0 : \delta_0 \cong \delta_0 * \delta_0$, defined by $u = Ra_*(v)$, where $v : \delta_0 \cong \delta_0 \boxtimes \delta_0$ induces by the isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ in the stalk over the origin $0 \in X \times X$. Obviously, the functor $u_K : K \mapsto \delta_0 * K$ is an auto-equivalence of $\mathcal{BN}$. Hence (δ_0, u_0) is an identity object for the tensor category $(\mathcal{BN}, *)$. Notice $End_{\mathcal{BN}}(1) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$.

Internal Hom: Assume κ normalized to be zero. Furthermore ignore Tate twists. Then the category $\mathcal{BN}'$ is stable under the duality $K \mapsto K^\vee$ by lemma 32. The contravariant functors $M \mapsto Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(M * K, L) = Hom_{\mathcal{BN}'}(M * K, L)$ are representable by the objects

$$\underline{\mathcal{H}om}(K, L) = K^\vee * L$$

in $\mathcal{BN}$ defining internal Hom-objects. See section 2.5, in particular corollary 2,3 and 4.

Rigid tensor categories: Then we define the dual object

$$K^\vee = (DK)^- = \underline{\mathcal{H}om}(K, 1)$$

for $K \in \mathcal{BN}$. Obviously there exists a functorial isomorphism $i_K : (K^\vee)^\vee \cong K$, which shows that every object of $\mathcal{BN}$ is reflexive. Now, since the category $\mathcal{BN}$ is semisimple, the tensor category $(\mathcal{BN}, *)$ is a rigid tensor category by corollary 6, lemma 9 and the remarks thereafter. Since the tensor functor is $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-bilinear, it is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor category (categorie tensorielle sur $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ in the sense of [7] 2.1). In addition it is semisimple and satisfies the finiteness conditions of [7] 2.12.1.

Fiber functors: A fiber functor from a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear abelian tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ with values in a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-algebra R is an $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear exact faithful tensor functor

$$\omega : \mathcal{C} \to Mod_R \ .$$

To be faithful, it is enough that $\omega(K) = 0$ implies $K = 0$ on objects, since $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian. If $\mathcal{C}$ is semisimple, it is enough that ω is an additive functor, in order to be an exact functor. There is a more general concept of fiber functor and Tannakian duality in [7], theorem 8.17 replacing functors to Mod_R by more general exact (then faithful) functors to more general $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear abelian tensor categories.

7.2 The symmetric powers ε_r

Recall $\varepsilon_1 = \delta_C$. The direct sum of perverse sheaves $\varepsilon_r \in Perv(X)$

$$\bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_r$$

is equipped with 'multiplication' maps m

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varepsilon_{r-1} * \delta_C \arrow[r, "\simeq"] \arrow[dr, "m"'] & \varepsilon_r \oplus \delta_{2,1^{r-1}} \arrow[d, "pr_1"] \\
 & \varepsilon_r
\end{tikzcd}$$

and 'differentiation' maps d, using $\delta_C^\vee = \delta_{2g-3}$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\varepsilon_r * \delta_C^\vee \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[dr, "d"'] & \varepsilon_r * \delta_{2g-3} \ \cong \ \delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} \oplus \delta_{2g-3,1^r} \arrow[d, "D"] \\
 & \varepsilon_{r-1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

m is defined by symmetrization (the Schur projector pr_1 to ε_r). d is defined by the multiplication law (section 4.1) using $\delta_C^\vee \equiv \delta_{2g-3}$ in $K_*(X)$. The vertical map D is the composite of the projection pr_1 to $\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}}$ followed by the projection map onto the perverse constituent ${}^p\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} \cong \varepsilon_{r-1}$

$$\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} = T \oplus {}^p\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} \to {}^p\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} \cong \varepsilon_{r-1}$$

using $\varepsilon_{r-1} = \delta_0 * \varepsilon_{r-1} \cong \delta_{2g-2} * \varepsilon_r = {}^p\delta_{2g-2,1^{r-1}} \oplus T'$, where as usual T and T' denote certain translates of constant perverse sheaves on X.

Lemma 43. *For $m = (m_r)$ and $d = (d_r)$ the following holds*

1. *$S^r(V) = H^\bullet(X, \varepsilon_r)$ for $V = H^\bullet(C)$ is the super-symmetric polynomial algebra $S^\bullet(V)$ on $V^\vee$ with multiplication induced by m on $S^1(V) \times S^\bullet(V)$.*
2. *The map d is super-differentiation*

$$d : S^r(V) \otimes V^\vee \to S^{r-1}(V) \ .$$

Proof: All projection maps are defined via idempotents of the symmetric group (Schur projectors). m is a special case of the multiplication maps

$$m_{a,b} : \varepsilon_a * \varepsilon_b \longrightarrow \varepsilon_{a+b}$$

defined by $\varepsilon_a * \varepsilon_b \hookrightarrow \delta_C^{*(a+b)} \to \varepsilon_{a+b}$ via the symmetrizing Schur projector, which projects to ε_{a+b}. Now the first claim follows from the Künneth theorem

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(X, \varepsilon_a * \varepsilon_b) \arrow[r, equal] \arrow[d] & S^a(V) \otimes S^b(V) \arrow[d] \\
H^\bullet(X, \varepsilon_{a+b}) \arrow[r, equal] & S^{a+b}(V) \ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

By abuse of notation m will now also denote the maps induced on the cohomology groups

$$m_{a,b} : S^a(V) * S^b(V) \to S^{a+b}(V) \ .$$

Concerning d: First show, that d defines a super-derivation of the super-polynomial algebra $S^\bullet(V)$. This boils down to an identity in the group ring of a symmetric group. Recall $V^{(2g-3)} \otimes V^{(1^a)} = \Lambda^{2g-3} S^a(V^{\otimes(2g-3+a)})$ in the tensor category of super vectorspaces, where Λ^{2g-3} denotes alternation over the first $2g-3$ tensor factors with the symmetric group Σ_{2g-3}, and S^a denotes symmetrization over the last a tensor factors for the corresponding group Σ_a. Up to some constant the Schur projector

$$\Pi_a : V^{(1^{2g-3})} \otimes V^{(a)} \to V^{(a-1, 1^{2g-2})}$$

is defined by $S^{a-1}\Lambda^{2g-2} S^a \Lambda^{2g-3}$ in the group ring $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[\Sigma_{2g-3+a}]$. Here S^{a-1} is the symmetrizer over the last $a-1$ elements, and Λ^{2g-2} is the alternator over the first $2g-2$ elements. d arises from the composite map

$$V^{(1^{2g-3})} \otimes V^{(a)} \to V^{(a-1, 1^{2g-2})} \to V^{(a-1)} \ .$$

Ignoring a constant, the element $S^{a-1}\Lambda^{2g-2}S^a\Lambda^{2g-3}$ in the group ring becomes

$$S^{a-1}\Lambda^{2g-2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{a}\Sigma_{a-1}\cdot\sigma_{(2g-2)(2g-3+i)}\Big)\Lambda^{2g-3}$$

$$= (a-1)!\cdot S^{a-1}\Lambda^{a+1}\sum_{i=1}^{2g-2}\sigma_{(2g-2)(2g-3+i)}\Lambda^a\ .$$

Here Σ_{a-1} is is the group of permutation of the last $a-1$ tensor factors, and σ_{ij} are transpositions of the places i and j. Notice $\Lambda^{2g-2}\Sigma_{a-1}=\Sigma_{a-1}\Lambda^{2g-2}$. From this it easily follows, that

$$\Pi_{a+b}\circ(id\times m_{a,b}) = m_{a-1,b}\circ\Pi_a\ +\ m_{a,b-1}\circ\Pi_b\circ(id\times c_{a,b})$$

as maps $V^{(1^{2g-3})}\otimes V^{(a)}\otimes V^{(b)}\to V^{(a+b-1)}$, where

$$c_{a,b}: V^{\otimes a}\otimes V^{\otimes b}\to V^{\otimes b}\otimes V^{\otimes a}$$

is the permutation of the last blocks. This finishes the sketch of the proof for the super derivation property, which now easily follows.

Second step: It remains to compute d on the generators $V=S^1(V)\subseteq S^\bullet(V)$, i.e. we have to show that the map

$$d: S^1(V)*V^\vee\to S^0(V)\to k\ .$$

defines a nondegenerate pairing $V\times V^\vee\to\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. d is the map induced on cohomology by the underlying projections

$$\delta_C*\delta_C^\vee\to\delta_{2g-2}\to\delta_0\ .$$

Since $\delta_C\in Perv(X)$ is irreducible,

$$Hom_{Perv(X)}(\delta_C*\delta_C^\vee,\delta_0)\cong Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(\delta_C*\delta_C^\vee,\delta_0)$$

has dimension one by section 2.6. This defines d uniquely up to a constant. Recall $(\delta_C)^\vee = D(\delta_{-C})\cong\delta_{-C}$. The induced map on cohomology defines a nondegenerate pairing on the cohomology groups by theorem 1: For the closed smooth subvariety $C\hookrightarrow X$ the map $f: C\times C\to X$ defined by $f(x,y)=x-y$ blows down the diagonal $\Delta_C\hookrightarrow C\times C$ to the point zero: $f^{-1}(0)=\Delta_C$. Since

$\delta_C * \delta_{-C} = Rf_*(\delta_C \boxtimes \delta_C)$, we can compute $\delta_C * \delta_{-C} \to \delta_0$ using the proper basechange diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \times C \arrow[r, "f"] & X \\
\Delta_C \arrow[u, "i"] \arrow[r] & \{0\} \arrow[u, "i_0"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the cohomology groups this induces the nondegenerate cup-product pairing. See [30], p.101, [29], cycle, section 2.3 and also [14], p.155 or [21], theorem 12.3

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^\bullet(C) \times H^\bullet(C) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "i^*"'] & H^\bullet(X, \delta_0) \arrow[d, equal] \\
H^\bullet(\Delta_C) \arrow[r, "S"] & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l
\end{tikzcd}$$

for the trace map $S : H^2(\Delta_C) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ as explained in section 2.6.

7.3 0-Types in the symmetric algebra

By lemma 30 the cohomology functor $H^\bullet(X, -)$ is exact and faithful on the category $\mathcal{BN}'$. For $r > 0$ assume, that $L \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_r$ is a nontrivial irreducible perverse constituent. Suppose L is of 0-type. Then by definition

$$H^\bullet(X, L) = H^0(X, L) \ .$$

For the convolution $K = L * \delta_C^\vee$ corollary 18 and $n_X(K) = 0$ (lemma 34) imply

$${}^p m(K) = {}^p m(L) = 1 \quad , \quad K = L * \delta_C^\vee \ .$$

Hence K is irreducible. One has the following morphisms

$$K = L * \delta_C^\vee \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} \varepsilon_r * \delta_C^\vee \cong \delta_{(\chi-1,1^r)} \oplus \varepsilon_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\pi = pr_2} \varepsilon_{r-1} \ .$$

The composite $\pi \circ i : K = L * \delta_C^\vee \to \varepsilon_{r-1}$ is nonzero. It is enough to check this on cohomology. Since $H^\bullet(X, L) \neq 0$, it is enough to show that the super-differentiation $d : H^\bullet(X, L) \otimes V^\vee \to S^{r-1}(V)$ is nontrivial. However this is obvious, since a super polynomial $P \in H^\bullet(X, L) \subseteq S^r(V)$ with vanishing partial super derivatives is zero. Since K is simple, we obtain

Lemma 44. $\pi \circ i : K = L * \delta_C^\vee \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_{r-1}$ *is an inclusion.*

Now we revert things. Consider

$$K * \delta_C = L * \delta_C^\vee * \delta_C = L \ \oplus \ (L * \Omega)$$

and the morphisms

$$K * \delta_C \overset{i'}{\hookrightarrow} \varepsilon_{r-1} * \delta_C \ = \ \varepsilon_r \oplus \delta_{(2,1^{r-2})} \xrightarrow{\pi'} \varepsilon_r \ .$$

Lemma 32 implies $L * \delta_C \neq 0$. Looking at cohomology the composed map $\pi' \circ i'$ is nonzero, since $\pi' : \varepsilon_{r-1} * \delta_C \to \varepsilon_r$ induces the multiplication $S^{r-1}(V) \otimes V \to S^r(V)$ (lemma 43, part 1). In fact, the multiplication map $W \otimes V \to S^r(V)$ is nonzero for any nonzero linear subspace $W \subseteq S^{r-1}(V)$; that $S^\bullet(V)$ has zero divisors fortunately is irrelevant for this. Therefore $\pi' \circ i'$

$$\pi' \circ i' : \ K * \delta_C \ = \ L \ \oplus \ (L * \Omega) \ \longrightarrow \ \varepsilon_r$$

is nonzero. Concerning Ω we refer to section 4.4 and 4.5.

Lemma 45. $\pi' \circ i'(L * \Omega) = 0$ *for* $L * \Omega \subseteq K * \delta_C$ *(nonhyperelliptic case) and* $\pi' \circ i'(L * \delta_{C-C}) = 0$ *(hyperelliptic case).*

Proof: Suppose $f : L * \Omega \to \varepsilon_r$ were nontrivial. Recall $Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(L * \Omega, \varepsilon_r) = Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(\Omega, L^\vee * \varepsilon_r)$ via $\varphi \mapsto \Phi$, using

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Omega \arrow[r, "\delta * id"'] \arrow[rr, bend left, "\Phi"] & L^\vee * L * \Omega \arrow[r, "id * \varphi"'] & L^\vee * \varepsilon_r \end{tikzcd} .$$

Therefore Gabber's theorem gives a contradiction in the non-hyperelliptic case, since $H^{-2}(X, \Omega) \neq 0$ and Ω is irreducible, whereas $L^\vee * \varepsilon_r$ is of 1-type: i.e. $H^\nu(X, L^\vee * \varepsilon_r) = 0$ holds for $|\nu| > 1$ (use the Künneth formula, and that $L^\vee$ is of 0-type and ε_r is of 1-type). In the hyperelliptic case $\Omega = A \oplus \delta_{C-C}$ for $A \cong T_e^*(\varepsilon_2)$, and the same argument as above can be applied for the summand δ_{C-C}. This proves the lemma.

The morphism $\pi' \circ i' : \ K * \delta_C \longrightarrow \varepsilon_r$ is nonzero and has a nontrivial image

$$\boxed{L' = \pi' \circ i'\Big(K * \delta_C\Big) \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_r} \ .$$

Since under the cohomology functor $H^\bullet(X,-)$ the maps $\pi \circ i$ and $\pi' \circ i'$ induce differentiation respectively the multiplication on the super-symmetric algebra, we get commutative diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{r-1}(V) & & S^r(V)\otimes V^\vee \arrow[ll, two heads, "d"'] \\
H^\bullet(X,K) \arrow[u, hook] & H^0(X,L)\otimes V^\vee \arrow[l, "\varphi"'] & = H^\bullet(X,L)\otimes V^\vee \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
S^{r-1}(V)\otimes V \arrow[r, "m"] & S^r(V) \\
H^\bullet(X,K)\otimes V \arrow[u, hook] \arrow[r, "\psi"] & H^\bullet(X,L') \arrow[u, hook]
\end{tikzcd} .$$

Lemma 46. *ψ is a surjection and φ an isomorphism of graded vector spaces.*

Proof: This follows from $\pi\circ i(L) = K$ and $\pi'\circ i'(K) = L'$, since $H^\bullet(X,\pi\circ i) = d$ and $H^\bullet(X,\pi'\circ i') = m$ (adding the extra observation, that for all sheaves considered in this section $\delta_\alpha = {}^p\delta_\alpha$ holds by $\alpha_1 \leq 2 \leq g-1$ due to our general assumption $g \geq 3$). This completes the proof of the claim.

Lemma 45 implies

$$L \ \cong\ L'$$

in the non-hyperelliptic case. In the hyperelliptic case L' is a perverse quotient of $L \oplus (L * A)$. Since L is of 0-type and A is of 1-type, in any case L' is of 1-type. Recall $L \neq 0$ implies $H^\bullet(X,L) \neq 0$. Since L is of 0-type we always have

1. $H^\bullet(X,L) = H^0(X,L) \cong \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^d$ for some $d \geq 1$.
2. $H^\bullet(X,K) = H^\bullet(X,L)\otimes H^\bullet(C)$ has dimensions $d, 2dg, d$ in the degrees $1, 0, -1$ and and vanishes in all other degrees.
3. L' is of 1-type (0-type in the non-hyperelliptic case) and contains L.

Notice $H^\bullet(X,L) \subseteq H^\bullet(X,L')$, which is a consequence of lemma 46 and the Euler formula for superpolynomials Q, suffices to show the last assertion $L \subseteq L'$.

Now suppose $Q \in H^\bullet(X,L) = H^0(X,L)$ such that

$$Q = P + \eta_+ \cdot \eta_- \cdot \tilde{P} \quad , \quad P \neq 0$$

with polynomials $P \in S^r(H_-)$ and $\tilde{P} \in S^{r-2}(H_-)$ in the usual sense. Here $\eta_\pm$ denote the generators of two odd parts $H^{\pm 1}(C) \subseteq S^1(H_+)$.

The non-hyperelliptic case. Since $r > 0$, there exists a partial derivative ∂_i for some $i = 1,..2g$ with respect to the even variables in H_-, such that $\partial_i P \neq 0$. Since $\partial_i Q \neq 0$ is in the image of $H^0(X,L) \otimes V^\vee$ (under differentiation), it is contained in $H^0(X,K)$ by lemma 46. Therefore

$$\eta_1 \partial_i(Q) = \partial_i(\eta_1 Q) = \partial_i(\eta_1 P) = \eta_1 \partial_i P \neq 0$$

is contained in $H^1(X,\varepsilon_r) \cap H^\bullet(X,L')$, once more by lemma 46. In the non-hyperelliptic case this intersection is zero, since $H^1(X,L') \cong H^1(X,L) = 0$. This contradicts our assumption $P \neq 0$.

The hyperelliptic case. For simplicity assume $d = dim(H^0(X,L)) = 1$. Then Q necessarily generates $H^0(X,L)$. The odd derivatives of Q generate $H^{\pm 1}(X,K)$ by lemma 46. Hence $H^{\pm 1}(X,K) = \eta_\pm \tilde{P} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. The multiples $x_j \eta_\pm \tilde{P}$ obtained from the even generators x_j of $H_- \subseteq V$ define $2g$ linear independent elements in $H^{\pm 1}(X,L')$. Hence

$$2g \leq dim(H^{\pm 1}(X,L')) \ .$$

Since L' is a quotient of $L \oplus (L * A)$, the cohomology $H^{\pm 1}(X,L')$ is a quotient of the $2g$-dimensional space $H^{\pm 1}(X, L * A) \cong H^{\pm 1}(X,A)$. Thus

$$dim(H^{\pm 1}(X,L')) \ \leq \ 2g \ .$$

Therefore the kernel B of $\pi' \circ i' : L * A \to \varepsilon_r$ is of 0-type. But $B \hookrightarrow L * A$ implies $Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(B, L * A) \cong Hom_{\mathcal{BN}}(A^\vee, B^\vee * L) \neq 0$. Since $B^\vee * L$ is of 0-type and A is irreducible of 1-type, Gabber's theorem gives a contradiction unless $B = 0$. Hence $L * A \hookrightarrow L'$. By the same argument $L * A \subseteq L'$ and $L \subseteq L'$ do not intersect

$$L \oplus (L * A) \xrightarrow{\sim} L' \ .$$

Hence

$$H^{\pm 1}(X,L') = V \cdot \eta_\pm \tilde{P}$$

and $dim(H^0(X,L')) = 1 + dim(H^0(X,A)) = 2 + dim(S^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{2g}))$. This dimension formula will give a contradiction: $H^0(X,K)$ is of dimension $2g$, generated by the $2g$ even derivatives of Q

$$< \partial_i Q >= H^0(X,K) \ .$$

Since $\eta_\pm H^0(X,K) \subseteq H^{\pm 1}(X,L') = V \cdot \eta_\pm \tilde{P}$, $\eta_\pm \partial_i Q = \eta_\pm \partial_i P = \eta_\pm \lambda_i(x) \tilde{P}(x)$ holds for linear functions $\lambda_i(x) \in V$. Thus $\partial_i P(x) = \lambda_i(x)\tilde{P}(x)$, and the Euler formula implies $P(x) = q(x) \cdot \tilde{P}(x)$ for the quadratic polynomial $q(x) = r^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{2g} x_i \lambda_i(x)$. Thus $q(x)\partial_i \tilde{P}(x) = \tilde{\lambda}_i(x)\tilde{P}(x)$ for $\tilde{\lambda}_i(x) = \lambda_i(x) - \partial_i q(x)$, hence

$$\frac{d\tilde{P}(x)}{\tilde{P}(x)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2g} \tilde{\lambda}_i(x) dx_i}{q(x)} \ .$$

By decomposing $\tilde{P}(x)$ into a product of irreducible polynomials, this forces

$$\tilde{P}(x) = c \cdot q(x)^n$$

for some constant $c \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and an integer n, if $q(x)$ is irreducible. Or $\tilde{P} = c \cdot \lambda(x)^n \tilde{\lambda}(x)^m$ for the linear factors $\lambda(x)\tilde{\lambda}(x) = q(x)$ in case $q(x)$ is reducible. Hence

$$Q(x) = q(x)^{n+1} + c \cdot q(x)^n \eta_+ \eta_-$$

or $Q(x) = \lambda^{n+1}\tilde{\lambda}^{m+1} + c \cdot \lambda^n \tilde{\lambda}^m \eta_+\eta_-$. In this second case the partial derivatives $\partial_i Q$ only span a space of dimension ≤ 2. This contradicts $dim(H^0(X,K)) = 2g > 2$. Thus $q(x)$ is irreducible. By lemma 46 the terms $x_j \partial_i Q(x)$ and $\eta_+\eta_-\tilde{P}$ generate $H^0(X,L')$. Since for varying i and j the span of the

$$x_j \partial_i Q(x) = \Big(x_j \cdot \partial_i q(x) \Big) \cdot R(x) \quad , \quad R(x) = (n+1)q(x)^n + cnq(x)^{n-1}\eta_+\eta_-$$

has dimension at most $dim(S^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{2g}))$, this implies

$$dim\big(H^0(X,L')\big) \leq 1 + dim\big(S^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^{2g})\big)$$

contradicting the dimension formula above. At this stage we can drop the assumption, that L is irreducible, and get

Theorem 12. *Suppose* L *is a perverse sheaf of* 0*-type contained in* ε_r *for* $r > 0$*. Suppose* $dim(H^0(X,L)) = 1$ *in case* C *is hyperelliptic. Then the cohomology of* L *satisfies*

$$H^\bullet(X,L) \ \subseteq \ \eta_1\eta_{-1} \cdot S^{r-2}(H_-) \ \subseteq \ S^r(V)_{even} \ .$$

Corollary 26. *The natural map induced by the inclusion i composed with the multiplication $m_{r,r}$*

$$L * L \overset{i*i}{\hookrightarrow} \varepsilon_r * \varepsilon_r \xrightarrow{m_{r,r}} \varepsilon_{2r}$$

is the zero map (in the situation of theorem 12).

Proof: Since the cohomology functor is faithful on $\mathcal{BN}'$, it suffices that the induced map on the cohomology groups is zero. Since $m_{r,r}$ induces the super multiplication

$$m_{r,r} : S^r(V) \otimes S^r(V) \to S^{2r}(V)$$

(see section 7.2), this map is zero on $H^\bullet(X, L) \otimes H^\bullet(X, L) \subseteq S^r(V) \otimes S^r(V)$ by the nilpotency

$$(\eta_1 \eta_{-1})^2 = 0 \ .$$

This proves the corollary.

7.4 Structure theorem

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero (in our case $K = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$). Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a K-linear tensor category and finitely $\otimes$-generated (in our case $\mathcal{BN}$ is generated by δ_C). Then

Theorem 13. *([8]) For $\mathcal{T}$ the following assertions are equivalent*

1. *$\mathcal{T}$ is equivalent as a tensor category to the category of representation $Rep(G, \varepsilon)$ of a supergroup G.*
2. *Every object A of $\mathcal{T}$ is annihilated by a Schur projector (depending on A).*

This result also implies, that the following conditions for an object A of $\mathcal{T}$ are equivalent (see [8]):

1. A is annihilated by some Schur functor $A^\alpha = 0$.

2. There exists an integer N such that

$$lenght(A^{\otimes n}) \leq N^n$$

holds for all $n \geq 0$.

Hence $A^{\otimes m}$ is Schur finite provided A is Schur finite, since $lenght((A^{\otimes m})^{\otimes n}) = lenght(A^{\otimes mn}) \leq N^{mn} = (N_1)^n$ for $N_1 = N^m$.

For the category $\mathcal{BN}$ this has the following consequence: Since δ_C is a generator for $\mathcal{BN}$ and since $\Lambda^{2g-1}(\delta_C) = 0$, every object of $\mathcal{BN}$ is Schur finite. Hence by [8]

Corollary 27. *The category $\mathcal{BN}$ is a semisimple super-Tannakian category equivalent to the category of representations $Rep(G, \varepsilon)$ of some supergroup G and some datum $\mu_2 \to G$.*

7.5 The Tannaka category $\mathcal{BN}$

In this section we suppose, that the Riemann constant κ is normalized to be zero. For the tensor category $\mathcal{BN}$ we know

1. $\mathcal{BN}$ is generated by a simple object $\mathcal{X} = \delta_C$.
2. $\Lambda^{\chi+1}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$ for some $\chi > 0$.

This implies $\mathcal{BN}$ to be super-Tannakian. Let

$$\omega : \mathcal{BN} \to Vec^{\pm}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}$$

be a super fiber functor. Since ω is an exact tensor functor $\omega(\mathcal{X})^{\otimes a} \cong \omega(\mathcal{X}^{\otimes a})$, and it commutes with the projectors Λ^a. Hence

$$\Lambda^a\Big(\omega(\mathcal{X})\Big) \cong \omega\Big(\Lambda^a(\mathcal{X})\Big) \ .$$

For $\omega(\mathcal{X}) = V^+ \oplus V^-$ this implies $\Lambda^a(V^+ \oplus V^-) = 0$ for the case $a = \chi + 1$. For $S^a(V^-) \subseteq \Lambda^a(V^+ \oplus V^-)$ hence $S^a(V^-) = 0$, therefore $V^- = 0$. Since ω is a tensor functor this implies $\omega(\mathcal{X}^{\otimes n}) = (V^+)^{\otimes n}$ is even for all n. The same holds for all subquotients. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is a tensor generator of the tensor category $\mathcal{BN}$, this implies that

$$\omega : \mathcal{BN} \to Vec_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l}$$

is a fiber functor with values in the category of finite dimension $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-vector spaces.

Corollary 28. *The category $\mathcal{BN}$ is Tannakian, and isomorphic to the category $Rep(H)$ of finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ representations of an algebraic group H over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$. The Zariski connected component H^0 of H is reductive.*

Proof: This follows from [6], theorem 2.11, prop. 2.20 (b), prop 2.21 (b) and remark 2.28.

Corollary 29. *Suppose $L = \delta_0 \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_r$, then $r = 0$.*

Proof: By the main result of section 7.3 the natural projection $\varepsilon_r * \varepsilon_r \to \varepsilon_{2r}$ is the zero map on the 0-type $L = \delta_0$ for $r > 0$. By the existence of a fiber functor ω this projection induces the multiplication on the symmetric polynomial algebra

$$\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W] = \omega\Big(\bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_r\Big)$$

where $W = \omega(\delta_C)$ is the canonical representation space of G of dimension $2g-2$ defined by the fiber functor ω. Since now $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$ is a polynomial ring in the usual sense, hence an integral domain, this implies that $\omega(L) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]$ is zero. Since ω is faithful, $\omega(L) = 0$ implies $L = 0$. A contradiction for $r > 0$.

Corollary 30. $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l[W]^G = 0$.

Proof: Any invariant subspace arises from some embedding $\delta_0 \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_r$. Since δ_0 is of 0-type, the last corollary 29 proves the claim.

7.6 Representations

Let G be an algebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ or more generally over an algebraically closed base field of characteristic zero. In fact, one can choose an isomorphism $\tau : \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l \cong \mathbb{C}$. Hence it will suffice to consider the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers in the following. Let W be an irreducible faithful representation of G over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of dimension $dim(W) > 1$, such that

1. G^0 is reductive.
2. $W \otimes W$ has at most three irreducible constituents. If it has three irreducible constituents, then $\Lambda^2(W)$ contains the trivial representation, but $\Lambda^2(W)$ is not trivial.
3. $\Lambda^3(W)$ does not contain the trivial representation. If $W \otimes W$ has three irreducible constituents, then $W \otimes W \otimes W$ has (at most) 7 irreducible constituents.
4. $\Lambda^i(W)$ is the trivial representation for $i = dim(W)$.
5. $\mathbb{C}[W]^G = \mathbb{C}$.

These conditions come from 1) the semisimplicity of $\mathcal{BN}$, 2) corollary 13 and section 4.5 concerning the decomposition of $\delta_C * \delta_C$, 3) corollary 13 and corollary 21 and for $g = 3$ also lemma 40 describing the decomposition of $\delta_C * \delta_C * \delta_C$. The condition on $\Lambda^3(W)$ follows from lemma 20 and corollary 13. Furthermore 4) follows from corollary 24 and finally 5) from corollary 30.

Remark: Under these assumptions on (G, W) we now prove step by step the following assertions

1. Suppose a semidirect product $(G_1 \times \cdots \times G_r) \rtimes \Delta$, with a finite group Δ normalizing each factor G_i, surjects to the group G. Then W defines an irreducible representation of this semidirect product, which is induced from a tensor product of irreducible representations (W_i, λ_i) of G_i for $I = 1, .., r$ such that at most one of the representations λ_i has dimension > 1.
2. G^0 is semisimple.
3. G^0 is a product of simple groups of the same Lie-type.

4. G^0 is simple.
5. G^0 is either $Sl(2g-2)$ or $Sp(2g-2)$.
6. $G = G^0 \times \pi_0(G)$ with a cyclic group $\pi_0(G)$

We start with

Lemma 47. *For projective algebraic representations (W, ρ) of an algebraic group G the following holds*

1. *If $\rho = \rho_1 \otimes \rho_2$ for projective representations ρ_1, ρ_2 the multiplicities satisfy*
$$m_G(\rho \otimes \rho) \geq m_G(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_1) \cdot m_G(\rho_2 \otimes \rho_2) \ .$$
2. $m_G(\rho \otimes \rho) \geq 2$ *for* $dim(\rho) > 1$.
3. $m_{G'}(Ind_G^{G'}(\rho) \otimes Ind_G^{G'}(\rho)) \geq m_G(\rho \otimes \rho) + 1$ *if* $G \subsetneqq G'$ *is a subgroup of finite index.*

Proof: The proof of 1 is obvious. For two notice $W \otimes W = S^2(W) \oplus \Lambda^2(W)$. Concerning 3. observe that for

$$W = Ind_G^{G'}(\rho) = \oplus_{\delta \in G'/G} \delta(V)$$

the tensor square representation is

$$W \otimes W = \bigoplus_{\delta \in G'/G} \delta(V) \otimes \delta(V) \ \oplus \ \bigoplus\bigoplus_{\delta_1 \neq \delta_2 \in G'/G} \delta_1(V) \otimes \delta_2(V) \ .$$

Since $\delta(V) \otimes \delta(V) = \delta(V \otimes V)$, the first summand is $Ind_G^{G'}(\rho \otimes \rho)$. Obviously $m_{G'}(Ind_G^{G'}(\rho \otimes \rho)) \geq m_G(\rho \otimes \rho)$ and $m_G(\bigoplus\bigoplus_{\delta_1 \neq \delta_2 \in G'/G} \delta_1(V) \otimes \delta_2(V)) \geq 1$ for $G' \neq G$.

Corollary 31. *For $W = Ind_G^{G'}(\rho)$, $dim(\rho) > 1$ and $1 < [G' : G] < \infty$ suppose $W \otimes W$ has two irreducible constituents or three, and in the latter case it case it contains the trivial representation. Then $G' = G$ and $dim(\rho) = 2$.*

Proof: Unless $G' = G$ and $dim(\rho) = 2$ the subrepresentations $Ind_G^{G'}(S^2(\rho \otimes \rho))$ and $Ind_G^{G'}(\Lambda^2(\rho \otimes \rho))$ and $\bigoplus\bigoplus_{\delta_1 \neq \delta_2 \in G'/G} \delta_1(V) \otimes \delta_2(V))$ of $W \otimes W$ have dimension > 1.

Lemma 48. *(Mackey) Suppose* (W, ρ) *is an irreducible representation of* G*, and suppose* A *is a normal subgroup of* G*. Then either a) there exists a subgroup* $H \subsetneqq G$ *containing* A *and an irreducible representation* ρ' *of* H *such that* $\rho = Ind_H^G(\rho')$*, or b) the restriction of* ρ *to* A *is isotypic. In the latter case there exists an irreducible representation* ρ' *of* A*, which can be extended to a projective representation of the group* G *on the same vectorspace, and there exists an irreducible projective representation* ρ'' *of* G/A *such that* $\rho \cong \rho' \otimes \rho''$*.*

Proof: Well known.

We say a representation (W, ρ) of an algebraic group G is almost faithful, if the kernel of ρ is a finite group.

Lemma 49. *For an almost faithful irreducible representation* (W, ρ) *of* G *with the property* $m_G(W \otimes W) \leq 3$ *the restriction of* (W, ρ) *to* G^0 *remains irreducible unless* G^0 *is a torus.*

Proof: For $G^0 \triangleleft G$ lemma 48 implies, that either the restriction of ρ to G^0 is isotypic and $\rho = \rho' \otimes \rho''$, or $\rho = Ind_H^G(\rho')$ for $G^0 \subseteq H \subsetneqq G$. In the second case $G = G^0$ and $dim(W, \rho) = 2$ by corollary 31. In the first case lemma 47 part 1 and 2 give $m_G(W \otimes W) \geq 4$ unless either $dim(\rho) = 1$ or $dim(\rho'') = 1$. If $dim(\rho) = 1$, then G^0 is a torus, since ρ is faithful. Hence $dim(\rho'') = 1$, which proves the assertion.

Lemma 50. *Under the assumptions made on the representation* (W, ρ) *of* G*, the connected component* G^0 *is a semisimple algebraic group of isotypic Lie-type (i.e. is isogenious to a product* $\prod_{i=1}^n H$ *for a simple algebraic group)*

Proof: We replace the group G by a group G', which admits a surjective homomorphism $G' \to G$ with finite kernel, and then we consider the almost faithful pullback representation (W, ρ) of G'.

Construction of G': Notice $G^0 = (G^0)_{der} \cdot Z(G^0)$ (with finite intersection). The finite group $\pi_0(G) = G/G^0$ acts on $(G^0)_{der}$ and $Z(G^0)$. Using a splitting (see [4]) we get

$$1 \to Int((G^0)_{der}) \to Aut((G^0)_{der}) \to Aut((G^0)_{der}, B_{der}, T_{der}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}) \to 1$$

$$1 \to Int(G^0) \to Aut(G^0) \to Aut(G^0, B, T, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}) \to 1 \ .$$

Notice $Int((G^0)_{der}) = Int(G^0)$, and the natural map

$$Aut(G^0) \to Aut((G^0)_{der})$$

induces a map

$$Aut(G^0, B, T, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}) \to Aut((G^0)_{der}, B_{der}, T_{der}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta}) \ .$$

The group $Aut((G^0)_{der}, B_{der}, T_{der}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta})$ can be identified with a subgroup of the group of graph automorphisms of the Dynkin diagram of $(G^0)_{der}$. The group G/G^0 acts on G by conjugation, which defines a map from G/G^0 to the automorphism group of G^0. The image of G/G^0 under

$$G/G^0 \to Aut((G^0)_{der}, B_{der}, T_{der}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta})$$

defines a group $\Delta \subseteq Aut((G^0)_{der}, B_{der}, T_{der}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\Delta})$ of automorphisms preserving a splitting. Δ acts by graph automorphisms on the Dynkin diagram of $(G^0)_{der}$, and defines a finite subgroup of G. The kernel $\Delta^0 \subseteq G/G^0$ of this map is the subgroup, which acts trivially on the Dynkin diagram, and it is contained in the centralizer of $(G^0)_{der}$ by the definition of splitting [4]. Hence $Z(G^0) \rtimes \Delta_0$ commutes with $(G^0)_{der}$. Hence we get a surjective map from

$$\Big((G^0)_{der} \times (Z(G^0) \rtimes \Delta_0)\Big) \rtimes \Delta$$

to G. So we end up in the situation of step 1, where $G_1 = (G^0)_{der}$ and $G_2 = Z(G^0) \rtimes \Delta_0$. We can further break up G_1 into isotypic factors, for which each term is isogenious to a product of simple groups of the same Lie-type. Or, with a more refined decomposition of G_1 the factors are in 1-1 correspondence with the orbits of the action of the group Δ on the set of connected components of the Dynkin graph of G. We may also replace each simple factor by its simply connected covering group. This defines

$$G' = (\prod_{i=0}^{r} G_i) \rtimes \Delta \ ,$$

where $(G_0)^0$ is a torus, where $(G_i)^0$ is isotypic semisimple for all $i = 1, .., r$ such that Δ normalizes each factor G_i for $i = 0, .., r$.

Continuation of proof: We can now apply lemma 47, which implies that the restriction of ρ to the subgroup $\prod_{i=0}^r G_i$ remains irreducible. Hence

$$\rho|_{\prod_{i=0}^r G_i} \ \cong \ \bigotimes_{i=0}^{r} \ \rho_i$$

for irreducible representations ρ_i of G_i. Since Δ normalizes each factor G_i each representation ρ_i can be extended to a projective representations ρ_i^{ext} of the semidirect product $G_i \rtimes \Delta$ respectively. Hence

$$\rho \;\cong\; \bigotimes_{i=0}^{r} \rho_i^{ext} \ .$$

Since $m_{G'}(W \otimes W) \leq 3$ part 1 of lemma 47 implies $dim(\rho_i^{ext}) = 1$ except for one i. Since ρ is almost faithful, therefore either $(G')^0$ is a torus, or $(G')^0$ is isotypic semisimple. Hence for the proof of the lemma it now suffices to exclude the case that $G^0 = Z(G^0) = T$ is a torus. For this notice

$$dim(Spec(\mathbb{C}[W]^G)) = dim(Spec((\mathbb{C}[W]^{G^0})^{G/G^0})) = dim(Spec(\mathbb{C}[W]^{G^0})) = 0 \ .$$

Hence $\mathbb{C}[W]^T = \mathbb{C}$. Since $\Lambda^i(W)$ for $i = dim(W)$ is the trivial representation, there exist roots $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_i$ of T on W, such that $\sum_{\nu=1}^{i} \alpha_\nu = 0$. Then $\mathbb{C}[W]^T$ has dimension ≥ 1. A contradiction. This proves lemma 50.

Hence we can assume

$$G' = H^n \rtimes \Delta \ ,$$

where $\Delta \cong \pi_0(G')$ acts by conjugation on H^n and acts transitively on the set of the n connected components of the Dynkin diagram of G'. Since the restriction of ρ to $(G')^0$ is irreducible by lemma 49

$$\rho|_{H^n} \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \nu \quad , \quad dim(\nu) > 1$$

holds for an irreducible representation ν of the connected simply connected group simple group H. Via inclusions $i_\nu : H \to H^n$ and projections $\pi_\mu : H^n \to H$ each $\pi_\mu \circ Int(g) \circ i_\nu$ for $g \in G'(k)$ defines an automorphism of H. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the group generated by these automorphisms. It contains the subgroup $Int(H)$ as a normal subgroup of finite index. By Schur's lemma for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $A_\sigma \in Gl(W_\nu)$ with $\nu(\sigma(h)) = A_\sigma \nu(h) A_\sigma^{-1}$, which is uniquely determined by σ up to a constant in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l^*$. Thus the representation ν of H extends to a projective representation ν^{ext} of the group $\mathcal{H}$ on W_ν. It is clear that $g \mapsto Ad_g|_{H^n}$ induces a map to the semidirect product

$$\phi : G' \to \mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Sigma_n \ .$$

The representation $\nu^{ext} \otimes \cdots \otimes \nu^{ext}$ on $W_\nu \otimes \cdots \otimes W_\nu$ extends to a projective representation ρ' of $\mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Sigma_n$ on which the permutation groups acts on $(W_\nu)^{\otimes n}$ via the Weyl action, the group $\mathcal{H}$ acts via the matrices A_σ. From the Schur lemma it follows, that for $g \in G'(k)$ the matrices $\rho(g)$ and $\rho'(\phi(g))$ conincide up to a scalar. The same holds for tensor powers of ρ respectively $\rho' \circ \phi$. Hence to obtain lower bounds for the multiplicitities of the tensor powers $W^{\otimes r}$ of the representation (W, ρ) we may replace (ρ, W) by $(\rho' \circ \phi, W)$ and G' by the 'larger' group $\mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Sigma_n$ in the following. Since $m_{\mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Sigma_n}(W^{\otimes r}) \leq m_{G'}(W^{\otimes r})$ our assumption on the multiplicities for $r = 2$ and $r = 3$ carry over. For simplicity of notation we now write Δ instead of Σ_n and ν instead of ν^{ext}, and consider the action of Δ on

$$W \otimes W = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} W_\nu \otimes W_\nu \ .$$

Our first aim is to show $n \leq 2$: Since the multiplicity of a representation is the same as its multiplicity as a projective representation, in the following no distinctions will be made between representations and projective representations. The projective representation $W_\nu \otimes W_\nu$ is a projective representation of $\mathcal{H}$, which decomposes into at least two invariant subspaces $A \oplus B$ by part two of lemma 47, since $dim(W_\nu) > 1$. This induces invariant subspaces in the tensorproduct $\rho \otimes \rho$. For $n = 2$ these are the subspaces $A \otimes A$ and $B \otimes B$ and $(A \otimes B) \oplus (B \otimes A)$. In general there are at least $n+1$ different such nontrivial subspaces invariant under the group $\mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Delta$. This forces $n \leq 2$ by the bound $m_{\mathcal{H}^n \rtimes \Sigma_n}(W \otimes W) \leq m_{G'}(W \otimes W) \leq 3$. Hence

1. either $n = 2$ and $G = \mathcal{H}^2 \rtimes \Delta$ and Δ permutes the two factors of $\mathcal{H}^2$. In this case the multiplicity of $W \otimes W$ is 3.
2. or $n = 1$ and G^0 is simple.

In the first case we repeat this argument, now with the third tensor power of W instead of the second tensor power. For $Y = W_\nu$ notice $Y \otimes Y \otimes Y = S^3(Y) \oplus 2T^{2,1} \oplus \Lambda^3(Y)$ decomposes into a direct sum of at least four different subspaces invariant under $H \rtimes \Delta_1$, and all four summands are nontrivial for $dim(Y) \geq 3$. Since $dim(Y) \geq 2$ the last of the four subspaces vanishes only for $dim(Y) = 2$. In this case $\mathcal{H} = Sl(2)$. So assume first $dim(Y) \geq 3$. Then the third tensor power $W \otimes W \otimes W$ of the representation of $G = \mathcal{H}^2 \rtimes \Delta$ admits a decomposition $W^{\otimes 3} = (Y \otimes Y)^{\otimes 3} = (Y^{\otimes 3}) \otimes (Y^{\otimes 3})$ into at least $\binom{4}{2} = 10$ different nontrivial

$\mathcal{H}^2 \rtimes \Sigma_2$-invariant constituents. By assumption there are at most 7 irreducible constituents in $W \otimes W \otimes W$. This excludes this case. It remains to consider the case $G' = G = (Sl(2)^2) \rtimes \Delta$ and $dim(Y) = 2$. In this case Δ is the cyclic group of order two, which permutes the two $Sl(2)$-factors. The representation of $H = Sl(2)$ on Y must be the standard representation. Hence $W \otimes W$ is induced from $st^{\otimes 2} \otimes st^{\otimes 2} = (S^2 \oplus 1) \otimes (S^2 \oplus 1)$. But then, since $m_{G'}(W \otimes W) = 3$, by our assumptions the trivial representation must be contained in $\Lambda^2(W)$. This gives a contradiction, since now, evidently, the trivial representation can only be contained in $1 \otimes 1 \subseteq S^2(W)$. This proves step 4.

Concerning step 5. Hence

$$G^0 = H$$

is a nontrivial connected simple algebraic group H (which we may replace by its simply connected covering). Our assumptions imply

$$dim(Spec(\mathbb{C}[W]^H)) = dim(Spec(\mathbb{C}[W]^G)) = 0 \ .$$

Since H is simple and W is irreducible and since

$$\mathbb{C}[W]^H = \mathbb{C} \ ,$$

the classification of Kac-Popov-Vinberg (see [11], [24], [25]) shows, that (W, H) appears in the following list

1. W is the standard representation st of the simple group H or its dual (excluding the case E_8), or
2. the symmetric and alternating square of A_n.
3. The second fundamental representation in $\Lambda^2(st)$ for C_n
4. the third fundamental representation of C_3 on $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^6)$ or $\Lambda^3(st)$ for A_5, A_6, A_7.
5. the spin representation of B_3, B_4, B_5, B_6
6. the spin representation of D_4, D_5, D_6, D_7.

Notice, we can restrict ourselves to representations from [24], 1.2 part (1), since $\mathbb{C}[W]^H = \mathbb{C}$ implies that 0 is the only closed orbit, hence H is the principal isotropy group in the sense of loc. cit. Also we omitted cases of loc. cit., where the dimension of $\mathbb{C}[W]^H$ obviously is > 0 as for example the adjoint representations, where this dimension of is equal to the rank of G. In the following we freely use notation from [24]. For representations W of type $2)$ the third tensor power $W \otimes W \otimes W$ has more than 7 irreducible constituents by the Littlewood-Richardson rule. Since the representations of type 3 are obtained from the alternating square of the linear group as the highest weight constituents of the restriction, one easily eliminates also this possibility (just compare highest weight restrictions of the decomposition obtained above in the A_n-case). Concerning the representations W of type 4) it follows once more from the Littlewood-Richardson rules, that $W = \Lambda^3(st)$ has a tensor square $W \otimes W$ with 4 or more irreducible constituents contrary to the assumption, that there should be at most 3 irreducible constituents. This eliminates the representations of type 4). Similarly one can exclude the spin representations W of the types 5) and 6): The tensor square $W \otimes W$ of a spin representation is a sum of the alternating powers $1 + \Lambda + \cdots \Lambda^n$ in the B_n case. Since $n \geq 3$ this gives > 3 irreducible constituents in $W \otimes W$ and therefore excludes the representations in group 5). $W \otimes W = \Lambda^n_+ \oplus \Lambda^{n-2} \oplus \cdots$ holds for the tensor square $W \otimes W$ of a spin representation W of a group of D_n-type. This immediately excludes D_6, D_7. Although for D_4, D_5 there are not more than three constituents, a closer look at $W \otimes W$ exhibits the trivial representation to be contained in $S^2(W)$ and not in $\Lambda^2(W)$. This also excludes type 6). Hence W is the standard representation $st = \varphi_1$ (or its dual) of a simple group (except E_8). Obviously the orthogonal cases can be excluded by looking at the trivial constituent in $W \otimes W$. We also claim, that neither of the standard representations G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7 are possible. For this we refer to the decomposition rules given in the tables of [24]. For G_2 the third alternating power and the second symmetric power of the standard representation φ_1 both contain the trivial representation. For F_4 the second symmetric power of φ_1 contains the trivial representation. For E_6 the trivial representation is contained in the third symmetric power of φ_1. For E_7 the forth symmetric power of φ_1 contains the trivial representation. By assumption $\Lambda^3(W)$ and $S^r(W)$ do not contain the trivial representation ($\mathbb{C}[W]^G = 0$ implies $\mathbb{C}[W]^{G^0} = \mathbb{C}$). This excludes all exceptional groups.

Since $dim(W) = 2g - 2$, therefore

1. either $G^0 = Sp(2g-2, \mathbb{C})$ and W is the selfdual standard representation (if $W \otimes W$ has three irreducible constituents)
2. or $G^0 = Sl(2g-2, \mathbb{C})$ and W is the standard representation or its dual (if $W \otimes W$ has two irreducible constituents)

In the second case, since $2g-2 \geq 4$ the dual of the standard representation is not isomorphic to the standard representation. Therefore by lemma 49 the homomorphism $\pi_0(G) \to Out(G^0)$ must be trivial in both cases. Hence each element in $\pi_0(G)$ admits a representative in G, which respects a splitting of G^0. Hence $G \cong G^0 \times \pi_0(G)$. Since ρ is faithful the group $\pi_0(G)$ must be a cyclic group of order dividing $dim(W) = 2g-2$. In case $G^0 = Sp(2g-2)$ even stronger $\pi_0(G)$ has to be of order ≤ 2. In fact $m_G(W \otimes W) = m_{G^0}(W \otimes W)$ has three irreducible constituents in this case. Hence by assumption the trivial representation of $G = G^0 \times \pi_0(G)$ is contained in $\Lambda^2(W)$. This forces $\pi_0(G)$ to act on W faithfully by a quadratic character. This proves the claim.

Since the center of G^0 is a cyclic group of order 2 in the first case $G^0 = Sp(2g-2)$ and a cyclic group of order $2g-2$ in the second case $G^0 = Sl(2g-2)$, the trivial representation of G^0 only occurs in a tensor power $W^{\otimes r}$ for $2|r$ respectively $2g-2|r$ in the first respectively second case. But for these r the finite cyclic group $\pi_0(G)$ has acts trivially on $W^{\otimes r}$, since $\pi_0(G)$ is cyclic of order dividing 2 respectively $2g-2$. Therefore a one dimensional representation of G, which is a constituent of some tensor power $W^{\otimes r}$ of the generator W of the tensor category $Rep(G)$, is the trivial representation. This implies, that $\pi_0(G)$ is the trivial group.

Thus, tacitly assuming that the $\kappa \in X(k)$ has been normalized to become zero, we obtain

Theorem 14. *For smooth projective curves C of genus $g \geq 3$ the $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor category $\mathcal{BN}$ of BN-sheaves is equivalent to the category $Rep(G)$ of finite dimensional $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-representations of the linear group $G = Sl(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, if C is not hyperelliptic, respectively the symplectic group $G = Sp(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$, if C is hyperelliptic.*

Corollary 32. *If C is not hyperelliptic, the perverse sheaves ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ for $\alpha_1 < 2g-2$ are a full set of inequivalent representatives of the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible perverse BN-sheaves.*

Immediate consequences are the theorem of Martens, the theorem of Torelli, and formulas for the intersection cohomology groups of $W_r - W_r$ (see section 7.9).

Remark: For $\kappa \neq 0$ the Tannaka group has the form $(G \times D)/Z$, where $D = D(\kappa)$ is a diagonalizable group only depending on the order of $\kappa \in X(k)$, which contains the center $Z = \mu_{2g-2}$ respectively $Z = \mu_2$ of the respective group G, that is obtained (as above) after κ has been normalized to become zero for a suitable translate C of the given curve.

The theta divisor: Let $\mathcal{T}(X, \Theta)$ for $X = J(C)$ be the Tannakian subcategory of $\mathcal{BN}$ generated by $\delta_\Theta = \delta_{W_{g-1}}$. The generator δ_Θ of this tensor category corresponds to the representation $\Lambda^{g-1}(st)$ of $Sl(2g-2)$ respectively the highest weight constituent of $\Lambda^{g-1}(st)$ for $Sp(2g-2)$. The subcategory $\mathcal{T}(\Theta)$ is therefore equivalent as a tensor category to

$$\mathcal{T}(X, \Theta) \approx Rep(G') ,$$

where in the hyperelliptic case $G' = G$ or $G' = G_{ad}$ depending on whether g is even or odd. In the nonhyperelliptic case $G' = G/\mu_{g-1}$ holds for $G = Sl(2g-2)$. In this latter case a perverse sheaf ${}^p\delta_\alpha$ is isomorphic to an object in $\mathcal{T}(X, \Theta)$ if and only if $deg(\alpha)$ is divisible by $g-1$. For example ε_{g-1} is in $\mathcal{T}(X, \Theta)$. In fact

$$\varepsilon_{g-1} \hookrightarrow \delta_\Theta^{*(2g-1)} .$$

Conversely, in the non-hyperelliptic case, $\delta_\Theta \hookrightarrow \varepsilon_{g-1}^{*(2g-1)}$ holds. The adjoint representation A always is in $Rep(G')$. Since A can be described intrinsically, A is determined by the category $\mathcal{T}(X, \Theta)$, hence determined by (X, Θ). Since A is an irreducible perverse sheaf with support $C - C$ (under our assumption $\kappa = 0$) this determines C from the data (X, Θ) (Torelli). See [33] for a more elementary version of this argument.

7.7 The categories $\mathcal{T}(X)$

We say a projective (not necessarily smooth) curve $\tilde{C} \hookrightarrow X$ is generating, if $\tilde{C}$ generates X as an abelian variety. Theorem 7 allows to define a tensor category $\mathcal{T}(X)$, generated by all admissible perverse sheaves supported on generating curves of X – as a subcategory of the quotient category of the category of semisimple perverse sheaves of geometric origin modulo the Serre subcategory of semisimple translation-invariant perverse sheaves of geometric origin – in a similar way as we did this for a single smooth projective curve C in its Jacobian.

For these more general tensor categories $\mathcal{T}(X)$ there are tensor functors

$$f_* : \mathcal{T}(Y) \to \mathcal{T}(X)$$

attached to any surjective homomorphism

$$f : Y \to X$$

between abelian varieties defined over k, induced from the map $Rf_* : \overline{D}^b_c(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to \overline{D}^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$. Furthermore there exist tensor functors

$$f_* : \mathcal{BN}(C) \to \mathcal{T}(X)$$

for any map $f : C \to X$ over k from a smooth projective curve C to the abelian variety X, such that the image $\tilde{C} = f(C)$ generates X. Any of the generators δ_E of the tensor category $\mathcal{T}(X)$ is a subobject of some $f_*(\delta'_C)$. Since $\Lambda^r(\delta_{C'}) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{BN}(C')$ for some r depending on C', and since the property $\Lambda^i(X) = 0$ is inherited, when the tensor functor f_* is applied to X, we see again that the category $\mathcal{T}(X)$ admits a super fiber functor. Since the generators $X_i, i \in I$ satisfy $\Lambda^{r_i}(X_i) = 0$ for certain $r_i \in I$ the category is a Tannakian category over the algebraically closed field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, hence admits a fiber functor over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ by theorem 13 and its consequences.

Corollary 33. *Let $J(C)$ be the Jacobian of a smooth projective curve over k, and let $f : J(C) \to X$ be a surjective homomorphism of abelian varieties over k. Then the direct image $Rf_*({}^p\delta_\alpha) \in D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$ of any of the perverse BN-sheaves ${}^p\delta_\alpha \in Perv(J(C))$ is of the form*

$$Rf_*({}^p\delta_\alpha) \ = \ P \oplus T$$

for some $P \in Perv(X)$ and a direct sum $T = \oplus_\nu T_\nu[\nu]$ of translates of translation-invariant sheaves T_ν on X both depending on α.

Proof: This follows from theorem 7 and the functoriality of convolution, i.e the fact that

$$Rf_* : D^b_c(J(C), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \to D^b_c(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l)$$

is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor functor.

7.8 Coverings of curves

Let $\varphi : C' \to C$ be a (possibly ramified) covering of projective curves over k, such that $g(C) \geq 2$. We assume $f(P') = P$ for the distiguished points defining the Abel-Jacobi map. Then the covering φ induces a homomorphisms f between abelian varieties

$$f : X' = J(C') \longrightarrow X = J(C) \ .$$

Consider the associated $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor categories with $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear fiber functors

- $(\mathcal{C}', \omega_{G'})$ for $\mathcal{C}' = Rep(G')$, where $\mathcal{C}'$ is the full subcategory generated by $\delta_{C'}$ in $\mathcal{T}(X')$, and
- $(\mathcal{C}, \omega_G)$ for $\mathcal{C} = Rep(G)$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is the full subcategory generated by δ_C in $\mathcal{T}(X)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}''$ be the full $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear Tannakian tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{T}(X)$ generated by $Rf_*(\delta_{C'})$. Notice, δ_C is a direct summand of $Rf_*(\delta_{C'}) = R\varphi_*(\delta_{C'})$. So we have the fully faithful inclusion functor $v : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}''$. Let $\omega_G = \omega_{G''} \circ v$ be the fiber functor induced by $\omega_{G''}$. $(\mathcal{C}'', \omega_{G''})$ defines an algebraic group $G'' = G''_\varphi$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$, such that $\mathcal{C}'' = Rep(G'')$.

We get a commutative diagram of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor functors

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & \mathcal{C}'' \arrow[lld, bend right, "\omega_{G''}"'] \arrow[rrd, bend left, "\omega_{G''}"] & & \\
Vec_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} & \mathcal{C}' \arrow[l, "\tilde{\omega}_{G'}"'] \arrow[ur, "u"'] & & \mathcal{C} \arrow[ul, "v"] \arrow[r, "\omega_G"] & Vec_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l} \ ,
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\tilde{\omega}_{G'}$ is defined by $\tilde{\omega}_{G'} = \omega_{G''} \circ u$, for $u = Rf_*|_{\mathcal{C}'}$.

Notice, that $\tilde{\omega}_{G'}$ again is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear fiber functor of $\mathcal{C}'$. This follows from the fact, that any exact tensor functor $F : \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{C}''$ between rigid, abelian $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear tensor categories $\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{C}''$ with $End(1') = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ and $1'' \neq 0$ is automatically faithful ([6], prop. 1.19). Since u is an exact $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$-linear functor, the functor $\tilde{\omega}_{G'}$ again is a fiber functor of $\mathcal{C}'$. By [23], prop. 3.1.1.1 and [20], prop.III.4.2 and cor.III.4.7 the equivalence of the category of fiber functors (of the neutral Tannaka category $\mathcal{C}'$)

with the category of G'-torsors over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$ ([6], Thm 3.2) implies, that $\omega_{G'}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_{G'}$ are isomorphic fiber functors

$$\omega_{G'} \approx \tilde{\omega}_{G'} \ .$$

We therefore assume $\omega_{G'} = \tilde{\omega}_G$ in the following.

By [6], cor. 2.9 for any tensor functor $u : \mathcal{C}' = Rep(G') \to \mathcal{C}'' = Rep(G'')$, such that $\omega_{G''} \circ u = \omega_{G'}$, there exists a unique group homomorphism $\mu : G'' \to G'$ which induces u. This applies to u in the situation above, and similarly applies to the tensor functor $v : \mathcal{C} = Reg(G) \to \mathcal{C}'' = Rep(G'')$:

This gives rise to a correspondence (G'', ν, μ) between G' and G attached to the covering $\varphi : C' \to C$ via homomorphisms μ and ν of algebraic groups over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & G''_\varphi \arrow[dl, hook, "\mu"'] \arrow[dr, "\nu"] & \\
G' & & G \ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

By [23] 4.3.2 and [6], prop. 2.2.1 we have

Lemma 51. *The homomorphism μ is a closed immersion, and the homomorphism ν is a faithfully flat epimorphism.*

Proof: For the first assertion it is enough by loc. cit., that every object of $\mathcal{C}''$ is isomorphic to a subquotient of an object $u(X')$ for $X' \in \mathcal{C}'$. But this holds by the definition of $\mathcal{C}''$. For the second assertion it is enough by loc. cit., that v is fully faithful and that every subobject of $v(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to the image of a subobject of X. Again this holds by definition.

If $C' \to C$ is a covering of smooth projective curves, then the groups G, G' depend only on the genus $g' = g(C'), g = g(C)$, the Riemann constants and whether C', C are hyperelliptic or not. This gives a diagram defining representations ρ_μ and ρ_ν

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & G''_\varphi(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \arrow[dll, bend right, "\rho_\mu"'] \arrow[dl, hook, "\mu"'] \arrow[dr, "\nu"] \arrow[drr, bend left, "\rho_\nu"] & & \\
Gl(2g'-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) & G'(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \arrow[l, hook'] & & G(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \arrow[r, hook] & Gl(2g-2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_l) \ .
\end{tikzcd}$$

We remark, that in the case of a Galois covering $C' \to C$ this commutes with the Galois action. Indeed this gives an underlying refined structure to the Artin representation [27] of the Galois group.

7.9 Another Application

Assume C is a projective smooth non-hyperelliptic curve, and assume $\kappa = 0$ (using a translate of C). For $1 \leq r \leq s \leq g-1$ consider the difference map $f(x,y) = x-y$ on $X = J(C)$

$$f : W_r \times W_s \to X \ .$$

By the Littlewood-Richardson rules the direct image $Rf_*\big(\delta_{W_r \times W_s}\big)$ is $\delta_s^- * \delta_r \ = \ \sum_{\nu=0}^{s} \delta_{\chi-s+\nu, r-\nu} \ = \ \delta_{\chi-s,r} + \delta_{s-1}^- * \delta_{r-1}$. Hence

$$Rf_*(\delta_{W_r \times W_s}) \ = \ \delta_{\chi-s,r} \ \oplus \ Rf_*(\delta_{W_{r-1} \times W_{s-1}}) \ .$$

Recall the sheaf complex $\delta_s^- * \delta_r = Rf_*(\delta_{W_r \times W_s})$ is perverse for $r + s < g$ by corollary 9. Now $\delta_{\chi-s,r} = {}^p\delta_{\chi-s,r} \oplus T(r,s)$, where $T(r,s)$ are translates of constant sheaves on X. By corollary 32 the perverse sheaf ${}^p\delta_{\chi-s,r}$ is irreducible. This implies

Lemma 52. *If C is not hyperelliptic, then ${}^p\delta_{2g-2-r,r} = \delta_{W_r - W_r}$ for $r < g/2$.*

Thus the expression for the cohomology given by lemma 18 combined with the results of section 5.6 gives an explicit description of the intersection cohomology of the singular subvariety $W_r - W_r \subseteq X$.

Proof: In fact by induction, since $\delta_{W_r - W_r}$ is a nonconstant irreducible direct summand of $Rf_*(\delta_{W_r \times W_r})$ and not a summand of $Rf_*(\delta_{W_s \times W_s})$, it must be a summand of ${}^p\delta_{\chi-r,r}$. Notice its support has dimension $2r$ and therefore is not contained in $W_s - W_s$ for $s < r$. This follows from the well known dimension formula $dim(W_s - W_s) = min(2s, g)$ [1], p.223. Since ${}^p\delta_{\chi-r,r}$ is irreducible by corollary 32 the equality ${}^p\delta_{\chi-r,r} \cong \delta_{W_r - W_r}$ follows.

Bibliography

[1] Arbarello E., Cornalba M., Griffiths P.A., Harris J., Geometry of algebraic curves, volume I, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 267, Springer 1985

[2] Beilinson A., On the derived category of perverse sheaves, K-theory, Arithmetic and Geometry, ed. Manin, Yu. I. , Springer Lecture Notes 1289, 27 -41 (1987)

[3] Beilinson A., Bernstein J., Deligne P., Faisceaux pervers, Asterisque 100 (1982)

[4] Borel A., Automorphic L-Functions, in: Automorphic Forms, Representations, and L-functions, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., vol XXXIII, part 2, 27 – 63 (1979)

[5] Chari V., Pressley A., A Guide to Quantum Groups, Cambridge university press (1998)

[6] Deligne P., Milne J.S., Tannakian categories, in Lecture Notes in Math 900, p.101 –228

[7] Deligne P., Categories tannakiennes, The Grothendieck Festschrift, vol II, Progr. Math, vol. 87, Birkhäuser (1990), 111 – 195

[8] Deligne P., Categories tensorielles, Moscow Math. Journal 2 (2002) no.2, 227 – 248

[9] Ein L., Lazarsfeld R., Singularities of theta divisors and the birational geometry of irregular varieties, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 10 (1997), no. 1, 243 – 258

[10] Gunning R.C., Lectures on Riemann Surfaces- Jacobi Varieties, Mathematical Notes, Princeton Univerity Press 1972

[11] Kac V.G., Popov V., Vinberg E., Sur les groupes algebriques dont l'algebre des invariants est libre, Comptes Rendue de l'Acad. Sci 283 A, 875 (1976)

[12] Levine M., Mixed Motives, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol 57, AMS (1998)

[13] Kazhdan D., Wenzl H., Reconstructing monoidal categories. In I.M. Gelfand Seminar, vol. 16 of Adv. Soviet Math, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence (1993), 111 – 136

[14] Freitag E., Kiehl R., Etale cohomology and the Weil conjecture, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 13, Springer (1988)

[15] Kiehl R., Weissauer R., Weil conjectures, perverse sheaves and l-adic Fourier transform, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 42, Springer (2001)

[16] Laumon G., Transformations de Fourier, constantes d'equations fonctionelles et conjecture de Weil. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci. 65, 131 – 210 (1987)

[17] MacLane S., Natural associativity and commutativity. Rice University Studies 69 (1963), 28 - 46

[18] MacLane S., Categories for the working mathematician, Springer

[19] Martens H.H., On the variety of special divisors on a curve, Crelles Journal 227 (1967), p. 111 – 120

[20] Milne J.S., Jacobian varieties, in arithmetic geometry, edited by Cornell G., Silverman J.H., Springer (1885), p. 167 – 212

[21] Milne J.S., Etale Cohomology, Princeton Mathematical series 33 (1980)

[22] Mumford D., Introduction to Algebraic Geometry, Harvard Lecture Notes, Cambridge, MA (1967)

[23] Saavedra Rivano N., Categories Tannakiennes, Lecture Notes in Math. 265, Springer (1972)

[24] Schwarz G., Representations of simple Lie groups with regular rings of invariants, Invent. Math. 49, 167 (1978)

[25] Schwarz G., Representations of simple Lie groups with a free module of covariants, Invent. Math. 50, 1 (1978)

[26] Serre J.P. Corps locaux, Hermann (1968)

[27] Serre J.P., Sur la rationalite des representations d'Artin, Ann. of Math. 72 (1960), 405 - 420

[28] SGA 4, Tome 3, Seminaire de Geometrie Algebrique du Bois-Marie, Theorie des Topos et Cohomologie Etale des Schemas, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 305 (1973)

[29] SGA $4\frac{1}{2}$, Seminaire de Geometrie Algebrique du Bois-Marie, Cohomologie Etale, Springer Lecture Notes in Math 569 (1977)

[30] SGA 5, Seminaire de Geometrie Algebrique du Bois-Marie 1965-66, Cohomologie l-adique et Fonctions L, Springer Lecture Notes in Math 589 (1977)

[31] J.L. Verdier, The Lefschetz Fixed Point Formula in Etale Cohomology, in Local Fields, Springer Verlag (1966)

[32] Tuba I., Wenzl H., On braided tensor categories of type BCD, (2006)

[33] Weissauer R., Torelli's theorem from the topological point of view, arXiv math.AG/0610460

[34] Weissauer R., Inner cohomology, preprint

[35] Weyl H., The Classical Groups, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1946